



Publication No. FHWA NHI 03-001
October, 2002

U.S. Department
of Transportation

**Federal Highway
Administration**

Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual



BIRM

Volume 1



NATIONAL HIGHWAY INSTITUTE

Training Solutions for Transportation Excellence



Publication No. FHWA NHI 03-001
October, 2002

U.S. Department
of Transportation

**Federal Highway
Administration**

Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual



BIRM

Volume 1



NATIONAL HIGHWAY INSTITUTE

Training Solutions for Transportation Excellence

BI

Bridge Inspector's

**Bridge Inspector's
Reference Manual**

October, 2002

**FHWA NHI Publication
No. 03-001**

Volume 1

RM

Reference Manual

**Bridge Inspector's
Reference Manual**

October, 2002

**FHWA NHI Publication
No. 03-002**

Volume 2

**NHI Course No.
130055**

Safety Inspection of In-Service Bridges

**Participant's
Workbook**

October, 2002

**FHWA NHI Publication
No. 03-004**

1. Report No. FHWA NHI 03-001	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual		5. Report Date October 2002	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) Raymond A. Hartle, P.E., Thomas W. Ryan, P.E., J. Eric Mann, P.E., Leslie J. Danovich, William B. Sosko, Justin W. Bouscher		8. Performing Organization Report No. 23104-HRS	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Michael Baker, Jr., Inc. 420 Rouser Road Coraopolis, PA 15108		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
		11. Contract or Grant No. DTFH61-00-T-25055	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Federal Highway Administration National Highway Institute (HNHI-10) 4600 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 800 Arlington, Virginia 22203		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Manual March 2000 - Oct. 2002	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Baker Principle Investigator: Raymond A. Hartle, P.E. Baker Project Manager: Thomas W. Ryan, P.E. FHWA Contracting Officer's Technical Representative: Larry E. Jones Team Leader, Technical Review Team: John M. Hooks, P.E.			
16. Abstract <p>This document, the <i>Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual (BIRM)</i>, is a comprehensive manual on programs, procedures, and techniques for inspecting and evaluating a variety of in-service highway bridges. It is intended to replace the <i>BITM 90</i> which was first published in 1991 to assist in training highway personnel for the new discipline of bridge safety inspection. <i>BITM 90</i> replaced <i>BITM 70</i> which had been in use for 20 years and has been the basis for several training programs varying in length from a few days to two weeks. Comprehensive supplements to <i>BITM 70</i> have been developed to cover inspection of fracture critical bridge members, and culverts are now covered in the <i>BIRM</i>.</p> <p>The <i>BIRM</i> is a revision and upgrading of the previous manual. Improved Bridge Inspection techniques are presented, and state-of-the-art inspection equipment is included. New or expanded coverage is provided on culverts, fracture critical members, cable-stayed bridges, prestressed segmental bridges, and underwater inspection. Previous supplemental manuals on moveable bridge inspection, and nondestructive testing are excerpted and referenced. These supplemental manuals are still valid supplements to <i>BIRM</i>.</p> <p>A three-week comprehensive training program on bridge inspection, based on the <i>BIRM</i>, has been developed. The program consists of a one-week course, NHI Course No 130054, "Engineering Concepts for Bridge Inspectors," and a two-week course, NHI Course No 130055, "Safety Inspection of In-Service Bridges." Together, these two courses meet the definition of a comprehensive training program in bridge inspection as defined in the National Bridge Inspection Standards. The one-week course is optional for technicians, inspectors, or engineers who have an adequate background in bridge engineering concepts.</p> <p>The catalogue for NHI Courses including the schedule, description and course request form can be found on the National Highway Institute web site: www.nhi.fhwa.dot.gov/coursesec.asp.</p>			
17. Key Words Bridge Inspection, Bridge Evaluation, Culvert Inspection, Fracture Critical Members, Underwater Inspection		18. Distribution Statement This report is available to the public from the National Technical Information Service in Springfield, Virginia 22161 and from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 1756	22. Price

This page intentionally left blank

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express appreciation to the following individuals and organizations who contributed to the development and review of this training program:

Applied Science Associates, Inc.
Association of Diving Contractors, Inc. (Messrs. Castle, Harter, Hazelbaker, Hux, Maggard, McGeehan, and McGovern)
R. Richard Avent, Ph.D., P.E. (Louisiana State University)
William D. Domico, P.E. (Figg Engineering Group)
John W. Fisher, Ph.D. (Lehigh University)
Robert J. Hoyle, Jr., P.E., S.E.
Heinz P. Koretzky, P.E. (Pennsylvania DOT-Retired)
KTA-Tator, Inc.
John A. Schultz, Jr., S.E. (Hazelet and Erdal, Inc.)
Frieder Seible, Ph.D., P.E. (SEQAD Consulting Engineers, Inc.)
Daryl B. Simons, Ph.D., P.E. (Simons and Associates, Inc.)
Robert K. Simons, Ph.D., P.E. (Simons and Associates, Inc.)

Special thanks to the Technical Review Committee:

Steve Belcher (FHWA)
Barry Brecto (FHWA)
Milo Cress (FHWA)
Shelia Dawadi (FHWA)
John Hooks (FHWA)
Raymond McCormick (FHWA)
Curtis Monk (FHWA)
Jorge Pagan (FHWA)
George Romack (FHWA)
Lou Triandafilou (FHWA)
John Thiel (FHWA)
Glenn Washer (FHWA)

We would also like to thank the following individuals and organizations for providing information or visual aids for this training program:

Kathleen H. Almand (American Iron and Steel Institute)
American Traffic Safety Services Association
Bridge Grid Flooring Manufacturers Association
The D.S. Brown Company
Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute
Cosmec, Inc.
Barry Dickson (West Virginia University)
Dynamic Isolation Systems, Inc.
Carl Edwards (Maine DOT)
Elgard Corporation
Thomas D. Everett (FHWA)
Exodermic Bridge Deck Institute
Paul S. Fisk (NDT Corporation)
Geerhard Haaijer, Ph.D. (American Institute of Steel Construction, Inc.)
John M. Hanson, Ph.D. (Wiss, Janney, Elster Associates, Inc.)
Richard P. Knight, P.E. (Dynamic Isolation Systems, Inc.)
Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
Merriman, Inc.
William R. Mochel, P.E. (Illinois Department of Transportation)
Pennsylvania Department of Transportation
Professional Service Industries, Inc.-Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory Division
Schupack Suarez Engineers, Inc.
David Severns, P.E. (Nevada DOT)
Paul W. Verrill (Maine Department of Transportation)
Watson Bowman Associates, Inc.
Stewart C. Watson (Stafford/Watson, Inc.)
Western Wood Structures, Inc.
Weston Geophysical Corporation

We would also like to acknowledge the contributions of staff members at Michael Baker Jr., Inc:

Dennis R. Baughman, P.E.
David Chang
Thomas L. Hooks
Roger W. Hove, P.E.
Maureen Kanfoush
Guy R. Lang, P.E.
Linda Montagna
Sean A. Patrick, P.E.
Timothy Pintar, P.E.
John J. Seibel
J. Keith Seibel
James Shroads
Jorge M. Suarez, P.E.
Scott D. Vannoy, P.E.
Laura E. Volle
Ruth Williams
Kenneth E. Wilson, III, P.E., S.E.

Table of Contents

TOPIC

BASIC CONCEPTS - PRIMER

- P.1 Bridge Mechanics
- P.2 Bridge Components and Elements
- P.3 Culverts

SECTION 1: BRIDGE INSPECTION PROGRAMS

- 1.1 History of the National Bridge Inspection Program
- 1.2 Responsibilities of the Bridge Inspector

SECTION 2: BRIDGE MATERIALS

- 2.1 Bridge Materials - Timber
- 2.2 Bridge Materials - Concrete
- 2.3 Bridge Materials - Steel
- 2.4 Bridge Materials - Masonry

SECTION 3: FUNDAMENTALS OF BRIDGE INSPECTION

- 3.1 Duties of the Bridge Inspector
- 3.2 Safety Fundamentals for Bridge Inspectors
- 3.3 Traffic Control
- 3.4 Inspection Equipment
- 3.5 Methods of Access

SECTION 4: BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORTING

- 4.1 Structure Inventory
- 4.2 Condition & Appraisal
- 4.3 Record Keeping & Documentation
- 4.4 The Inspection Report

SECTION 5: INSPECTION AND EVALUATION OF BRIDGE DECKS

- 5.1 Decks – Timber
- 5.2 Decks – Concrete
- 5.3 Decks – Steel
- 5.4 Joints, Drainage, Lighting and Signs
- 5.5 Safety Features

TOPIC

**SECTION 6: INSPECTION AND EVALUATION
OF COMMON TIMBER SUPERSTRUCTURES**

- 6.1 Solid Sawn Timber Bridges
- 6.2 Glulam Timber Bridges
- 6.3 Stressed Timber Bridges

**SECTION 7: INSPECTION AND EVALUATION
OF COMMON CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURES**

- 7.1 Cast-In-Place Slabs
- 7.2 Tee Beams
- 7.3 Concrete Girders
- 7.4 Concrete Channel Beams
- 7.5 Concrete Arches
- 7.6 Concrete Rigid Frames
- 7.7 Precast and Prestressed Slabs
- 7.8 Prestressed Double Tees
- 7.9 Prestressed I-Beams
- 7.10 Prestressed Box Beams
- 7.11 Concrete Box Girders including Segmental
- 7.12 Concrete Box Culverts

**SECTION 8: INSPECTION AND EVALUATION
OF COMMON STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURES**

- 8.1 Fatigue and Fracture in Steel Bridges
- 8.2 Rolled Steel Multi-Beams and Fabricated Steel Multi-Girders
- 8.3 Steel Two Girder Systems and Steel Through Girder Systems
- 8.4 Steel Pin & Hanger Assemblies
- 8.5 Steel Box Girders
- 8.6 Steel Trusses
- 8.7 Steel Eyebars
- 8.8 Steel Arches
- 8.9 Steel Rigid Frames

**SECTION 9: INSPECTION AND EVALUATION
OF BRIDGE BEARINGS**

- 9.1 Bridge Bearings

**SECTION 10: INSPECTION AND EVALUATION
OF SUBSTRUCTURES**

- 10.1 Abutments and Wingwalls
- 10.2 Piers

TOPIC

SECTION 11: INSPECTION AND EVALUATION
OF WATERWAYS

- 11.1 Waterway Elements
- 11.2 Waterway Deficiencies and Inspection of Waterways
- 11.3 Underwater Inspection

SECTION 12: SPECIAL BRIDGES

- 12.1 Cable Supported Bridges
- 12.2 Movable Bridges
- 12.3 Concrete Pipe Culverts
- 12.4 Flexible Culverts

SECTION 13: ADVANCED INSPECTION TECHNIQUES

- 13.1 Timber
- 13.2 Concrete
- 13.3 Steel

This page intentionally left blank

List of Figures

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic P.1		
Basic		
Mechanics		
P.1.1	Dead Load on a Bridge	P.1.2
P.1.2	Vehicle Live Load on a bridge.....	P.1.3
P.1.3	AASHTO H20 Truck	P.1.4
P.1.4	AASHTO HS20 Truck.....	P.1.4
P.1.5	AASHTO Lane Loadings.....	P.1.5
P.1.6	Alternate Military Loading	P.1.6
P.1.7	910 kN (204 kip) Permit Vehicle	P.1.7
P.1.8	Basic Force Components.....	P.1.8
P.1.9	Stress-Strain Diagram	P.1.11
P.1.10	Axial Forces	P.1.14
P.1.11	Positive and Negative Moment	P.1.15
P.1.12	Girder Cross Section	P.1.16
P.1.13	Bending Stresses	P.1.17
P.1.14	Shear Forces in a Member.....	P.1.17
P.1.15	Torsion	P.1.18
P.1.16	Torsional Distortion	P.1.19
P.1.17	Types of Supports	P.1.20
P.1.18	Rating Vehicles	P.1.23
P.1.19	Bridge Weight Limit Posting	P.1.24
P.1.20	Damaged Bridge Due to Failure to Comply with Bridge Posting.....	P.1.25
P.1.21	Simple Span	P.1.26
P.1.22	Continuous Span	P.1.27
P.1.23	Cantilever Span	P.1.28
P.1.24	Cantilever Bridge	P.1.29
P.1.25	Composite Concrete Deck on Steel Beams and Prestressed Concrete Beams	P.1.30
P.1.26	Integral Bridge Deck	P.1.31
P.1.27	Orthotropic Bridge Deck.....	P.1.32
P.1.28	Spread Footing	P.1.33
P.1.29	Pile Foundation	P.1.34
P.1.30	Simple Span	P.1.35

**Topic P.2
Bridge
Components
and Elements**

	<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
P.2.1	NBIS Structure Length.....	P.2.1
P.2.1A	Major Bridge Components.....	P.2.2
P.2.2	Timber Shapes.....	P.2.3
P.2.3	Timber Plank.....	P.2.3
P.2.4	Timber Beams	P.2.4
P.2.5	Unusual Concrete Shapes.....	P.2.5
P.2.6	Reinforced Concrete Shapes	P.2.6
P.2.7	Prestressed Concrete Shapes.....	P.2.7
P.2.8	Mild Steel Reinforced Concrete vs. Precast Prestressed Concrete	P.2.8
P.2.9	Concrete Pile Bent.....	P.2.9
P.2.10	Steel Making Operation	P.2.10
P.2.11	Common Rolled Shapes.....	P.2.11
P.2.12	Bracing Members Made form Angles, Bars, and Plates	P.2.12
P.2.13	Riveted Plate Girder.....	P.2.14
P.2.14	Riveted Box Shapes	P.2.15
P.2.15	Welded I-Beam	P.2.15
P.2.16	Welded Box Shapes	P.2.16
P.2.17	Cable Supported Bridges.....	P.2.16
P.2.18	Sizes of Bridge Pins	P.2.17
P.2.19	Pin Connected Truss Members	P.2.18
P.2.20	Types of Rivet Heads.....	P.2.19
P.2.21	Shop Rivets and Field Bolts.....	P.2.20
P.2.22	Close-up of Tack Weld on a Riveted Built-up Truss Member	P.2.21
P.2.23	Pin and Hanger Connection	P.2.22
P.2.24	Bolted Field Splice.....	P.2.23
P.2.25	Bridge Deck with a Smooth Riding Surface	P.2.23
P.2.26	Underside View of a Bridge Deck	P.2.24
P.2.27	Composite Deck.....	P.2.24
P.2.28	Shear Studs on Top Flange of Girder before Concrete Deck is Poured	P.2.25
P.2.29	Plank Deck	P.2.26
P.2.30	Concrete Deck.....	P.2.27
P.2.31	Steel Grid Deck.....	P.2.27
P.2.32	Asphalt Wearing Surface on a Concrete Deck.....	P.2.29
P.2.33	Top View of a Finger Plate Joint	P.2.30
P.2.34	Top View of an Armored Compression Seal in Place	P.2.31

Figure No.		Page No.
P.2.35	Strip Seal	P.2.31
P.2.36	New Jersey Barrier	P.2.33
P.2.37	Weight Limit Sign	P.2.34
P.2.38	Bridge Lighting	P.2.34
P.2.39	Floor System	P.2.35
P.2.40	Main Supporting Elements of Deck Arch	P.2.36
P.2.41	Diaphragms	P.2.36
P.2.42	Cross or X-Bracing	P.2.37
P.2.43	Sway Bracing	P.2.37
P.2.44	Three Basic Bridge Types	P.2.38
P.2.45	Slab Bridge	P.2.38
P.2.46	Timber Beam Bridge	P.2.39
P.2.47	Prestressed Concrete Multi-beam Bridge	P.2.39
P.2.48	Girder Floorbeam Stringer Bridge	P.2.40
P.2.49	Curved Girder Bridge	P.2.40
P.2.50	Tee Beam Bridge	P.2.41
P.2.51	Adjacent Box Beam Bridge	P.2.41
P.2.52	Steel Box Girder Bridge	P.2.42
P.2.53	Deck Truss Bridge	P.2.42
P.2.54	Through Truss Bridge	P.2.43
P.2.55	Deck Arch Bridge	P.2.43
P.2.56	Through Arch Bridge	P.2.44
P.2.57	Steel Suspension Bridge	P.2.44
P.2.58	Cable-stayed Bridge	P.2.45
P.2.59	Bascule Bridge	P.2.46
P.2.60	Swing Bridge	P.2.46
P.2.61	Lift Bridge	P.2.47
P.2.62	Floating Bridge	P.2.47
P.2.63	Culvert	P.2.48
P.2.64	Typical Bearing Showing Four Basic Elements	P.2.49
P.2.65	Concrete Abutment	P.2.50
P.2.66	Concrete Pier	P.2.50
P.2.67	Cantilever Abutment (or Full Height Abutment)	P.2.51
P.2.68	Stub Abutment	P.2.51
P.2.69	Open Abutment	P.2.52
P.2.70	Solid Shaft Pier	P.2.53
P.2.71	Column Pier	P.2.53
P.2.72	Column Pier with Web Wall	P.2.54
P.2.73	Cantilever or Hammerhead Pier	P.2.54
P.2.74	Column Bent	P.2.55
P.2.75	Pile Bent	P.2.55

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic P.3 Culvert Characteristics		
P.3.1	Culvert Structure	P.3.1
P.3.2	Circular Culvert Structure	P.3.6
P.3.3	Pipe Arch Culvert.....	P.3.7
P.3.4	Arch Culvert.....	P.3.7
P.3.5	Concrete Box Culvert.....	P.3.8
P.3.6	Multiple Cell Concrete Culvert.....	P.3.8
P.3.7	Frame Culvert.....	P.3.9
P.3.8	Standard Concrete Pipe Shapes.....	P.3.10
P.3.9	Typical Stone Masonry Arch Culvert	P.3.11
P.3.10	Timber Box	P.3.13
P.3.11	Culvert End Projection.....	P.3.14
P.3.12	Culvert Mitered End	P.3.14
P.3.13	Culvert Skewed End.....	P.3.15
P.3.14	Culvert Headwall	P.3.15
P.3.15	Apron	P.3.16
P.3.16	Energy Dissipator.....	P.3.16
P.3.17	Factors Affecting Culvert Discharge (Source: Adapted from Concrete Pipe Handbook, American Concrete Pipe Association)	P.3.18
P.3.18	AASHTO Live Load Spacing for Highway Structures	P.3.20
P.3.19	Surface Contact Area for Single Dual Wheel	P.3.21
P.3.20	Distribution of Live Load (Single Dual Wheel) for Depth of Cover H	P.3.21
P.3.21	Culvert Construction and Installation Requirements ..	P.3.24
P.3.22	Bending Failure Due to High Embankment.....	P.3.26
P.3.23	Cracking of Culvert Due to Foundation Settlement....	P.3.26
P.3.24	Scour and Undermining at Culvert Inlet	P.3.27
P.3.25	Approach Roadway at a Culvert Site	P.3.28
P.3.26	Roadway Over a Culvert	P.3.29
P.3.27	Slide Failure	P.3.30
P.3.28	Debris and Sediment Buildup	P.3.30
P.3.29	Headwall and Wingwall End Treatment on Box Culvert.....	P.3.31
P.3.30	Potential for Tilted Wingwalls	P.3.32
P.3.31	Skewed End.....	P.3.32
P.3.32	Culvert Headwall	P.3.33
P.3.33	Apron	P.3.34
P.3.34	Energy Dissipator.....	P.3.35

	Figure No.		Page No.
Topic 1.1 History of the National Bridge Inventory			
	1.1.1	Collapse of the Silver Bridge	1.1.2
Topic 1.2 Responsibilities of the Bridge Inspector			
	1.2.1	Bridge Failure.....	1.2.2
Topic 2.1 Timber			
	2.1.1	Glued-laminated Modern Timber Bridge	2.1.1
	2.1.2	Timber Shapes.....	2.1.2
	2.1.3	Built-up Timber Shapes	2.1.3
	2.1.4	Anatomy of Timber.....	2.1.5
	2.1.5	Three Principal Axes of Wood.....	2.1.6
	2.1.6	Natural Timber Defects.....	2.1.9
	2.1.7	Decay of Wood by Fungi	2.1.9
	2.1.8	Mold and Stain on Underside of Timber Deck	2.1.11
	2.1.9	Brown and White Rot.....	2.1.11
	2.1.10	Termites.....	2.1.13
	2.1.11	Carpenter Ants	2.1.13
	2.1.12	Caddisfly Larva.....	2.1.14
	2.1.13	Marine Borer Damage to Wood Piling	2.1.15
	2.1.14	Shipworms (Mollusks).....	2.1.16
	2.1.15	Limnoria Burrowing in Wood.....	2.1.16
	2.1.16	Delamination in a Laminated Timber Member.....	2.1.18
	2.1.17	Hanger Connection on a Timber Member	2.1.18
	2.1.18	Fire Damaged Timber Member.....	2.1.19
	2.1.19	Impact/Collision Damage to a Timber Member	2.1.20
	2.1.20	Abrasion Damage on a Timber Deck.....	2.1.20
	2.1.21	Horizontal Shear Failure in Timber Member.....	2.1.21
	2.1.22	Failed Timber Floorbeam.....	2.1.21
	2.1.23	Weathering on Timber Deck.....	2.1.22
	2.1.24	Bridge Timber Member Showing Penetration Depth of Preservative Treatment	2.1.23
	2.1.25	Coal-tar Creosote Treated Timber Beams (Source: Barry Dickson, West Virginia University.....	2.1.24
	2.1.26	Tooke Gage Used to Measure Coating Dry Film Thickness	2.1.27

	<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Topic 2.2 Concrete		
2.2.1	Concrete Member with Tensile Steel Reinforcement Showing	2.2.6
2.2.2	Standard Deformed Reinforcing Bars (Source: Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute	2.2.7
2.2.2	Standard Deformed Reinforcing Bars (Source: Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute (Continued)	2.2.8
2.2.3	Prestressed Concrete Beam	2.2.10
2.2.4	Prestressed Concrete I-Beams	2.2.11
2.2.5	Post-tensioned Concrete Box Girder	2.2.11
2.2.6	Structural Cracks	2.2.14
2.2.7	Flexural Crack on a Tee Beam	2.2.15
2.2.8	Shear Crack on a Slab Beam	2.2.15
2.2.9	Thermal Forces	2.2.16
2.2.10	Crack Comparitor Card and Crack Gauge	2.2.16
2.2.11	Temperature Cracks	2.2.18
2.2.12	Shrinkage Cracks	2.2.18
2.2.13	Transverse Cracks	2.2.20
2.2.14	Longitudinal Cracks	2.2.20
2.2.15	Pattern or Map Cracks	2.2.21
2.2.16	Light or Minor Scaling	2.2.22
2.2.17	Medium or moderate Scaling	2.2.22
2.2.18	Heavy Scaling	2.2.22
2.2.19	Severe Scaling	2.2.22
2.2.20	Spalling on a Concrete Deck	2.2.23
2.2.21	Efflorescence	2.2.24
2.2.22	Honeycomb	2.2.25
2.2.23	Concrete Column Collision Damage	2.2.26
2.2.24	Collision Damage to Prestressed Concrete I-Beam	2.2.27
2.2.25	Corroded Reinforcing Bar	2.2.28
2.2.26	Freeze-Thaw Damage on a River Pier	2.2.30
2.2.27	Anti-Graffiti Coating on Lower Area of Bridge Piers	2.2.33
Topic 2.3 Steel		
2.3.1	Steel Cables	2.3.2
2.3.2	Steel Plates	2.3.3
2.3.3	Steel Bars	2.3.3
2.3.4	Rolled Shapes	2.3.4
2.3.5	Built-up Shapes	2.3.4
2.3.6	Steel Corrosion and Complete Section Loss on a Beam Web	2.3.7

	<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
	2.3.7	Fatigue Crack at a Coped Top Flange of Riveted Connection	2.3.8
	2.3.8	Out-of-Plane Distortion.....	2.3.9
	2.3.9	Collision Damage on a Steel Bridge	2.3.10
	2.3.10	Heat Damage.....	2.3.11
	2.3.11	Paint Wrinkling	2.3.11
	2.3.12	Rust Undercutting at Scratched Area.....	2.3.12
	2.3.13	Pinpoint Rusting.....	2.3.12
	2.3.14	Paint Peeling from Steel Bridge Members.....	2.3.12
	2.3.15	Mudcracking Paint	2.3.13
	2.3.16	Corrosion of Steel	2.3.21
	2.3.17	Fatigue Crack	2.3.21
	2.3.18	Edge Failure on Painted Steel Beam	2.3.22
	2.3.19	Water and Salt Runoff Under Expansion Deck Opening	2.3.23
	2.3.20	Corroding Rivet Head	2.3.24
	2.3.21	Roadway Splash Zone Damage (Note Aluminum Bridge Railing in Foreground).....	2.3.25
	2.3.22	Color of Oxide Film is Critical in the Inspection of Weathering Steel; Dark Black Color is an Indication of Non-protective Oxide	2.3.26
	2.3.23	Yellow Orange – Early Stage of Exposure or Active Corrosion.....	2.3.26
	2.3.24	Light Brown – Early Stage of Exposure	2.3.27
	2.3.25	Chocolate Brown to Purple Brown – Boldly Exposed and Good Degree of Protection.....	2.3.27
	2.3.26	Black – Non-protective Oxide	2.3.28
Topic 2.4			
Stone Masonry			
	2.4.1	Masonry Arch.....	2.4.2
	2.4.2	Splitting in Stone Masonry.....	2.4.3
Topic 3.1			
Duties of the			
Bridge			
Inspection			
Team			
	3.1.1	Inspectors Reviewing Bridge Plans	3.1.3
	3.1.2	Sample Bridge Numbering Sequence	3.1.4
	3.1.3	Sample Truss Numbering Scheme	3.1.5
	3.1.4	Traffic Control Operation	3.1.7
	3.1.5	Inspection for Scour and Undermining	3.1.11

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 3.2		
Safety Practices		
3.2.1	Inspector Wearing a Hard Hat.....	3.2.3
3.2.2	Inspector Wearing a Reflective Safety Vest	3.2.4
3.2.3	Inspector Wearing Safety Goggles.....	3.2.5
3.2.4	Inspector Wearing a Life Jacket.....	3.2.6
3.2.5	Inspector Wearing a Respirator.....	3.2.7
3.2.6	Safety Harness with a Lanyard	3.2.8
3.2.7	Inspection Involving Extensive Climbing.....	3.2.11
3.2.8	Inclement Weather Causing Slippery Bridge Members.....	3.2.12
3.2.9	Proper Use of Ladder	3.2.13
3.2.10	Bucket Truck	3.2.14
3.2.11	Inspection Catwalk.....	3.2.15
3.2.12	Inspection Rigging	3.2.16
Topic 3.3		
Traffic Control		
3.3.1	Traffic Control Operation	3.3.1
3.3.2	Work Zone	3.3.3
3.3.3	Inspection Vehicle with Flashing Light	3.3.4
3.3.4	Inspector with a Safety Vest and Hard Hat.....	3.3.4
3.3.5	Work Area Speed Limit Sign (Regulatory)	3.3.6
3.3.6	Traffic Control Sign (Warning)	3.3.7
3.3.7	Traffic Control Cones	3.3.8
3.3.8	Vertical Panels – Note Panels Attached to Drums.....	3.3.8
3.3.9	Arrowboard	3.3.9
3.3.10	Use of Hand Signaling Devices by Flagger (from MUTCD).....	3.3.10
3.3.11	Flagger with Stop/Slow Paddle.....	3.3.12
3.3.12	Shadow Vehicle with Attenuator	3.3.13
Topic 3.4		
Inspection Equipment		
3.4.1	Tools for Cleaning.....	3.4.1
3.4.2	Tools for Inspection	3.4.2
3.4.3	Tools for Visual Aid	3.4.2
3.4.4	Tools for Measuring.....	3.4.3

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 3.5 Methods of Access		
3.5.1	Inspection with a Ladder	3.5.1
3.5.2	Use of a Hook Ladder	3.5.2
3.5.3	Rigging for Substructure Inspection	3.5.3
3.5.4	Rigging for Superstructure Inspection	3.5.3
3.5.5	Scaffold	3.5.4
3.5.6	Inspection Operations from a Barge	3.5.6
3.5.7	Climber.....	3.5.5
3.5.8	Float	3.5.6
3.5.9	Bosun Chair.....	3.5.6
3.5.10	Climbing.....	3.5.7
3.5.11	Catwalk	3.5.8
3.5.12	Traveler Platform	3.5.9
3.5.13	Handrail.....	3.5.9
3.5.14	Manlift (Note: Adjacent power lines that must be cleared	3.5.10
3.5.15	Bucket Truck.....	3.5.11
3.5.16	Underbridge Inspection Vehicle	3.5.12
3.5.17	Platform Truck	3.5.13
Topic 4.1 Structure Inventory		
4.1.1	FHWA SI&A Sheet	4.1.3
4.1.2	Arizona Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet	4.1.4
4.1.3	Florida Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet.....	4.1.5
4.1.3	Florida Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (Continued)	4.1.6
4.1.3	Florida Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (Continued)	4.1.7
4.1.3	Florida Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (Continued)	4.1.8
4.1.4	Wearable Computer with Case.....	4.1.9
4.1.5	Inspector Using Wearable Computer	4.1.10
Topic 4.3 Record Keeping and Documentation		
4.3.1	Sample Notation.....	4.3.2
4.3.2	Electronic Data Collection	4.3.2

Figure No.		Page No.
4.3.3	Sample Notebook Title Page.....	4.3.3
4.3.4	Sample Notebook Table of Contents	4.3.4
4.3.5	Sample Notes and Sketches Page.....	4.3.4
4.3.6	Framing Plan	4.3.5
4.3.7	Girder Elevation	4.3.6
4.3.8	Typical Prepared Culvert Sketches	4.3.7
4.3.9	Sample General Plan Sketch	4.3.8
4.3.10	Sample General Elevation Sketch.....	4.3.8
4.3.11	Sample Deck Inspection Notes	4.3.9
4.3.12	Sample Superstructure Inspection Notes	4.3.9
4.3.13	Sample Substructure Inspection Notes	4.3.10
4.3.14	Sample Photo Log	4.3.10
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450	4.3.12
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.13
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.14
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.15
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.16
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.17
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.18
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.19
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.20
4.3.15	Example Inspection Form – PENNDOT Form D-450 (Continued)	4.3.21
4.3.16	Core Element Example Inspection Form – Michigan Department of Transportation	4.3.22
4.3.17	Sample Span Numbering Scheme.....	4.3.24
4.3.18	Sample Typical Section Numbering Scheme.....	4.3.24
4.3.19	Sample Structure Orientation Sketch	4.3.25
4.3.20	Sample Truss Numbering Scheme	4.3.25
4.3.21	Steel Beam and Girder Dimensions	4.3.26
4.3.22	Truss Member and Field Splice Dimensions	4.3.26

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 5.1		
Timber Decks		
5.1.1	Plank Deck	5.1.2
5.1.2	Section of a Nailed Laminated Deck	5.1.2
5.1.3	Glue Laminated Deck Panels	5.1.3
5.1.4	Stress-laminated Deck.....	5.1.4
5.1.5	Structural Composite Lumber Deck.....	5.1.5
5.1.6	Timber Wearing Surface on a Timber Deck	5.1.6
5.1.7	Inspector Probing Timber with an Ice Pick at Reflective Cracks in the Asphalt Wearing Surface	5.1.8
5.1.8	Wear and Weathering on a Timber Deck.....	5.1.10
5.1.9	Bearing Area on a Timber Deck	5.1.10
5.1.10	Edge of Deck Exposed to Drainage, Resulting in Plant Growth	5.1.11
Topic 5.2		
Concrete Decks		
5.2.1	Stay-in-Place Forms	5.2.2
5.2.2	Precast Deck Panels (with Lifting Lugs Evident and Top Beam Flange Exposed)	5.2.3
5.2.3	Shear Connectors Welded to the Top Flange of a Steel Girder	5.2.5
5.2.4	Prestressed Concrete Beam with Shear Connectors Protruding.....	5.2.5
5.2.5	Pothole Showing Deck Reinforcing Steel Perpendicular to Traffic	5.2.6
5.2.6	Inspector Using a Chain Drag	5.2.10
5.2.7	Underside View of Longitudinal Deck Crack.....	5.2.13
5.2.8	Deteriorated Stay-in-Place Form.....	5.2.13
Topic 5.3		
Steel Decks		
5.3.1	Orthotropic Bridge Deck.....	5.3.1
5.3.2	Underside View of Buckle Plate Deck.....	5.3.2
5.3.3	Sectional View of Corrugated Steel Floor	5.3.3
5.3.4	Schematic of Concrete Filled Grid Deck	5.3.4
5.3.5	Various Patterns of Welded Steel Grid Decks	5.3.5
5.3.6	Riveted Grate Deck	5.3.6
5.3.7	Schematic of Exodermic Composite Profile	5.3.7
5.3.8	Broken Members of an Open Steel Grid Deck	5.3.10

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 5.4 Deck Joints, Drainage Systems, Lighting and signs		
5.4.1	Formed Joint	5.4.2
5.4.2	Cross Section of a Formed Joint	5.4.3
5.4.3	Finger Plate Joint	5.4.4
5.4.4	Cross Section of a Cantilever Finger Plate Joint.....	5.4.4
5.4.5	Supported Finger Plate Joint	5.4.5
5.4.6	Cross Section of a Compression Seal with Steel Angle Armoring	5.4.6
5.4.7	Cross Section of a Sliding Plate Joint	5.4.7
5.4.8	Plank Seal.....	5.4.8
5.4.9	Sheet Seal	5.4.8
5.4.10	Strip Seal	5.4.9
5.4.11	Schematic Cross Section of a Modular Elastomeric Seal.....	5.4.9
5.4.12	Asphaltic Expansion Joint.....	5.4.10
5.4.13	Bridge Deck Inlet	5.4.11
5.4.14	Downspout Pipe and Cleanout Plug	5.4.12
5.4.15	Debris Lodged in a Sliding Plate Joint.....	5.4.16
5.4.16	Dirt in a Compression Seal Joint.....	5.4.17
5.4.17	Improper Vertical Alignment at a Finger Plate Joint..	5.4.18
5.4.18	Failed Compression Seal	5.4.19
5.4.19	Asphalt Wearing Surface Over an Expansion Joint....	5.4.20
5.4.20	Support System under a Finger Plate Joint	5.4.20
5.4.21	Clogged Drainage Inlet	5.4.22
5.4.22	Drainage Trough with Debris Accumulation.....	5.4.22
Topic 5.5 Safety Features		
5.5.1	Bridge Safety Feature.....	5.5.1
5.5.2	Approach Guardrail System.....	5.5.3
5.5.3	Approach Guardrail System.....	5.5.3
5.5.4	New Jersey Barrier	5.5.7
5.5.5	Comparison of New Jersey and “F” Shape	5.5.7
5.5.6	Wyoming 2-Tube Steel Railing	5.5.8
5.5.7	Thrie-Beam System.....	5.5.9
5.5.8	Thrie-Beam System.....	5.5.10
5.5.9	W-Shaped Guardrail End Flared and Buried into an Embankment	5.5.11

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
5.5.10	Fleat-350 – Flared Energy-Absorbing Terminal	5.5.12
5.5.11	SRT-350 – Slotted Rail Terminal	5.5.13
5.5.12	TAU-II Redirective, Non-Gating Crash Cushion	5.5.13
5.5.13	MELT – Modified Eccentric Loads Terminal	5.5.15
5.5.14	ET 2000 – Extruder Terminal	5.5.16
5.5.15	CAT – Crash-Cushion Attenuating Terminal	5.5.17
5.5.16	SENTRE End Treatment.....	5.5.17
5.5.17	TREND End Treatment	5.5.18
5.5.18	Damaged Steel Post Bridge Railing.....	5.5.20
5.5.19	Approach Guardrail Collision Damage.....	5.5.21

Topic 6.1 Solid Sawn Timber Bridges

6.1.1	Elevation View of a Solid Sawn Multi-Beam Bridge.	6.1.1
6.1.2	Underside View of a Solid Sawn Multi-Beam Bridge.....	6.1.2
6.1.3	Elevation View of Covered Bridge.....	6.1.3
6.1.4	Inside View of Covered Bridge Showing King Post Truss Design	6.1.4
6.1.5	Town Truss Covered Bridge.....	6.1.5
6.1.6	Common Covered Bridge Trusses	6.1.5
6.1.7	Burr Arch-truss Covered Bridge	6.1.6
6.1.8	Inside View of Covered Bridge with Burr Arch-truss Design	6.1.6
6.1.9	Town Truss Design	6.1.7
6.1.10	Bearing Area of Typical Solid Sawn Beam	6.1.8
6.1.11	Horizontal Shear Crack in a Timber Beam	6.1.9
6.1.12	Decay in a Timber Beam.....	6.1.10
6.1.13	Typical Timber End Diaphragm	6.1.11
6.1.14	Timber Boring and Drilling Locations.....	6.1.12

Topic 6.2 Glulam Timber Bridges

6.2.1	Elevation View of a Glulam Multi-Beam Bridge	6.2.1
6.2.2	Underside View of a Glulam Multi-Beam Bridge	6.2.2
6.2.3	Elevation View of Typical Glulam Multi-Beam Bridge.....	6.2.3
6.2.4	Underside View of Glulam Multi-Beam Bridge.....	6.2.3
6.2.5	Timber Through Truss Typical Section	6.2.4
6.2.6	Bowstring Truss Pedestrian Bridge.....	6.2.4

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
6.2.7	Parallel Chord Truss Pedestrian Bridge (Eagle River, Alaska)	6.2.5
6.2.8	Glulam Arch Bridge over Glulam Multi-Beam Bridge (Keystone Wye Interchange, South Dakota)...	6.2.5
6.2.9	Glulam Arch Bridge (Colorado)	6.2.6
6.2.10	Elevation View of Typical Glulam Beam.....	6.2.7
6.2.11	Typical Glulam Diaphragm	6.2.7
6.2.12	Bearing Area of Typical Glulam Beam	6.2.9
6.2.13	Close-up View of End of Glulam Bridge Showing Laminations.....	6.2.10
6.2.14	Elevation View of Beam of Glulam Multi-Beam Bridge.....	6.2.10
6.2.15	Decay on Glulam Bridge.....	6.2.11
6.2.16	Typical Diaphragm for a Glulam Multi-Beam Bridge.....	6.2.12
6.2.17	Glulam Beams with Numerous Fastener Locations....	6.2.12

Topic 6.3 Stress Timber Bridges

6.3.1	Stressed Deck Bridge Carrying a 90,000-Pound Logging Truck (Source: Barry Dickson, West Virginia University)	6.3.1
6.3.2	Typical Section of Stressed Deck Bridge	6.3.2
6.3.3	Solid Sawn Stressed Deck Bridge.....	6.3.2
6.3.4	Glulam Stressed Deck Bridge	6.3.3
6.3.5	Stressed K-Frame Bridge	6.3.3
6.3.6	Typical Section of Stressed Tee Beam Bridge (Source: Barry Dickson, West Virginia University)...	6.3.4
6.3.7	Elevation View of Stressed Tee Beam Bridge (West Virginia)	6.3.4
6.3.8	Typical Section of Stressed Box Beam (Source: Barry Dickson, West Virginia University).....	6.3.5
6.3.9	Stressed Timber Box Beam Bridge Being Erected	6.3.5
6.2.10	Close-up View of End of a Stressed Timber Bridge Showing Laminations	6.2.7

Topic 7.1 Cast-in-Place Slabs

7.1.1	Typical Simple Span Cast-in-Place Slab Bridge.....	7.1.2
7.1.2	Typical Multi-Span Cast-in-Place Slab Bridge.....	7.1.2

Figure No.		Page No.
7.1.3	Steel Reinforcement in a Concrete Slab.....	7.1.3
7.1.4	Steel Rocker Bearing Supporting Haunched Slab at Pier	7.1.5
7.1.5	Shear Cracks in the Ends of a Slab Bridge	7.1.6
7.1.6	Shear Zone on the Underside of a Continuous Slab Bridge Near a Pier	7.1.6
7.1.7	Delamination and Efflorescence with Rust. Stains on Slab Underside in Tension Zone	7.1.7
7.1.8	Deteriorated Slab Fascia due to Roadway Deicing Agents	7.1.8

Topic 7.2 **Tee Beams**

7.2.1	Multi-Span, Simply Supported Tee Beam Bridge	7.2.2
7.2.2	Typical Tee Beam with Fillet.....	7.2.2
7.2.3	Concrete Encased Steel I-Beam	7.2.3
7.2.4	Comparison Between Tee Beam and Concrete Encased Steel I-Beam	7.2.3
7.2.5	Tee Beam Cross Section	7.2.4
7.2.6	Tee Beam Diaphragms.....	7.2.4
7.2.7	Steel Reinforcement in a Concrete Tee Beam	7.2.5
7.2.8	Bearing Area of Typical Cast-in-Place Concrete Tee Beam Bridge.....	7.2.7
7.2.9	Spalled Tee Beam End.....	7.2.8
7.2.10	Deteriorated Tee Beam Bearing Area	7.2.8
7.2.11	Steel Bearing Supporting a Cast-in-Place Concrete Tee Beam	7.2.9
7.2.12	Shear Zone of Cast-in-Place Concrete Tee Beam Bridge.....	7.2.9
7.2.13	Flexure Cracks on a Tee Beam	7.2.10
7.2.14	Flexure Cracks in Tee Beam Top Flanges	7.2.11
7.2.15	Stem of a Cast-in-Place Concrete Tee Beam with Contaminated Concrete	7.2.11
7.2.16	Spall on the Bottom of the Stem of a Cast-in-Place Tee Beam with Corroded Main Steel Exposed	7.2.12
7.2.17	Asphalt Covered Tee Beam Deck	7.2.12
7.2.18	Deteriorated Tee Beam Stem Adjacent to Drain Hole	7.2.13
7.2.19	Deteriorated Tee Beam End Due to Drainage.....	7.2.13
7.2.20	Tee Beam Bridge Over a Highway	7.2.14

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 7.3		
Concrete Girders		
7.3.1	Concrete Deck Girder Bridge.....	7.3.1
7.3.2	Concrete Through Girder Bridge	7.3.2
7.3.3	Concrete Deck Girder, Underside View	7.3.3
7.3.4	Concrete Through Girder Elevation View	7.3.3
7.3.5	Steel Reinforcement in a Concrete Through Girder ...	7.3.4
7.3.6	Bearing Area of a Through Girder Bridge	7.3.6
7.3.7	Typical Elevation View of a Through Girder Bridge .	7.3.7
7.3.8	Exposed Reinforcement in a Through Girder	7.3.8
7.3.9	Close-up of a Girder with Heavy Scaling Due to Deicing Agents.....	7.3.8
Topic 7.4		
Concrete Channel Beams		
7.4.1	Underside View of Precast Channel Beam Bridge	7.4.1
7.4.2	General Underside View of Channel Beam Bridge	7.4.2
7.4.3	General View of a Precast Channel Beam Bridge	7.4.3
7.4.4	Underside View of a Cast-in-Place Channel Beam Bridge.....	7.4.3
7.4.5	Cross Section of a Typical Channel Beam.....	7.4.4
7.4.6	Excessive Deflection at Mid-Span	7.4.7
7.4.7	Joint Leakage Between Channel Beams	7.4.8
7.4.8	Top of Deck View of Precast Channel Beam Bridge .	7.4.8
7.4.9	Stem Tie-Bolts	7.4.9
7.4.10	Close-up of Stem Tie-Bolts.....	7.4.9
7.4.11	Close-up of Diaphragm	7.4.10
Topic 7.5		
Concrete Arches and Arch Culverts		
7.5.1	Open Spandrel Arch Bridge	7.5.1
7.5.2	Multi-Span Closed Spandrel Arch Bridge	7.5.2
7.5.3	Concrete Through Arch Bridge.....	7.5.3
7.5.4	Precast Concrete Arch with Vertical Legs	7.5.4
7.5.5	Precast Segmental Arch Culvert	7.5.4
7.5.6	Precast Post-Tensioned Concrete Arch Without Spandrel Columns	7.5.5
7.5.7	Concrete Arch Culvert	7.5.6

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
7.5.8	Primary and Secondary Members of an Open Spandrel Arch.....	7.5.7
7.5.9	Primary Members of a Closed Spandrel Arch	7.5.8
7.5.10	Open Spandrel Arch Reinforcement	7.5.9
7.5.11	Spandrel Bent Cap Reinforcement.....	7.5.9
7.5.12	Reinforcement in a Closed Spandrel Arch.....	7.5.10
7.5.13	Spandrel Column Cap Interface	7.5.13
7.5.14	Spandrel Bent Tension Zone.....	7.5.14
7.5.15	Deteriorated Arch/Spandrel Wall Interface	7.5.14
7.5.16	Severe Scaling and Spalling on a Spandrel Column...	7.5.15
7.5.17	Scaling and Contamination on an Arch Rib Due to a Failed Drainage System	7.5.16
7.5.18	Reinforcement in a Closed Spandrel Arch.....	7.5.10
7.5.19	Spandrel Column Cap Interface	7.5.13

Topic 7.6 Concrete Rigid Frames

7.6.1	Three Span Concrete Rigid Frame Bridge.....	7.6.1
7.6.2	Typical Multi-Span Rectangular Concrete Rigid Frame Bridge.....	7.6.2
7.6.3	Typical Concrete K-Frame Bridge.....	7.6.2
7.6.4	Typical Concrete Frame Culvert.....	7.6.3
7.6.5	Elevation of a Single Span Slab Beam Frame	7.6.3
7.6.6	Elevation of a K-Frame.....	7.6.4
7.6.7	Deflected Frame Shape	7.6.4
7.6.8	Tension Reinforcement in a Single Span Slab Beam Frame.....	7.6.5
7.6.9	Tension Reinforcement in a Multi-Span Beam Frame.....	7.6.5
7.6.10	Tension, Shear, and Column Reinforcement in a Typical K-Frame	7.6.6
7.6.11	Shear Zones in Single Span and Multi-Span Frames..	7.6.8
7.6.12	Tension Zones in a Single Span Beam Frame	7.6.9
7.6.13	Tension Zones in a Multi-Span Frame.....	7.6.9
7.6.14	K-Frame Leg	7.6.10
7.6.15	Roadway of a Rigid Frame Bridge with Asphalt Wearing Surface.....	7.6.10
7.6.16	Longitudinal Joint Between Slab Beam Frames	7.6.11

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 7.7 Precast and Prestressed Slabs		
7.7.1	Typical Prestressed Slab Beam Bridge	7.7.1
7.7.2	Cross Section of a Typical Voided Slab	7.7.2
7.7.3	Prestressed Slab Beam Bridge Reinforcement.....	7.7.3
7.7.4	Leaking Joint Between Adjacent Slab Units.....	7.7.5
7.7.5	Exposed Strands in a Prestressed Slab Beam.....	7.7.6
Topic 7.8 Prestressed Double Tees		
7.8.1	Typical Prestressed Double Tee Beam	7.8.1
7.8.2	Prestressed Double Tee Beam End	7.8.2
7.8.3	Dapped End of a Prestressed Double Tee Beam.....	7.8.2
7.8.4	Steel Reinforcement in a Prestressed Double Tee Beam	7.8.3
7.8.5	Crack Locations for Dapped End Double Tee Beams	7.8.6
Topic 7.9 Prestressed I-Beams		
7.9.1	Prestressed I-Beam Superstructure	7.9.1
7.9.2	AASHTO Cross Section of Prestressed I-Beams.....	7.9.2
7.9.3	Reactive Powder Concrete (RPC) Prestressed X-Beam	7.9.3
7.9.4	Continuous Prestressed I-Beam Bridge	7.9.4
7.9.5	Cast-in-Place Stirrups.....	7.9.4
7.9.6	Concrete End Diaphragm	7.9.5
7.9.7	Prestressed I-Beam Reinforcement (Schematic).....	7.9.6
7.9.8	Bearing Area of a Typical Prestressed I-Beam	7.9.8
7.9.9	Spalling Due to Poor Concrete.....	7.9.9
7.9.10	Flexure Crack	7.9.10
7.9.11	Typical Concrete Diaphragm	7.9.11
7.9.12	Leakage of Water at Inlet.....	7.9.11
7.9.13	Collision Damage on Prestressed Concrete I-Beam ...	7.9.12
7.9.14	Collision Damage Repair on Prestressed Concrete I- Beam	7.9.13

	<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Topic 7.10 Prestressed Box Beams		
7.10.1	Typical Box Beam Bridge.....	7.10.1
7.10.2	Schematic of a Typical Box Beam Cross Section.....	7.10.2
7.10.3	Box Beams at Fabrication Plant Showing Shear Connectors and Extended Rebar for Continuity	7.10.3
7.10.4	Applications for Prestressed Box Beams	7.10.4
7.10.5	Adjacent Box Beams Acting as the Deck	7.10.5
7.10.6	Schematic of Lateral Post-Tensioning of an Adjacent Box Beam Bridge	7.10.6
7.10.7	Underside of a Typical Spread Box Beam.....	7.10.6
7.10.8	External Diaphragms on a Spread Box Beam Bridge.	7.10.7
7.10.9	Schematic of Internal Diaphragms.....	7.10.7
7.10.10	Schematic of Typical Prestressed Box Beam Reinforcing.....	7.10.8
7.10.11	Spalled Beam Ends	7.10.12
7.10.12	Exposed Bars at End of Box Beams	7.10.13
7.10.13	Longitudinal Cracks in Bottom Flange at Beam.....	7.10.13
7.10.14	Spall and Exposed Reinforcement	7.10.14
7.10.15	Joint Leakage and Rust Stain	7.10.14
7.10.16	Close-up of Collision Damage	7.10.15
7.10.17	Burlap Fold Depressions in an Early 1950's Prestressed Box Beam.....	7.10.15
Topic 7.11 Concrete Box Girders		
7.11.1	Typical Cast-in-Place Box Girder Section.....	7.11.1
7.11.2	Typical Cast-in-Place Concrete Box Girder Section ..	7.11.2
7.11.3	Multi-Cell Girder	7.11.3
7.11.4	Typical Cast-in-Place Concrete Box Girder Bridge....	7.11.3
7.11.5	High Level Formwork Support Scaffolding	7.11.4
7.11.6	At-Grade Formwork with Post-Tensioning Ducts	7.11.4
7.11.7	Box Girder Bridge Construction Using At-Grade Forming	7.11.5
7.11.8	Basic Elements of a Cast-in-Place Box Girder	7.11.5
7.11.9	Replaceable Deck Slab on a Multiple Cell Cast-in- Place Box Girder	7.11.6
7.11.10	Longitudinal Reinforcement in a Concrete Box Girder	7.11.7

Figure No.		Page No.
7.11.11	Formwork with Post-Tensioning Duct End Fittings and Spiral Anchorage Reinforcement	7.11.7
7.11.12	Segmental Concrete Bridge.....	7.11.8
7.11.13	Close-up of Segment.....	7.11.9
7.11.14	Box Girder.....	7.11.10
7.11.15	Box Girder Segment.....	7.11.11
7.11.16	Balanced Cantilever Method.....	7.11.12
7.11.17	Balanced Cantilever Construction.....	7.11.12
7.11.18	Balanced Cantilever Construction.....	7.11.13
7.11.19	Span-by-Span Construction (with Erection Truss)	7.11.14
7.11.20	Span-by-Span Close-up (with Erection Truss)	7.11.14
7.11.21	Span-by-Span Total Span Erection (Lifting)	7.11.15
7.11.22	Progressive Placement Construction.....	7.11.16
7.11.23	Incremental Launching Method	7.11.17
7.11.24	Incremental Launching Overview (Note Temporary Pile Bent).....	7.11.17
7.11.25	Bearing Area of a Cast-in-Place Box Girder Bridge...	7.11.19
7.11.26	Shear Crack Location Near an Abutment	7.11.19
7.11.27	Web Splitting Near an Anchorage Block.....	7.11.21
7.11.28	Thermal Cracking.....	7.11.22
7.11.29	Post-Tensioning Tendon Duct.....	7.11.23
7.11.30	Interior Formwork Left in Place	7.11.24
7.11.31	Location of Observation Points Across the Deck	7.11.25
7.11.32	Box Girder Bearings at Intermediate Pier.....	7.11.26
7.11.33	Box Girder Cracks Induced by Direct Tension.....	7.11.26
7.11.34	Box Girder Cracks Induced by Flexure (Positive Moment).....	7.11.27
7.11.35	Box Girder Cracks Induced by Flexure (Negative Moment).....	7.11.27
7.11.36	Box Girder Cracks Induced by Flexure Shear	7.11.27
7.11.37	Box Girder Cracks Induced by Shear.....	7.11.28
7.11.38	Box Girder Cracks Adjacent to Anchorage Block.....	7.11.28
7.11.39	Close-up View of Box Girder Shear Keys.....	7.11.29
7.11.40	View of Box Girder Joint and Anchorage Block.....	7.11.30
7.11.41	Box Girder Interior Diaphragm.....	7.11.31
7.11.42	Box Girder Cracks Induced by Torsion and Shear	7.11.32
7.11.43	Thermally Induced Cracks in Box Girder Slab.....	7.11.33
7.11.44	Thermally Induced Cracks at Change in Box Girder Cross Section.....	7.11.33
7.11.45	Inside View of Externally Post-Tensioned Box Girder	7.11.34

	<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Topic 7.12 Concrete Box Culverts		
7.12.1	Concrete Box Culvert.....	7.12.1
7.12.2	Multi-cell Concrete Box Culvert.....	7.12.2
7.12.3	Loads on a Concrete Box Culvert	7.12.3
7.12.4	Shear Steel in Box Walls.....	7.12.4
7.12.5	Precast Box Sections with Post-Tensioning Steel.....	7.12.4
7.12.6	Precast Concrete Box Sections.....	7.12.5
7.12.7	Sighting Along Culvert Sidewall to Check Horizontal Alignment.....	7.12.8
7.12.8	Precast Concrete Box Culvert Joint	7.12.9
7.12.9	Cast in Place Concrete Box Culvert Outlet.....	7.12.9
7.12.10	Spalls and Delaminations.....	7.12.10
7.12.11	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	7.12.12
7.12.12	Standard Sizes for Concrete Pipe (Source: American Concrete Pipe Association	7.12.13
Topic 8.1 Fatigue and Fracture in Steel		
8.1.1	Silver Bridge Collapse	8.1.1
8.1.2	Mianus River Bridge Failure.....	8.1.2
8.1.3	Load Path Redundant Multi-Girder Bridge.....	8.1.4
8.1.4	Structurally Redundant Continuous Span Bridge	8.1.4
8.1.5	Internally Redundant Riveted I-Beam	8.1.5
8.1.6	Internally Redundant Riveted Box Shapes	8.1.6
8.1.7	Patch Plate on Girder Web Along Flange Angle	8.1.6
8.1.8	Non-Redundant Two Girder Bridge.....	8.1.7
8.1.9	Brittle Fracture of Cast Iron Specimen	8.1.8
8.1.10	Ductile Fracture of Cold Rolled Steel.....	8.1.9
8.1.11	Groove Weld Nomenclature	8.1.12
8.1.12	Fillet Weld Nomenclature	8.1.12
8.1.13	Plug Weld Schematic	8.1.13
8.1.14	Tack Weld	8.1.13
8.1.15	Types of Welded Joints.....	8.1.14
8.1.16	Centerline Crack in Steel Slab	8.1.15
8.1.17	Shrinkage Cavity in Steel Billet.....	8.1.16
8.1.18	Incomplete Penetration of a Double V-Groove Weld.....	8.1.17
8.1.19	Crack Initiation From Lack of Fusion in Heat Affected Zone of Electroslag Groove Weld of a Butt Joint.....	8.1.17

Figure No.		Page No.
8.1.20	Web to Flange Crack due to Fillet Weld Slag Inclusion.....	8.1.18
8.1.21	Crack Initiation From Porosity in Longitudinal Web- to-Flange Fillet Weld of Plate Girder.....	8.1.18
8.1.22	Crack Resulting From Previously Plug Welded Hole (Now Bolted)	8.1.19
8.1.23	Undercut of a Fillet Weld.....	8.1.20
8.1.24	Overlap of a Fillet Weld.....	8.1.21
8.1.25	Crack Initiation at Coped Web in Stringer to Floorbeam	8.1.21
8.1.26	Insufficiently Ground Flame Cut of Gusset Plate for Arch to Tie Girder Connection	8.1.22
8.1.27	Severe Collision Damage on a Fascia Girder	8.1.23
8.1.28	Applied Tensile and Compressive Stress Cycles	8.1.24
8.1.29	Illustrative Examples of Bridge Details	8.1.25
8.1.30	Part-Through Crack at a Cover Plated Flange	8.1.26
8.1.31	Part-Through Crack Growth at Cover Plate Welded to Flange.....	8.1.27
8.1.32	Through Crack Growth at Cover Plate Welded to Flange.....	8.1.28
8.1.33	Through Crack at a Cover Plated Flange	8.1.29
8.1.34	Through Crack has Propagated into the Web	8.1.30
8.1.35	Brittle Fracture – Herringbone Pattern.....	8.1.31
8.1.36	Crack Growth at Transverse Stiffener Welded to Web	8.1.32
8.1.37	Part-Through Web Crack	8.1.33
8.1.38	Through Crack in Web.....	8.1.33
8.1.39	Through Crack Ready to Propagate into the Flange ...	8.1.34
8.1.40	AASHTO Table 10.3.1B – Fatigue Categories of Bridge Details.....	8.1.37
8.1.40	AASHTO Table 10.3.1B – Fatigue Categories of Bridge Details (Continued)	8.1.38
8.1.40	AASHTO Table 10.3.1B – Fatigue Categories of Bridge Details (Continued)	8.1.39
8.1.41	Out-of-Plane Distortion in Web Gap at End of Transverse Connection Plate.....	8.1.40
8.1.42	Crack Near Top Flange at a Diaphragm Connection Plate which is on the Opposite Side of the Web	8.1.26
8.1.43	Category E Flange Penetration Through Web Plate ...	8.1.42
8.1.44	Poor Quality Welds.....	8.1.43
8.1.45	Intersecting Welds.....	8.1.43

Figure No.		Page No.
8.1.46	Fracture of a Coped Member	8.1.44
8.1.47	Flange Termination	8.1.45
8.1.48	Cracked Stringer to Floorbeam Connection Angle	8.1.45
8.1.49	Cracked Stringer to Floorbeam Connection Angle	8.1.46
8.1.50	Cracks at Top of Floorbeam Connection to Girder	8.1.48
8.1.51	Cracked Stringer Connection Angle	8.1.49
8.1.52	Cracks Perpendicular or Parallel to Applied Stress	8.1.51

Topic 8.2
Rolled Steel
Multi-Beams
and Fabricated
Steel
Multi-Girders

8.2.1	Simple Span Rolled Multi-Beam Bridge	8.2.2
8.2.2	Continuous Span Rolled Multi-Beam Bridge with Pin & Hanger	8.2.2
8.2.3	Rolled Multi-Beam Bridge with a Cover Plate	8.2.3
8.2.4	Built-up Riveted Plate Girder.....	8.2.3
8.2.5	Welded Plate Girder.....	8.2.4
8.2.6	Single Span Fabricated Multi-Girder Bridge	8.2.4
8.2.7	Continuous Span Fabricated Multi-Girder Bridge	8.2.5
8.2.8	Curved Fabricated Multi-Girder Bridge.....	8.2.5
8.2.9	Fabricated Multi-Girder Bridge with Pin & Hanger Connection	8.2.6
8.2.10	Combination Rolled Beams and Fabricated Girder	8.2.6
8.2.11	Web Insert Plate	8.2.7
8.2.12	Fabricated Variable Depth Girder Bridge	8.2.8
8.2.13	Rolled Beam (Primary Member) with Diaphragm (Secondary Member).....	8.2.9
8.2.14	Curved Multi-Girder Bridge	8.2.9
8.2.15	Straight Multi-Girder Bridge.....	8.2.10
8.2.16	Corroded Shear Zone on a Rolled Multi-Beam Bridge.....	8.2.13
8.2.17	Mid-Span Flexural Zone on a Simple Rolled Multi- Beam Bridge.....	8.2.14
8.2.18	Mid-Span Flexural Zone on a Fabricated Multi- Girder Bridge	8.2.14
8.2.19	Negative Moment Region on a Continuous Rolled Multi-Beam Bridge	8.2.15
8.2.20	Negative Moment Region on a Continuous Fabricated Multi-Girder Bridge	8.2.15
8.2.21	Multi-Beam Diaphragm	8.2.16

Figure No.		Page No.
8.2.22	Multi-Girder Diaphragm	8.2.16
8.2.23	Collision Damage on a Rolled Multi-Beam Bridge....	8.2.17
8.2.24	Collision Damage on a Fabricated Multi-Girder Bridge.....	8.2.18
8.2.25	Field Splice	8.2.19
8.2.26	Welded Attachment in Tension Zone of a Beam	8.2.19
8.2.27	Fatigue Crack at Toe of Weld on a Fabricated Multi- Girder Bridge	8.2.20
8.2.28	Out-of-Plane Distortion in Web Gap at End of Transverse Connection Plate.....	8.2.21

Topic 8.3 Steel Two Girder Systems

8.3.1	General View of a Dual Two-Girder Bridge.....	8.3.1
8.3.2	Through Girder Bridge.....	8.3.2
8.3.3	Through Girder Bridge with Limited Underclearance.....	8.3.2
8.3.4	Through Girder Bridge with Three Girders	8.3.3
8.3.5	Two-Girder Bridge with Girder-Floorbeam System...	8.3.4
8.3.6	Two-Girder Bridge with Girder-Floorbeam-Stringer System.....	8.3.4
8.3.7	Two-Girder Bridge with GFS System with Stacked Floorbeam and Stringers	8.3.5
8.3.8	Underside View of Two-Girder Bridge with Lateral Bracing System	8.3.6
8.3.9	Underside View of Through Girder Bridge with Lateral Bracing.....	8.3.6
8.3.10	Two-Girder Bridge with Pin and Hanger Connection	8.3.7
8.3.11	Shear Zone on a Two-Girder Bridge.....	8.3.10
8.3.12	Web Area Near Support on a Through Girder Bridge	8.3.10
8.3.13	Flexural Zone on a Two-Girder Bridge	8.3.11
8.3.14	Longitudinal Stiffener in Tension Zone on a Two- Girder Bridge	8.3.11
8.3.15	Flexural Zone on a Through Girder Bridge	8.3.12
8.3.16	Lateral Bracing Connection on a Two-Girder Bridge.	8.3.12
8.3.17	Lateral Bracing Connection on a Through Girder Bridge.....	8.3.13
8.3.18	Collision Damage to a Two-Girder Bridge.....	8.3.14
8.3.19	Collision Damage to a Through Girder Bridge.....	8.3.14
8.3.20	Web Stiffeners and Welded Flange Splice	8.3.15
8.3.21	Intersecting Welds.....	8.3.16
8.3.22	Tension Zone on a Floorbeam Tie Plate	8.3.16

Figure No.		Page No.
8.3.23	Floorbeam to Girder Connection	8.3.17
8.3.24	Cracked Caused by Out-of-Plane Distortion.....	8.3.18
8.3.25	Lateral Bracing Gusset at Floorbeam or Diaphragm Connection Plate	8.3.19
8.3.26	Cracked Caused by Out-of-Plane Distortion.....	8.3.19
8.3.27	Crack Caused by Out-of-Plane Distortion	8.3.20
8.3.28	Cantilever Floorbeam.....	8.3.21

Topic 8.4 Pin and Hanger Assemblies

8.4.1	Single Pin with Riveted Pin Plate	8.4.1
8.4.2	Typical Pin and Hanger Assembly.....	8.4.2
8.4.3	Pin and Hanger Assembly Locations Relative to Piers.....	8.4.2
8.4.4	Pin and Hanger Assembly	8.4.3
8.4.5	Pin Cap with Through Bolt	8.4.4
8.4.6	Threaded Pin with Retaining Nut.....	8.4.5
8.4.7	Plate Type Hanger and Eyebars Shape Link	8.4.6
8.4.8	Pin Cap.....	8.4.7
8.4.9	Retaining Nut	8.4.7
8.4.10	Web Doubler Plates.....	8.4.8
8.4.11	Design Stress in a Hanger Plate (Tension Only).....	8.4.9
8.4.12	Actual Stress in a Hanger Plate (Tension and Bending).....	8.4.9
8.4.13	Design Stress in a Pin (Shear and Bearing)	8.4.10
8.4.14	Actual Stress in a Pin (Shear, Bearing and Torsion)...	8.4.10
8.4.15	High Bearing Stress in a Pin due to Corrosion	8.4.11
8.4.16	Mianus River Bridge Failure.....	8.4.11
8.4.17	Multi-Girder Bridge with Pin and Hanger Assemblies	8.4.12
8.4.18	Ultrasonic Testing of a Pin.....	8.4.14
8.4.19	Alternate Hanger Link Retaining System	8.4.15
8.4.20	Pin Measurement Locations.....	8.4.16
8.4.21	Rust Stains from Pin Corrosion	8.4.17
8.4.22	Close-up of a Crack on a Hanger Plate	8.4.18
8.4.23	Bowing Due to Out-of-Plane Distortion of Hanger	8.4.19
8.4.24	Corroded Pin and Hanger Assembly.....	8.4.20
8.4.25	Underslung Catcher Retrofit	8.4.21

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 8.5		
Steel Box Girders		
8.5.1	Simple Span Box Girder Bridge	8.5.1
8.5.2	Curved Box Girder Bridge	8.5.1
8.5.3	Single Box Girder	8.5.2
8.5.4	Spread Box Girders	8.5.3
8.5.5	Diaphragms – K-Bracing and Plate.....	8.5.4
8.5.6	External Diaphragm	8.5.5
8.5.7	Box Girder Access Door	8.5.5
8.5.8	Box Girder Cross Section with Composite Deck.....	8.5.6
8.5.9	Box Girder Cross Section with Orthotropic Steel Plate Deck	8.5.7
8.5.10	Box Girder Shear Zone	8.5.9
8.5.11	Continuous Box Girders.....	8.5.9
8.5.12	Box Girder Flexural Zone	8.5.10
8.5.13	Field Splice	8.5.11
8.5.14	Butt Welds in Back-up Bars Ground Out as Retrofit..	8.5.12
8.5.15	Non-Redundant Box Girder Bridge	8.5.13
8.5.16	Redundant Box Girder Bridge	8.5.13
8.5.17	Box Girder Internal Diaphragm Not Attached to Flange.....	8.5.14
Topic 8.6		
Trusses		
8.6.1	Single Span Truss.....	8.6.1
8.6.2	Through-Pony-Deck Truss Comparisons.....	8.6.2
8.6.3	Through Truss	8.6.2
8.6.4	Pony Truss.....	8.6.3
8.6.5	Deck Truss	8.6.3
8.6.6	Suspension Bridge with Stiffening Truss.....	8.6.4
8.6.7	Arch Bridge with Stiffening Truss	8.6.4
8.6.8	Vertical Lift Bridge	8.6.5
8.6.9	Various Truss Designs	8.6.5
8.6.10	Single Span Pony Truss	8.6.6
8.6.11	Single Span Through Truss.....	8.6.6
8.6.12	Multiple Span Pony Truss.....	8.6.7
8.6.13	Multiple Span Through Truss	8.6.7
8.6.14	Continuous Through Truss.....	8.6.8
8.6.15	Cantilever Through Truss	8.6.8
8.5.16	Continuous Deck Truss.....	8.6.9
8.6.17	Cantilever Through Truss	8.6.9
8.6.18	Truss Members and Elements	8.6.10

Figure No.		Page No.
8.6.19	Rolled Steel Shapes.....	8.6.10
8.6.20	Typical Compression Members	8.6.11
8.6.21	Axial Loads in Chord Members.....	8.6.12
8.6.22	Single Span Through Truss – General Elevation View	8.6.12
8.6.23	3 Span Continuous Through Truss.....	8.6.13
8.6.24	“Imaginary Cable – Imaginary Arch”	8.6.14
8.6.25	Vertical Stress Prediction Method	8.6.15
8.6.26	Vertical Stress Prediction Method	8.6.16
8.6.27	Vertical Stress Prediction Method	8.6.16
8.6.28	Truss Panel Point using Shop Rivets and Field Bolts.	8.6.17
8.6.29	Pin Connected Truss	8.6.18
8.6.30	Truss Panel Point Numbering System	8.6.18
8.6.31	Deck Truss	8.6.19
8.6.32	A Pennsylvania Truss, a Subdivided Pratt Truss with a Camel Back Top Chord.....	8.6.20
8.6.33	Deck Truss Floor System.....	8.6.20
8.6.34	Floorbeam Stringer Floor System	8.6.21
8.6.35	Upper Lateral Bracing.....	8.6.22
8.6.36	Lower Lateral Bracing	8.6.22
8.6.37	Corroded Lateral Bracing Gusset Plate.....	8.6.23
8.6.38	Sway Bracing	8.6.24
8.6.39	Sway Bracing	8.6.24
8.6.40	Portal Bracing.....	8.6.25
8.6.41	Pony Truss “Sway Brace”	8.6.25
8.6.42	Truss Blue Prints	8.6.28
8.6.43	Bottom Chord.....	8.6.29
8.6.44	Inside of Box chord Member	8.6.30
8.6.45	Forge Zone on an Eyebars	8.6.30
8.6.46	Forge Zone on a Loop Rod	8.6.31
8.6.47	Bottom Chord.....	8.6.31
8.6.48	Welded Repair to Loop Rod	8.6.32
8.6.49	Bowed Bottom Chord Eyebars Member	8.6.32
8.6.50	Buckled Lowered Chord Member L0L1, due to Abutment Movement	8.6.33
8.6.51	Collision Damage to Vertical Member	8.6.34
8.6.52	Buckled End Post and Temporary Supports	8.6.35
8.6.53	Deterioration of Floorbeam and Connection.....	8.6.36
8.6.54	Deteriorated Stringer	8.6.36
8.6.55	Deteriorated Stringer Connection	8.6.37
8.6.56	Deteriorated Floor System	8.6.37
8.6.57	Welded Repair Plate.....	8.6.38
8.6.58	Coped Stringer	8.6.39

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
8.6.59	Clip Angles at Floorbeam and Stringer Connections..	8.6.39
8.6.60	Suspended Span Pin	8.6.40
8.6.61	Sewickley Bridge	8.6.41
8.6.62	Through Truss with Fracture Critical Members Identified	8.6.41
8.6.63	Worn and Corroded Pin	8.6.42
8.6.64	Collision Damage to Portal	8.6.43
8.6.65	Lateral Bracing.....	8.6.43
8.6.66	Corroded Sway Bracing	8.6.44

Topic 8.7 Steel Eyebars

8.7.1	Typical Eyebare Tension Member on a Truss	8.7.1
8.7.2	Eyebare Cantilevered Truss Bridge (Queensboro Bridge, NYC)	8.7.1
8.7.3	Eyebare Chain Suspension Bridge.....	8.7.2
8.7.4	Anchorage Eyebare	8.7.2
8.7.5	Collapsed Silver Bridge	8.7.3
8.7.6	Inspection of Eyebars.....	8.7.4
8.7.7	Retrofit of Eyebars to Add Redundancy	8.7.4
8.7.8	Close-up of Tightly Packed Eyebare Connection with Corrosion.....	8.7.5
8.7.9	Eads Bridge, St. Louis.....	8.7.6
8.7.10	Forging of a Loop Rod.....	8.7.7
8.7.11	Close-up of the End of a Loop Rod	8.7.7
8.7.12	Forging of an Eyebare.....	8.7.8
8.7.13	Close-up of an Eyebare Pin Hole (Disassembled Connection)	8.7.9
8.7.14	Eyebare Dimensions	8.7.10
8.7.15	Loosely Packed Eyebare Connection.....	8.7.11
8.7.16	Tightly Packed Eyebare Connection.....	8.7.11
8.7.17	Close-up of a Steel Filling Ring, or Pin Spacer	8.7.12
8.7.18	Non-redundant Eyebare Member.....	8.7.13
8.7.19	Close-up of the Forge Zone on an Eyebare (Arrow denotes crack).....	8.7.15
8.7.20	Bowed Eyebare Member.....	8.7.16
8.7.21	Buckled Eyebare due to Abutment Movement.....	8.7.17
8.7.22	Non-parallel Eyebare Member.....	8.7.17
8.7.23	Corroded Spacer.....	8.7.18
8.7.24	Symmetry at an Eyebare Connection.....	8.7.19
8.7.25	Eyebare Member with Unequal Load Distribution.....	8.7.20
8.7.26	Welds on Loop Rods.....	8.7.21
8.7.27	Welded Repair to Loop Rods.....	8.7.21

Figure No.		Page No.
8.7.28	Close-up of Turnbuckles	8.7.22
8.7.29	Welded Repair to Turnbuckles.....	8.7.22
8.7.30	Ultrasonic Inspection of Eyebar Pin	8.7.23
8.7.31	Internally Non-redundant Eyebar Bottom Chord and Diagonal Truss Members	8.7.24
8.7.32	Internally Redundant Eyebar Top Chord Truss Member	8.7.24

Topic 8.8 **Steel Arches**

8.8.1	Deck Arch Bridge	8.8.1
8.8.2	Through Arch Bridge	8.8.1
8.8.3	Tied Arch Bridge.....	8.8.2
8.8.4	Deck Arch	8.8.3
8.8.5	Solid Ribbed Deck Arch	8.8.3
8.8.6	Braced Rib Deck Arch, New River Gorge, WV	8.8.4
8.8.7	Spandrel Braced Deck Arch with Six Arch Ribs.....	8.8.4
8.8.8	Hinge Pin at Skewback for Spandrel Braced Deck Arch (Navajo Bridge).....	8.8.5
8.8.9	Solid Ribbed Deck Arch Primary Members	8.8.6
8.8.10	Solid Ribbed Deck Arch Secondary Members	8.8.7
8.8.11	Elevation View of a Braced Ribbed Through Arch	8.8.8
8.8.12	Through Arch Primary Members	8.8.9
8.8.13	Through Arch Bracing (Secondary Members).....	8.8.10
8.8.14	Tied Arch	8.8.11
8.8.15	Tied Arch Primary Members	8.8.12
8.8.16	Tied Arch Secondary Members	8.8.13
8.8.17	Solid Ribbed Deck Arch	8.8.15
8.8.18	Through Truss Arch Members	8.8.16
8.8.19	Solid Ribbed Deck Arch Showing Spandrel Columns	8.8.17
8.8.20	Hanger Connection on a Through Arch	8.8.18
8.8.21	Exterior View of Hanger Connection on a Tied Arch	8.8.18
8.8.22	Floor System on a Through Arch.....	8.8.19
8.8.23	Tie Girder Interior	8.8.20
8.8.24	Partial Depth Diaphragm in a Tied Box Girder	8.8.21
8.8.25	Bracing Members (New River Gorge Bridge)	8.8.22
8.8.26	Areas Exposed to Traffic	8.8.22

	Figure No.		Page No.
Topic 8.9 Steel Rigid Frames			
	8.9.1	Typical Rigid K-Frame Constructed of Two Frames .	8.9.1
	8.9.2	Typical Rigid Frame Constructed of Multiple Frames	8.9.2
	8.9.3	Typical K-Frame	8.9.3
	8.9.4	Connection Between Legs and Girder Portion of a K-Frame	8.9.4
	8.9.5	Typical Delta Frame.....	8.9.5
	8.9.6	Leg Connections and Bearing Area on a Delta Frame.....	8.9.5
	8.9.7	Hinge Bearing	8.9.6
	8.9.8	Frame Members, Floorbeams, and Stringers	8.9.7
	8.9.9	Transverse, Longitudinal, and Radial Stiffeners on a Frame Knee	8.9.8
	8.9.10	Deck Underside on a Multiple Frame Rigid Frame	8.9.9
	8.9.11	Two Frame Bridge with Floorbeam-Stringer Floor System	8.9.9
	8.9.12	Lateral Bracing.....	8.9.10
	8.9.13	View of Lateral Bracing and Diaphragms	8.9.11
	8.9.14	Stress Zones in a Frame	8.9.11
	8.9.15	Dual Frame Rigid Frame – A Fracture Critical Structure	8.9.12
	8.9.16	Multiple Frame Rigid Frame – Not a Fracture Critical Structure	8.9.12
	8.9.17	Bearing Area of a Two Frame Bridge.....	8.9.14
	8.9.18	General Elevation View	8.9.15
	8.9.19	Diaphragm Connection	8.9.16
	8.9.20	Welded Flange Splice on a Delta Frame.....	8.9.17
Topic 9.1 Bridge Bearings			
	9.1.1	Functions of a Bearing	9.1.1
	9.1.2	Fixed and Expansion Bearings.....	9.1.2
	9.1.3	Elements of a Typical Bridge Bearing.....	9.1.3
	9.1.4	Lubricated Steel Plate Bearing.....	9.1.4
	9.1.5	Bronze Sliding Plate Bearing.....	9.1.5
	9.1.6	Self-Lubricating Bronze Sliding Plate Bearing	9.1.6
	9.1.7	Single Roller Bearing.....	9.1.7
	9.1.8	Roller Nest Bearing.....	9.1.8
	9.1.9	Rocker Bearing.....	9.1.8
	9.1.10	Segmental Rocker Bearing.....	9.1.9

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
9.1.11	Segmental Rocker Nest Bearing	9.1.10
9.1.12	Pinned Rocker Bearing	9.1.11
9.1.13	Pin and Link Bearing	9.1.12
9.1.14	Plain Neoprene Bearing Pad	9.1.13
9.1.15	Laminated Neoprene Bearing Pad	9.1.14
9.1.16	Lead Core Isolation Bearing	9.1.15
9.1.17	Lead Core Isolation Bearing	9.1.15
9.1.18	Friction Pendulum Bearing	9.1.16
9.1.19	Pot Bearing.....	9.1.17
9.1.20	Restraining Bearing.....	9.1.18
9.1.21	Heavy Corrosion on Steel Rocker Bearing.....	9.1.20
9.1.22	Frozen Rocker Bearing	9.1.20
9.1.23	Spalling of Bridge Seat Due to High Edge Stress.....	9.1.21
9.1.24	Bent Anchor Bolt	9.1.21
9.1.25	Uplift at Bridge Bearing.....	9.1.22
9.1.26	Sliding Plate Bearing Inspection Checklist Items.....	9.1.23
9.1.27	Longitudinal Misalignment in Bronze Sliding Plate Bearing	9.1.24
9.1.28	Damaged Roller Nest Bearing	9.1.25
9.1.29	Rocker Bearing Inspection Checklist Items.....	9.1.26
9.1.30	Excessive Tilt in a Segmental Rocker.....	9.1.26
9.1.31	Frozen Rocker Nest.....	9.1.27
9.1.32	Angle of Rotation for Pot Bearing	9.1.28
9.1.33	Elastomeric Bearing Inspection Checklist Items	9.1.30
9.1.34	Neoprene Bearing Pad “Walking” Out from Under a Beam	9.1.30
9.1.35	Lead Core Isolation Bearing	9.1.31
9.1.36	Critical Bearing Condition	9.1.33
9.1.37	Broken Pintle on a Tied Arch Bearing.....	9.1.33

Topic 10.1 Abutments and Wingwalls

10.1.1	Schematic of Common Abutment Types	10.1.3
10.1.2	Full Height Abutment	10.1.4
10.1.3	Stub Abutment	10.1.4
10.1.4	Open Abutment	10.1.5
10.1.5	Integral Abutment	10.1.6
10.1.6	Integral Abutment	10.1.6
10.1.7	Integral Abutment	10.1.7
10.1.8	Mechanically Stabilized Earth Abutment	10.1.8
10.1.9	Mechanically Stabilized Earth Abutment (Note Precast Concrete Panels and Metal Strips).....	10.1.8

Figure No.		Page No.
10.1.10	Mechanically Stabilized Earth Wall Under Construction	10.1.9
10.1.11	GRS Bridge Abutment Developed at the Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center.....	10.1.10
10.1.12	View of the Founders/Meadows Bridge Supported by GRS Abutments	10.1.10
10.1.13	Plain Unreinforced Concrete Gravity Abutment.....	10.1.11
10.1.14	Reinforced Concrete Cantilever Abutment.....	10.1.11
10.1.15	Stone Masonry Gravity Abutment	10.1.12
10.1.16	Combination: Timber Pile Bent Abutment with Reinforced Concrete Cap	10.1.12
10.1.17	Combination: Stone Masonry Gravity Abutment with Reinforced Concrete Apron	10.1.13
10.1.18	Combination: Stone Masonry Gravity Abutment with Reinforced Concrete Bearing.....	10.1.13
10.1.19	Primary Reinforcing Steel in Abutments	10.1.14
10.1.20	Stub Abutment on Piles with Piles Exposed	10.1.14
10.1.21	Cheek Wall.....	10.1.16
10.1.22	Stub Abutment on Piles.....	10.1.16
10.1.23	Differential Settlement Between Different Substructure Units.....	10.1.18
10.1.24	Differential Settlement Under an Abutment	10.1.18
10.1.25	Cracks in Abutment due to Settlement	10.1.19
10.1.26	Lateral Movement of an Abutment due to Slope Failure	10.1.20
10.1.27	Excessive Rocker Bearing Displacement Indicating Possible Lateral Displacement	10.1.21
10.1.28	Joint Opening Between Deck and Approach Slab	10.1.21
10.1.29	Erosion at Abutment	10.1.22
10.1.30	Rotational Movement of an Abutment.....	10.1.22
10.1.31	Rotational Movement at Abutment.....	10.1.23
10.1.32	Rotational Movement due to “Lateral Squeeze” of Embankment Material	10.1.24
10.1.33	Cracking in Bearing Seat of Concrete and Stone Abutment.....	10.1.25
10.1.34	Deteriorated Concrete in Abutment Backwall	10.1.26
10.1.35	Deteriorated Stone Masonry Abutment	10.1.26
10.1.36	Steel Abutment.....	10.1.27
10.1.37	Local Failure in Timber Pile due to Lateral Movement of Abutment	10.1.28
10.1.38	Decay in Lagging of Timber Abutment	10.1.28
10.1.39	Decayed Lagging and Scour around Timber Piles.....	10.1.29
10.1.40	Abutment with Scour and Undermining	10.1.29

Figure No.		Page No.
10.1.41	Wading Inspection	10.1.30
10.1.42	Typical Wingwall.....	10.1.31
10.1.43	Straight Wingwall	10.1.32
10.1.44	Flared Wingwall.....	10.1.32
10.1.45	U-Wingwall.....	10.1.33
10.1.46	Integral Wingwall.....	10.1.33
10.1.47	Integral Wingwall.....	10.1.34
10.1.48	Independent Wingwall	10.1.34
10.1.49	Masonry Wingwall.....	10.1.35
10.1.50	Primary Reinforcing Steel in Concrete Cantilever Wingwall.....	10.1.35
10.1.51	Rotational Movement at Concrete Wingwall.....	10.1.36
10.1.52	Deteriorated Concrete Wingwall.....	10.1.36
10.1.53	Scour Under Concrete Wingwall	10.1.37
10.1.54	Roadway Shoulder Erosion Behind Wingwall	10.1.37
10.1.55	Crack at Integral Concrete Wingwall.....	10.1.38
10.1.56	Deteriorating Stone Masonry Wingwall	10.1.38
10.1.57	Deteriorating Timber Wingwall.....	10.1.39

Topic 10.2 **Piers and Bents**

10.2.1	Example of Piers as Intermediate Supports for a Bridge.....	10.2.1
10.2.2	Solid Shaft Pier	10.2.2
10.2.3	Column Pier	10.2.2
10.2.4	Column Pier with Web Wall.....	10.2.3
10.2.5	Column Pier with Web Wall.....	10.2.3
10.2.6	Single Stem Pier (Cantilever or Hammerhead).....	10.2.4
10.2.7	Cantilever Pier.....	10.2.4
10.2.8	Column Bent or Open Bent.....	10.2.5
10.2.9	Concrete Pile Bent.....	10.2.5
10.2.10	Integral Pier.....	10.2.6
10.2.11	Integral Pier Cap	10.2.7
10.2.12	Integral Pier Cap	10.2.7
10.2.13	Reinforced Concrete Piers under Construction.....	10.2.8
10.2.14	Stone Masonry Pier	10.2.9
10.2.15	Steel Bent	10.2.10
10.2.16	Timber Pile Bent	10.2.10
10.2.17	Combination: Reinforced Concrete and Steel Pier	10.2.11
10.2.18	Primary Reinforcing Steel in Column Pier with Web	10.2.12
10.2.19	Secondary Reinforcing Steel in Column Bent with Web	10.2.12

Figure No.		Page No.
10.2.20	Primary Reinforcing Steel in Column Bents.....	10.2.13
10.2.21	Cantilevers.....	10.2.14
10.2.22	Hammerhead Piers Joined by Web Wall	10.2.14
10.2.23	Pile Bent.....	10.2.15
10.2.24	Collision Wall	10.2.16
10.2.25	Collision Wall Pier.....	10.2.16
10.2.26	Concrete Block Dolphin.....	10.2.17
10.2.27	Timber Dolphin.....	10.2.17
10.2.28	Pier Fender	10.2.18
10.2.29	Fender System.....	10.2.18
10.2.30	Differential Settlement Between Different Substructure Units.....	10.2.19
10.2.31	Superstructure Evidence of Pier Settlement.....	10.2.20
10.2.32	Cracks in Bent Cap due to Lateral Movement of Bent during Earthquake.....	10.2.21
10.2.33	Pier Movement and Superstructure Damage Due to Scour	10.2.21
10.2.34	Tipping of Bent due to Scour	10.2.22
10.2.35	Concrete Deterioration due to Contaminated Drainage	10.2.23
10.2.36	Crack in Concrete Bent Cap.....	10.2.24
10.2.37	Severe Concrete Spalling on Bent Cap	10.2.24
10.2.38	Collision Damage to Pier Column	10.2.25
10.2.39	Deterioration of Concrete Pedestal Supporting Steel Column.....	10.2.27
10.2.40	Corrosion and Debris at Steel Pile Bent.....	10.2.27
10.2.41	Steel Column Bent	10.2.28
10.2.42	Steel Column Bent with Cantilever	10.2.28
10.2.43	Drilling a Timber Bent Column for a Core Sample....	10.2.29
10.2.44	Decay in Timber Bent Cap Adjacent to “Protective” Cover	10.2.30
10.2.45	Timber Bent Columns in Water	10.2.30
10.2.46	Decay of Timber Bent Column at Ground Line	10.2.31
10.2.47	Timber Pile Bent with Partial “Brooming” Failure at First Pile	10.2.31
10.2.48	Timber Pile Damage due to Limnoria Marine Borers	10.2.32
10.2.49	Timber Bent Damage due to Shipworm Marine Borers	10.2.32
10.2.50	Deteriorated and Missing Stone at Masonry Pier	10.2.33
10.2.51	Concrete Dolphins.....	10.2.34
10.2.52	Steel Fender.....	10.2.35
10.2.53	Timber Fender System	10.2.35

	Figure No.	Page No.
Topic 11.1 Waterway Elements		
11.1.1	Pier Foundation Failure.....	11.1.2
11.1.2	Typical Waterway Cross Section Showing Well Defined Channel Depression	11.1.4
11.1.3	Meandering River.....	11.1.5
11.1.4	Plan View of Rivers	11.1.6
11.1.5	Typical Floodplain	11.1.7
11.1.6	Hydraulic Waterway Opening.....	11.1.8
11.1.7	Crushed Stone Riprap	11.1.9
11.1.8	Spurs Constructed on Mackinaw River (Illinois Route 121).....	11.1.10
11.1.9	Guidebanks Constructed on Kickapoo Creek Near Peoria, Illinois	11.1.10
11.1.10	Gabion Basket Serving as Slope Protection.....	11.1.11
11.1.11	Wire Mesh and Grass Slope Stabilization.....	11.1.11
11.1.12	Concrete Revetment Mat.....	11.1.12
11.1.13	Concrete Footing Apron on a Masonry Arch Bridge..	11.1.12
Topic 11.2 Inspection of Waterways		
11.2.1	Flood Flow Around a Pier Showing Streamflow Velocity	11.2.2
11.2.2	Streambed Degradation	11.2.4
11.2.3	Streambed Aggradation.....	11.2.4
11.2.4	Severe Degradation at a Multi-Span Bridge Site	11.2.5
11.2.5	Severe Contraction Scour.....	11.2.6
11.2.6	Severe Contraction Scour at a Multiple-Span Bridge Site	11.2.7
11.2.7	Large number of Piers Combine to Reduce the Waterway Opening.....	11.2.7
11.2.8	Vegetation Constricting the Waterway	11.2.8
11.2.9	Sediment Deposits Within the Waterway Opening	11.2.9
11.2.10	Ice Jam	11.2.9
11.2.11	Debris Build-up in the Waterway	11.2.10
11.2.12	Horseshoe and Wake Vortices	11.2.11
11.2.13	Local Scour at an Abutment.....	11.2.12
11.2.14	Wide Pier.....	11.2.12
11.2.15	Long Pier.....	11.2.13
11.2.16	Local Scour Due to Streamflow Behavior in Deep Water	11.2.13

Figure No.		Page No.
11.2.17	Lateral Bank Migration Endangering a Full Height Abutment.....	11.2.15
11.2.18	Stream Meander Changes	11.2.16
11.2.19	Channel Widening.....	11.2.16
11.2.20	Longitudinal Cross Section Illustrating Undermining	11.2.17
11.2.21	Bridge Pier Under Flood Conditions that Settled at the Upstream End Due to Undermining Because of Local Scour	11.2.18
11.2.22	Probing Rod and Waders	11.2.20
11.2.23	Surface Supplied Air Diving Equipment	11.2.21
11.2.24	Rapid Flow Velocity	11.2.22
11.2.25	Navigable Waterway.....	11.2.22
11.2.26	Streambed Cross-Section	11.2.24
11.2.27	Streambed Cross-Section	11.2.24
11.2.28	Pile Bent Deterioration Normally Hidden Underwater.....	11.2.25
11.2.29	Out of Plumb Pier Column.....	11.2.26
11.2.30	Superstructure Misalignment	11.2.27
11.2.31	Drift Lodged in a Superstructure.....	11.2.27
11.2.32	Typical Simple Multi-Span Bridge	11.2.28
11.2.33	Failed Riprap.....	11.2.29
11.2.34	Severe Streambed Degradation Evident at Low Water	11.2.30
11.2.35	Approach Roadway Built in the Floodplain.....	11.2.30
11.2.36	Stable Banks.....	11.2.31
11.2.37	Unstable, Sloughing Banks	11.2.31
11.2.38	Sediment Accumulation Redirecting Streamflow.....	11.2.32
11.2.39	Stick and Barbed wire Cattle Guard.....	11.2.33
11.2.40	Waterway Alignment 1990 - 1994.....	11.2.33
11.2.41	Approach Spans in the Floodplain	11.2.34
11.2.42	Upstream Dam	11.2.35
11.2.43	Debris and Sediment in the Downstream Channel	11.2.36
11.2.44	Scour at a Pile Abutment.....	11.2.37
11.2.45	Fast Flowing Stream	11.2.38
11.2.46	Streambed with Loose Gravel.....	11.2.39
11.2.47	Typical Misaligned Waterway	11.2.39
11.2.48	Typical Large Floodplain.....	11.2.40
11.2.49	Lateral Movement of Embankments.....	11.2.40
11.2.50	Parallel Orientation of Abutments	11.2.41
11.2.51	Rotational Movement and Failure Due to Scour.....	11.2.42
11.2.52	Exposed Piling Due to Scour	11.2.42
11.2.53	Accelerated Flow Due to Restricted Waterway	11.2.43
11.2.54	Scour Assessment - Safe	11.2.45

Figure No.		Page No.
11.2.55	Scour Assessment - Evaluate	11.2.45
11.2.56	Scour Assessment - Fix	11.2.46
11.2.57	Culvert Failure Due to Overtopping	11.2.49
11.2.58	Culvert Almost Completely Blocked by Sediment Accumulation	11.2.50
11.2.59	Drift and Debris Inside Timber Box Culvert	11.2.50

Topic 11.3 Underwater Inspection

11.3.1	Schoharie Creek Bridge Failure	11.3.1
11.3.2	Mississippi River Crossing	11.3.2
11.3.3	Wading Inspection	11.3.3
11.3.4	Self-Contained Inspection Diver	11.3.4
11.3.5	Surface-Supplied Diving Inspection	11.3.5
11.3.6	Diver Cleaning Pier Face For Inspection	11.3.6
11.3.7	Bascule Bridge on the Saint Croix River	11.3.7
11.3.8	Channel Cross Section	11.3.9
11.3.9	Pier Sounding Grid	11.3.9
11.3.10	Permanent Reference Point (Bolt Anchored in Nose of the Pier, Painted Orange)	11.3.10
11.3.11	Local Scour; Causing Undermining of a Pier	11.3.10
11.3.12	Flood Conditions. Note pier settlement	11.3.12
11.3.13	Buildup of Debris At Pier	11.3.12
11.3.14	Movement of a Substructure Unit	11.3.13
11.3.15	Timber Pile Bent	11.3.16
11.3.16	Steel Pile Bent	11.3.17
11.3.17	Concrete Pile Bent	11.3.17
11.3.18	Column Pier with Solid Web Wall	11.3.18
11.3.19	Cantilever or Hammerhead Pier	11.3.19
11.3.20	Solid Shaft Pier	11.3.19
11.3.21	Severe Flood-Induced Abutment Erosion	11.3.20
11.3.22	Inspection of Culvert With Limited Freeboard and Ice Cover	11.3.21
11.3.23	Damaged Protective System	11.3.22
11.3.24	Pier Undermining, Exposing Timber Foundation Pile	11.3.24
11.3.25	Concrete Deterioration	11.3.25
11.3.26	Deteriorated Timber Piling	11.3.26
11.3.27	Deteriorated Steel Sheet-Piling	11.3.27
11.3.28	Sample Underwater Inspection Report	11.3.30
11.3.28	Sample Underwater Inspection Report (Continued)...	11.3.31
11.3.29	Vulcanized Rubber Dry Suit	11.3.33

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
11.3.30	Full Face Lightweight Diving Mask with Communication System	11.3.33
11.3.31	Surface-Supplied Air Equipment, Including Air Compressor, Volume Tank With Air Filters, and Umbilical Hoses	11.3.34
11.3.32	Surface-Supplied Diving Equipment Including Helmet, or Hard Hat	11.3.34
11.3.33	Pneumofathometer Gauge	11.3.35
11.3.34	Surface-Supplied Diver with a Reserve Air Tank	11.3.35
11.3.35	Communication Box System	11.3.36
11.3.36	Surface Communication With Inspection Team Leader	11.3.37
11.3.37	Access Barge and Exit Ladder	11.3.37
11.3.38	Access From Dive Boat	11.3.38
11.3.39	Diver-Inspector with a Pry Bar	11.3.39
11.3.40	Cleaning with a Water Blaster	11.3.40
11.3.41	Parallel Seismic Testing Equipment	11.3.41
11.3.42	Coring Equipment	11.3.42
11.3.43	Concrete Coring Taking Place	11.3.42
11.3.44	Concrete Core	11.3.43
11.3.45	Timber Core	11.3.43
11.3.46	Underwater Photographer	11.3.44
11.3.47	Camera with a Clear Box	11.3.44
11.3.48	Underwater Video Inspection	11.3.45
11.3.49	Diving Inside a Cofferdam	11.3.46
11.3.50	Excessive Current	11.3.46
11.3.51	Debris	11.3.47
11.3.52	Cleaning a Timber Pile	11.3.48
11.3.53	Commercial Marine Traffic	11.3.49
11.3.54	Alpha (lower) and Sport Diver (upper) Flags on Mast. See Arrow	11.3.49

Topic 12.1 Cable Supported Bridges

12.1.1	Wheeling Suspension Bridge – Longest in the World When Completed in 1849	12.1.1
12.1.2	Maysville Cable-Stay Bridge, Built in 2000	12.1.2
12.1.3	First Long-Span Wire-Cable Suspension Bridge in the World (1,010 ft (307.8 m) between Towers) - Wheeling Suspension Bridge in Wheeling, West Virginia originally built in 1849	12.1.3

Figure No.		Page No.
12.1.4	Footbridge Suspension Bridge	12.1.4
12.1.5	Sunshine Skyway Cable-Stayed Bridge in Tampa Bay, Florida.....	12.1.5
12.1.6	Three-Span Suspension Bridge Schematic	12.1.6
12.1.7	Parallel Wire Schematic.....	12.1.7
12.1.8	Structural Wire Strand Schematic.....	12.1.7
12.1.9	Structural Wire Rope Schematic.....	12.1.8
12.1.10	Parallel Strand Cable Schematic	12.1.8
12.1.11	Locked Coil Strand Cross-Section.....	12.1.9
12.1.12	Cable Wrapping on the Wheeling Suspension Bridge	12.1.9
12.1.13	Gravity Anchor Schematic.....	12.1.10
12.1.14	Cable Saddles for the Manhattan Bridge, NYC	12.1.11
12.1.15	Open Socket Suspender Cable Connection (Brooklyn Bridge).....	12.1.12
12.1.16	Cable Vibrations Local System Schematic	12.1.13
12.1.17	Cable Vibrations Global System Schematic	12.1.13
12.1.18	Close-up of Brooklyn Bridge Diagonal Cable-Stay	12.1.14
12.1.19	Radial or Converging Cable System Schematic	12.1.15
12.1.20	Harp or Parallel Cable System Schematic	12.1.15
12.1.21	Fan or Intermediate Cable System Schematic	12.1.16
12.1.22	Star Cable System Schematic.....	12.1.17
12.1.23	Single Vertical Plane Cable System.....	12.1.17
12.1.24	Double Vertical Plane Cable System	12.1.18
12.1.25	Double Inclined Plane Cable System.....	12.1.19
12.1.26	Parallel Wire.....	12.1.20
12.1.27	Parallel Strand	12.1.21
12.1.28	Cable Saddle.....	12.1.22
12.1.29	Cable Deck Anchorage	12.1.23
12.1.30	Parallel Wire Zinc-Filled Socket.....	12.1.24
12.1.31	Tower Types Schematic.....	12.1.25
12.1.32	Inside of a Concrete Portal Tower	12.1.25
12.1.33	Schematic Slide Anchorage System.....	12.1.27
12.1.34	Staining on Cable Wrap Indicating Internal Problems.....	12.1.29
12.1.35	Form for Recording Defects in the Cable System of a Suspension Bridge.....	12.1.31
12.1.36	Cable-Stayed Bridge	12.1.32
12.1.37	Cable-Stayed Bridge Cables	12.1.32
12.1.38	Cable Wrapping Placement.....	12.1.33
12.1.39	Investigation of Deformed Cable Wrapping	12.1.34
12.1.40	Corrosion of Steel Sheathing	12.1.35
12.1.41	Bulging of Cable Sheathing	12.1.35

Figure No.		Page No.
12.1.42	Cracking of Cable Sheathing	12.1.36
12.1.43	Splitting of Cable Sheathing	12.1.36
12.1.44	Shock Absorber Damper System	12.1.37
12.1.45	Shock Absorber Damper System	12.1.37
12.1.46	Cable Tie Type Damper System	12.1.38
12.1.47	Tuned Mass Damper System	12.1.39
12.1.48	Neoprene Boot at Steel Anchor Pipe Near Anchor.....	12.1.40
12.1.49	Corrosion of the Anchor System.....	12.1.40
12.1.50	Form for Recording Defects in Cable System of a Cable-Stayed Bridge	12.1.41

Topic 12.2 **Movable** **Bridges**

12.2.1	Movable Bridge.....	12.2.1
12.2.2	Typical "Permit Drawing" Showing Channel Width and Underclearance, Both Closed and Open, that Must be Provided	12.2.2
12.2.3	The First All-Iron Movable Bridge in the Midwest was Completed in 1859 (Photo on File at the Chicago Historical Society)	12.2.3
12.2.4	Center-Bearing Swing Bridge	12.2.4
12.2.5	Center-Bearing Swing Span in Closed Position	12.2.5
12.2.6	Layout of Center-Bearing Type Swing Span with Machinery on the Span.....	12.2.5
12.2.7	Bascule Bridge in the Open Position	12.2.6
12.2.8	Rolling Lift Bascule Bridge Schematic.....	12.2.7
12.2.9	Double-Leaf Rolling Lift Bascule.....	12.2.8
12.2.10	Trunnion Bascule Bridge Schematic.....	12.2.9
12.2.11	Double-Leaf Trunnion Bascule Bridge.....	12.2.9
12.2.12	Each Trunnion is Supported on Two Bearings, Which in Turn are Supported on a Fixed Cross- Girder	12.2.10
12.2.13	The Trunnion Bascule Bridge Machinery (One Quarter Shown) is Located Outside of the Bascule Trusses on the Pier; the Leaf Rotates about the Centerline on the Trunnions.....	12.2.10
12.2.14	Multi-Trunnion, Strauss Type Bascule Bridge, in which the Counterweight Link Keeps the Counterweight Hanging Vertically from the Counterweight Trunnions while the Moving Leaf Rotates about the Main Trunnions	12.2.11
12.2.15	Vertical Lift Bridge Schematic	12.2.12

Figure No.		Page No.
12.2.16	Vertical Lift Bridge Machinery is Located on Top of the Lift Truss Span, and the Operating Drums Rotate to Wind the Up-Haul (Lifting) Ropes as They Simultaneously Unwind the Down-Haul Ropes	12.2.13
12.2.17	Vertical Lift Bridge Machinery is Located on the Towers, and the Rim Gears (and Operating Sheaves) are Rotated to Raise and Lower the Bridge	12.2.13
12.2.18	Vertical Lift Bridge with Power and Drive System on Towers.....	12.2.14
12.2.19	Open Gearing	12.2.15
12.2.20	Speed Reducer.....	12.2.15
12.2.21	Coupling.....	12.2.16
12.2.22	Bearing.....	12.2.16
12.2.23	Shoe Type Break.....	12.2.17
12.2.24	Spring Set Hydraulically Released Disc Break.....	12.2.18
12.2.25	Low Speed High Torque Hydraulic Motor	12.2.19
12.2.26	AC Emergency Motor	12.2.19
12.2.27	Air Buffer	12.2.20
12.2.28	Shock Absorber	12.2.20
12.2.29	Typical Air Buffer Schematic	12.2.21
12.2.30	Typical Mechanically Operated Span Lock.....	12.2.22
12.2.31	Hydraulic Cylinder that Drives Lock Bars.....	12.2.22
12.2.32	Concrete Counterweight on a Single-Leaf Bascule Bridge.....	12.2.23
12.2.33	Concrete Counterweight on a Vertical Lift Bridge	12.2.23
12.2.34	Closed Span Resting on Live Load Shoes	12.2.24
12.2.35	Traffic Barrier	12.2.24
12.2.36	Center Pivot Bearing.....	12.2.25
12.2.37	Balance Wheel in-place over Circular Rack	12.2.26
12.2.38	End Wedge.....	12.2.27
12.2.39	Hydraulic Cylinder Actuator.....	12.2.27
12.2.40	End Wedges Withdrawn and End Latch Lifted so the Roller on the Bottom can Roll up the Slope on the Inside	12.2.28
12.2.41	Circular Lift Tread and Track Castings	12.2.29
12.2.42	Rack Casting and Pinion	12.2.30
12.2.43	Rack Casting Ready for Fabrication	12.2.30
12.2.44	Drive Pinion	12.2.31
12.2.45	Trunnion Bearing	12.2.32
12.2.46	Trunnion Design Drawing.....	12.2.32
12.2.47	Rear Lock Assembly.....	12.2.33
12.2.48	Center Lock Jaws	12.2.34
12.2.49	Transverse Locks on Underside can be Disengaged...	12.2.35

Figure No.		Page No.
12.2.50	Wire Rope	12.2.36
12.2.51	Wire Rope Sockets and Fittings.....	12.2.36
12.2.52	Drums Wind Up the Up-Haul (Lifting) Ropes as they Simultaneously Unwind the Down-Haul Ropes .	12.2.37
12.2.53	Operator's House with Clear View of Traffic Signals and Lane Gates	12.2.39
12.2.54	Traffic Control Gate.....	12.2.40
12.2.55	Navigational Light	12.2.41
12.2.56	Marine Two-Way Radio Console	12.2.41
12.2.57	Control Panel.....	12.2.45
12.2.58	Normal Operations can Cause Stress Reversals in Members, Leading to Fatigue	12.2.46
12.2.59	Counterweights on Vertical Lift Bridge.....	12.2.47
12.2.60	Pier Protection Systems – Dolphins and Fenders	12.2.48
12.2.61	Concrete Bearing Areas	12.2.48
12.2.62	Cracked Speed Reducer Housing.....	12.2.51
12.2.63	Leaking Speed Reducer.....	12.2.51
12.2.64	Hairline Crack Revealed on Shaft from Dye Penetrant Test.....	12.2.52
12.2.65	Dry Bearing.....	12.2.53
12.2.66	Open Switchboard.....	12.2.58
12.2.67	Hydraulic Power Specialists	12.2.60
12.2.68	Example of Notes on Operating Machinery (Gears- General).....	12.2.61
12.2.69	Example of Notes on Operating Machinery (Gears- Teeth)	12.2.62
12.2.70	Example of Notes on Operating Machinery (Bearings).....	12.2.63
12.2.71	Example of Notes on Operating Machinery (Mechanical Elements).....	12.2.64
12.2.72	Example of Notes on Electrical Equipment (Motors).	12.2.65
12.2.73	Example of Notes on Electrical Equipment (Limit Switch)	2.2.66
12.2.74	Example of Notes on Electrical Equipment (Megger Insulation Test of the Submarine Cables)	12.2.67

Topic 12.3 Concrete Pipe Culverts

12.3.1	Concrete Pipe Culvert	12.3.1
12.3.2	Twin Concrete Pipe Culvert.....	12.3.2
12.3.3	Rigid Culvert Stresses.....	12.3.3
12.3.4	Loads on a Concrete Pipe Culvert.....	12.3.4

Figure No.		Page No.
12.3.5	Approach Roadway at a Culvert Site	12.3.8
12.3.6	Roadway Over a Culvert.....	12.3.9
12.3.7	Projected End of a Concrete Pipe Culvert.....	12.3.6
12.3.8	Pipe End Section on a Concrete Pipe Culvert.....	12.3.11
12.3.9	Surface Indications of Infiltration	12.3.14
12.3.10	Example of Severe Infiltration of Backfill Material through Separated Joints	12.3.15
12.3.11	Severe Infiltration of Ground Water through Separated Joints.....	12.3.15
12.3.12	Results of Poor and Good Side Support, Rigid Pipe...	12.3.16
12.3.13	Minor Longitudinal Crack with Efflorescence	12.3.17
12.3.14	Severe Longitudinal Cracks with Differential Movement and Spalling	12.3.17
12.3.15	Transverse or Circumferential Cracks	12.3.18
12.3.16	Spalling Exposing Reinforcing Steel	12.3.19
12.3.17	Shear Slabbing	12.3.19
12.3.18	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.3.21
12.3.19	Standard Sized for Concrete Pipe (Source: American Concrete Pipe Association)	12.3.24
12.3.19	Standard Sized for Concrete Pipe (Source: American Concrete Pipe Association), continued	12.3.25
12.3.19	Standard Sized for Concrete Pipe (Source: American Concrete Pipe Association), continued	12.3.26
12.3.19	Standard Sized for Concrete Pipe (Source: American Concrete Pipe Association), continued	12.3.27
12.3.19	Standard Sized for Concrete Pipe (Source: American Concrete Pipe Association), continued	12.3.28
12.3.19	Standard Sized for Concrete Pipe (Source: American Concrete Pipe Association), continued	12.3.29

Topic 12.4 Flexible Culverts

12.4.1	Pipe Arch Flexible Culvert.....	12.4.1
12.4.2	Flexible Culvert Deflection.....	12.4.2
12.4.3	Formula for Ring Compression.....	12.4.3
12.4.4	Standard Corrugated Steel Culvert Shapes (Source: Handbook of Steel Drainage and Highway Construction Products, American Iron and Steel Institute)	12.4.6
12.4.5	Bending Failure Caused by a High Embankment	12.4.9
12.4.6	Cracking of Culvert Due to Foundation Settlement....	12.4.10
12.4.7	Checking Curvature by Curve and Middle Ordinate ..	12.4.16

Figure No.		Page No.
12.4.8	Surface Indications of Infiltration	12.4.17
12.4.9	Surface Hole Above Open Joint.....	12.4.18
12.4.10	Close-Up of Loose and Missing Bolts at a Cusped Seam; Loose Fasteners are Usually Detected by Tapping the Nuts with a Hammer	12.4.19
12.4.11	Cocked Seam with Cusp Effect.....	12.4.20
12.4.12	Cracking Due to Deflection	12.4.21
12.4.13	Circumferential Seam Failure Due to Embankment Slippage.....	12.4.22
12.4.14	Suggested Rating Criteria for Condition of Corrugated Metal	12.4.24
12.4.15	Perforation of the Invert Due to Corrosion	12.4.24
12.4.16	Invert Deterioration.....	12.4.25
12.4.17	Differential Footing Settlement.....	12.4.26
12.4.18	Footing Rotation Due to Undermining	12.4.26
12.4.19	Erosion of Invert Undermining footing of Arch	12.4.27
12.4.20	Erosion Damage to Concrete Invert.....	12.4.28
12.4.21	Excessive Side Deflection.....	12.4.30
12.4.22	Shape Inspection Circular and Vertical Elongated Pipe.....	12.4.31
12.4.23	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.33
12.4.24	Bottom Distortion in Pipe Arches.....	12.4.34
12.4.25	Bottom and Corners of this Pipe Arch have Settled ...	12.4.35
12.4.26	Shape Inspection Structural Plate Pipe Arch	12.4.36
12.4.27	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.37
12.4.28	Arch Deflection During Installation	12.4.38
12.4.29	Racked and Peaked Arch	12.4.39
12.4.30	Shape Inspection Structural Plate Arch.....	12.4.40
12.4.31	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.41
12.4.32	Shape Inspection Structural Plate Box Culverts	12.4.42
12.4.33	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.44
12.4.34	Typical Long-Span Shapes	12.4.45
12.4.35	Erosion Damage to Concrete Invert.....	12.4.46
12.4.36	Shape Inspection Crown Section of Long Span Structures	12.4.47
12.4.37	Shape Inspection Low Profile Long Span Arch.....	12.4.49
12.4.38	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.50
12.4.39	Shape Inspection High Profile Long-Span Arch.....	12.4.52
12.4.40	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.53
12.4.41	Shape Inspection Long Span Pear-Shape.....	12.4.54
12.4.42	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.55
12.4.43	Potential for Differential Settlement in Horizontal Ellipse.....	12.4.56

Figure No.		Page No.
12.4.44	Shape Inspection Long-Span Horizontal Ellipse	12.4.57
12.4.45	Condition Rating Guidelines.....	12.4.58
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association).....	12.4.60
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.61
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.62
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.63
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.64
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.65
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.66
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.67
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.68
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.69
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.70
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.71
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.72
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.73
12.4.46	Standard Sizes for Corrugated Steel Culverts (Source: Aluminum Association), continued.....	12.4.74
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association	12.4.75
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.76
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.77
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.78
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.79

Figure No.		Page No.
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.80
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.81
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.82
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.83
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.84
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.85
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.86
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.87
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.88
12.4.47	Standard Sizes for Aluminum Culvert (Source: Aluminum Association), continued	12.4.89
Topic 13.1 Timber		
13.1.1	Pol-Tek Sonic Testing Apparatus	13.1.2
13.1.2	Ultrasonic Testing Equipment.....	13.1.3
13.1.3	Increment Borer	13.1.4
Topic 13.1 Timber		
13.2.1	Acoustic Emission Sensors	13.2.1
13.2.2	Delamination Detection Machinery	13.2.2
13.2.3	The HERMES Bridge Inspector	13.2.3
13.2.4	Impact-Echo Testing Equipment	13.2.4
13.2.5	Infrared Thermography Testing Equipment.....	13.2.5
13.2.6	Remote Video Inspection Device.....	13.2.6
Topic 13.1 Timber		
13.3.1	LAM System Showing Eight Sensors and Holding Magnet.....	13.3.1
13.3.2	Detection of a Crack Using Dye Penetrant	13.3.3
13.3.3	Schematic of Magnetic Field Disturbance	13.3.3
13.3.4	Radiographic Testing	13.3.4

Figure <u>No.</u>		Page <u>No.</u>
13.3.5	Robotic Inspection	13.3.5
13.3.6	Ultrasonic Testing of a Pin in a Moveable Bridge	13.3.6
13.3.7	Hand Held Eddy Current Instrument	13.3.7
13.3.8	Charpy V-Notch Test	13.3.8
13.3.9	Brittle Failure of Cast Iron Specimen	13.3.9
13.3.10	Ductile Failure of Cold Rolled Steel	13.3.10

This page intentionally left blank

List of Tables

	Table <u>No.</u>		Page <u>No.</u>
Topic 1.1 History of the National Bridge Inventory Program			
	1.1.1	Number of Bridges Built Since 1900	1.1.2
	1.1.2	Federal Funding Levels (1979 – 2003)	1.1.6
Topic 2.2 Concrete			
	2.2.1	Strength Properties of Concrete (24 Mpa) (3500 psi Concrete)	2.2.5
	2.2.2	FHWA’s SHRP Implemented HPC Mix Designs.....	2.2.5
	2.2.3	Crack Width Guidelines.....	2.2.17
Topic 2.3 Steel			
	2.3.1	Correlation Between Weathering Steel Texture and Condition.....	2.3.29
Topic 3.1 Duties of the Bridge Inspection Team			
	3.1.1	Sample Inspection Sequence.....	3.1.6
Topic 4.2 Condition and Appraisal			
	4.2.1	Maintenance Rating Scale.....	4.2.10
Topic 10.1 Abutments and Wingwalls			
	10.1.1	Common Abutment Types	10.1.2
	10.1.2	Types of Material Failure in Substructure Units.....	P.1.14

This page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

Basic Concepts Primer

P.1	Bridge Mechanics	P.1.1
P.1.1	Introduction	P.1.1
P.1.2	Bridge Design Loadings	P.1.1
	Dead Loads	P.1.2
	Primary Live Loads.....	P.1.2
	AASHTO Truck Loadings	P.1.3
	AASHTO Lane Loadings.....	P.1.5
	Alternate Military Loading.....	P.1.5
	Permit Vehicles	P.1.6
	Secondary Loads.....	P.1.7
P.1.3	Material Response to Loadings	P.1.8
	Force	P.1.8
	Stress	P.1.8
	Deformation	P.1.9
	Strain	P.1.9
	Elastic Deformation.....	P.1.9
	Plastic Deformation.....	P.1.9
	Creep	P.1.10
	Thermal Effects	P.1.10
	Stress-Strain Relationship.....	P.1.10
	Modulus of Elasticity	P.1.10
	Ductility and Brittleness	P.1.11
	Fatigue	P.1.12
	Isotropy	P.1.12
P.1.4	Mechanics of Materials	P.1.12
	Yield Strength	P.1.12
	Tensile Strength	P.1.12
	Toughness	P.1.13
P.1.5	Bridge Response to Loadings	P.1.13
	Equilibrium	P.1.13

	Axial Forces	P.1.13
	Bending Forces	P.1.14
	Shear Forces.....	P.1.17
	Torsional Forces.....	P.1.18
	Reactions.....	P.1.19
	Overloads	P.1.20
	Buckling	P.1.20
	Elongation	P.1.20
P.1.6	Bridge Movements	P.1.20
	Live Load Deflections.....	P.1.21
	Thermal Movements	P.1.21
	Rotational Movements	P.1.21
P.1.7	Design Methods.....	P.1.21
	Allowable Stress Design	P.1.21
	Load Factor Design	P.1.21
	Load and Resistance Factor Design.....	P.1.21
P.1.8	Bridge Ratings.....	P.1.22
	Inventory Rating	P.1.22
	Operating Rating.....	P.1.22
	Rating Vehicles	P.1.23
	Bridge Posting.....	P.1.24
P.1.9	Span Classifications.....	P.1.25
	Simple	P.1.25
	Continuous	P.1.26
	Cantilever.....	P.1.27
P.1.10	Bridge Roadway Interaction.....	P.1.29
	Non-composite.....	P.1.29
	Composite	P.1.29
	Integral	P.1.31
	Orthotropic.....	P.1.31
P.1.11	Redundancy	P.1.32
	Load Path Redundancy	P.1.32
	Structural Redundancy.....	P.1.32
	Internal Redundancy	P.1.32
P.1.12	Foundations	P.1.33
	Spread Footings	P.1.33
	Pile Foundations	P.1.33

Basic Equations of Bridge Mechanics

$$S = \frac{F}{A} \quad (\text{Page P.1.8})$$

$$f_a = \frac{P}{A} \quad (\text{Page P.1.14})$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta L}{L} \quad (\text{Page P.1.9})$$

$$f_b = \frac{Mc}{I} \quad (\text{Page P.1.16})$$

$$E = \frac{S}{\varepsilon} \quad (\text{Page P.1.11})$$

$$f_v = \frac{V}{A_w} \quad (\text{Page P.1.18})$$

$$\text{Bridge Load Capacity Rating} = \frac{\text{Allowable Load} - \text{Dead Load}}{\text{Rating Vehicle Live Load Plus Impact}} \times \text{Vehicles Weight (Tons)}$$

where:

A	=	area; cross-sectional area
A _w	=	area of web
c	=	distance from neutral axis to extreme fiber (or surface) of beam
E	=	modulus of elasticity
F	=	force; axial force
f _a	=	axial stress
f _b	=	bending stress
f _v	=	shear stress
I	=	moment of inertia
L	=	original length
M	=	applied moment
S	=	stress
V	=	vertical shear force due to external loads
ΔL	=	change in length
ε	=	strain

Basic Concepts Primer

Topic P.1 Bridge Mechanics

P.1.1

Introduction

Mechanics is the branch of physical science that deals with energy and forces and their relation to the equilibrium, deformation, or motion of bodies. The bridge inspector will primarily be concerned with statics, or the branch of mechanics dealing with solid bodies at rest and with forces in equilibrium.

The two most important reasons for a bridge inspector to study bridge mechanics are:

- To understand how bridge members function
- To recognize the impact a defect may have on the load-carrying capacity of a bridge component or element

While this section presents the basic principles of bridge mechanics, the references listed in the bibliography should be referred to for a more complete presentation of this subject.

P.1.2

Bridge Design Loadings

Bridge design loadings are loads that a bridge is designed to carry or resist and which determine the size and configuration of its members. Bridge members are designed to withstand the loads acting on them in a safe and economical manner. Loads may be concentrated or distributed depending on the way in which they are applied to the structure.

A concentrated load, or point load, is applied at a single location or over a very small area. Vehicle loads are considered concentrated loads.

A distributed load is applied to all or part of the member, and the amount of load per unit of length is generally constant. The weight of superstructures, bridge decks, wearing surfaces, and bridge parapets produce distributed loads. Secondary loads, such as wind, stream flow, earth cover and ice, are also usually distributed loads.

Highway bridge design loads are established by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). For many decades, the primary bridge design code in the United States was the *AASHTO Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges (Specifications)*, as supplemented by agency criteria as applicable.

During the 1990's AASHTO developed and approved a new bridge design code, entitled *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. It is based upon the principles of Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD), as described in Topic P.1.7.

Bridge design loadings can be divided into three principal categories:

- Dead loads
- Primary live loads
- Secondary loads

Dead Loads

Dead loads do not change as a function of time and are considered full-time, permanent loads acting on the structure. They consist of the weight of the materials used to build the bridge (see Figure P.1.1). Dead load includes both the self-weight of structural members and other permanent external loads. They can be broken down into two groups, initial and superimposed.

Initial dead loads are loads which are applied before the concrete deck is hardened, including the beam itself and the concrete deck. Initial deck loads must be resisted by the non-composite action of the beam alone. Superimposed dead loads are loads which are applied after the concrete deck has hardened (on a composite bridge), including parapets and any anticipated future deck pavement. Superimposed dead loads are resisted by the beam and the concrete deck acting compositely. Non-composite and composite action are described in Topic P.1.10.

Dead load includes both the self-weight of the structural members and other permanent external loads.

Example of self-weight: A 6.1 m (20-foot) long beam weighs 0.73 kN per m (50 pounds per linear foot). The total weight of the beam is 4.45 kN (1000 pounds). This weight is called the self-weight of the beam.

Example of an external dead load: If a utility such as a water line is permanently attached to the beam in the previous example, then the weight of the water line is an external dead load. The weight of the water line plus the self weight of the beam comprises the total dead load.

Total dead load on a structure may change during the life of the bridge due to additions such as deck overlays, parapets, utility lines, and inspection catwalks.




Figure P.1.1 Dead Load on a Bridge

Primary Live Loads

Live loads are considered part-time or temporary loads, mostly of short-term duration, acting on the structure. In bridge applications, the primary live loads are moving vehicular loads (see Figure P.1.2).

To account for the affects of speed, vibration, and momentum, highway live loads are typically increased for impact. Impact is expressed as a fraction of the live

load, and its value is a function of the span length.

Standard vehicle live loads have been established by AASHTO for use in bridge design and rating. It is important to note that these standard vehicles do not represent actual vehicles. Rather, they were developed to allow a relatively simple method of analysis based on an approximation of the actual live load.




Figure P.1.2 Vehicle Live Load on a Bridge

AASHTO Truck Loadings

There are two basic types of standard truck loadings described in the current AASHTO *Specifications*. The first type is a single unit vehicle with two axles spaced at 14 feet (4.3 m) and designated as a highway truck or "H" truck (see Figure P.1.3). The weight of the front axle is 20% of the gross vehicle weight, while the weight of the rear axle is 80% of the gross vehicle weight. The "H" designation is followed by the gross tonnage of the particular design vehicle.

Example of an H truck loading: H20-35 indicates a 20 ton vehicle with a front axle weighing 4 tons, a rear axle weighing 16 tons, and the two axles spaced 14 feet apart. This standard truck loading was first published in 1935.

The second type of standard truck loading is a two unit, three axle vehicle comprised of a highway tractor with a semi-trailer. It is designated as a highway semi-trailer truck or "HS" truck (see Figure P.1.4).

The tractor weight and wheel spacing is identical to the H truck loading. The semi-trailer axle weight is equal to the weight of the rear tractor axle, and its spacing from the rear tractor axle can vary from 4.3 to 9.1 m (14 to 30 feet). The "HS" designation is followed by a number indicating the gross weight in tons of the tractor only.




Figure P.1.3 AASHTO H20 Truck




Figure P.1.4 AASHTO HS20 Truck

Example of an HS truck loading: HS20-44 indicates a vehicle with a front tractor axle weighing 4 tons, a rear tractor axle weighing 16 tons, and a semi-trailer axle weighing 16 tons. The tractor portion alone weighs 20 tons, but the gross vehicle weight is 36 tons. This standard truck loading was first published in 1944.

In specifications prior to 1944, a standard loading of H15 was used. In 1944, the

policy of affixing the publication year of design loadings was adopted. In specifications prior to 1965, the HS20-44 loading was designated as H20-S16-44, with the S16 identifying the gross axle weight of the semi-trailer in tons.


The H and HS vehicles do not represent actual vehicles, but can be considered as "umbrella" loads. The wheel spacings, weight distributions, and clearance of the Standard Design Vehicles were developed to give a simpler method of analysis, based on a good approximation of actual live loads.

The H and HS vehicle loads are the most common loadings for design, analysis, and rating, but other loading types are used in special cases.

AASHTO Lane Loadings

In addition to the standard truck loadings, a system of equivalent lane loadings was developed in order to provide a simple method of calculating bridge response to a series, or "train", of trucks. Lane loading consists of a uniform load per linear foot of traffic lane combined with a concentrated load located on the span to produce the most critical situation (see Figure P.1.5).

For design and load capacity rating analysis, an investigation of both a truck loading and a lane loading must be made to determine which produces the greatest stress for each particular member. Lane loading will generally govern over truck loading for longer spans. Both the H and HS loadings have corresponding lane loads.



* Use two concentrated loads for negative moment in continuous spans (Refer to AASHTO Page 23)

Figure P.1.5 AASHTO Lane Loadings.

Alternate Military Loading

The Alternate Military Loading is a single unit vehicle with two axles spaced at 1.2 m (4 feet) and weighing 110 kN (12 tons) each. It has been part of the AASHTO *Specifications* since 1977. Bridges on interstate highways or other highways which are potential defense routes are designed for either an HS20 loading or an Alternate Military Loading (see Figure P.1.6).




Figure P.1.6 Alternate Military Loading

LRFD Live Loads

The AASHTO LRFD design vehicular live load, designated HL-93, is a modified version of the HS-20 highway loadings from the AASHTO Standard Specifications. Under HS-20 loading as described earlier, the truck or lane load is applied to each loaded lane. Under HL-93 loading, the design truck or tandem, in combination with the lane load, is applied to each loaded lane.

The LRFD design truck is exactly the same as the AASHTO HS-20 design truck. The LRFD design tandem, on the other hand, consists of a pair of 110 kN axials spread at 1.2 m (25 kip axles spaced 4 feet) apart. The transverse wheel spacing of all of the trucks is 6 feet.

The magnitude of the HL-93 lane load is equal to that of the HS-20 lane load. The lane load is 9 kN per meter (0.64 kips per linear foot) longitudinally and it is distributed uniformly over a 3 m (10 foot) width in the transverse direction. The difference between the HL-93 lane load and the HS-20 lane load is that the HL-93 lane load does not include a point load.

Finally, for LRFD live loading, the dynamic load allowance, or impact, is applied to the design truck or tandem but is not applied to the design lane load. It is typically 33 percent of the design vehicle.

Permit Vehicles

Permit vehicles are overweight vehicles which, in order to travel a state's highways, must apply for a permit from that state. They are usually heavy trucks (e.g., combination trucks, construction vehicles, or cranes) that have varying axle spacings depending upon the design of the individual truck. To ensure that these vehicles can safely operate on existing highways and bridges, most states require that bridges be designed for a permit vehicle or that the bridge be checked to determine if it can carry a specific type of vehicle. For safe and legal operation, agencies issue permits upon request that identify the required gross weight, number of axles, axle spacing, and maximum axle weights for a designated route (see Figure P.1.7).




Figure P.1.7 910 kN (204 kip) Permit Vehicle (for Pennsylvania)

Secondary Loads

In addition to dead loads and primary live loads, bridge components are designed to resist secondary loads, which include the following:

- **Earth pressure** - a horizontal force acting on earth-retaining substructure units, such as abutments and retaining walls
- **Buoyancy** - the force created due to the tendency of an object to rise when submerged in water
- **Wind load on structure** - wind pressure on the exposed area of a bridge
- **Wind load on live load** - wind effects transferred through the live load vehicles crossing the bridge
- **Longitudinal force** - a force in the direction of the bridge caused by braking and accelerating of live load vehicles
- **Centrifugal force** - an outward force that a live load vehicle exerts on a curved bridge
- **Rib shortening** - a force in arches and frames created by a change in the geometrical configuration due to dead load
- **Shrinkage** - applied primarily to concrete structures, this is a multi-directional force due to dimensional changes resulting from the curing process
- **Temperature** - since materials expand as temperature increases and contract as temperature decreases, the force caused by these dimensional changes must be considered
- **Earthquake** - bridge structures must be built so that motion during an earthquake will not cause a collapse
- **Stream flow pressure** - a horizontal force acting on bridge components constructed in flowing water
- **Ice pressure** - a horizontal force created by static or floating ice jammed against bridge components
- **Impact loading** - the dynamic effect of suddenly receiving a live load; this additional force can be up to 30% of the applied primary live load force
- **Sidewalk loading** - sidewalk floors and their immediate supports are designed for a pedestrian live load not exceeding 4.1 kN per square meter (85 pounds per square foot)
- **Curb loading** - curbs are designed to resist a lateral force of not less than 7.3 kN per linear meter (500 pounds per linear foot)
- **Railing loading** - railings are provided along the edges of structures for protection of traffic and pedestrians; the maximum transverse load applied to any one element need not exceed 44.5 kN (10 kips)

A bridge may be subjected to several of these loads simultaneously. The AASHTO *Specifications* have established a table of loading groups. For each group, a set of loads is considered with a coefficient to be applied for each particular load. The coefficients used were developed based on the probability of various loads acting simultaneously.

P.1.3

Material Response to Loadings

Each member of a bridge has a unique purpose and function, which directly affects the selection of material, shape, and size for that member. Certain terms are used to describe the response of a bridge material to loads. A working knowledge of these terms is essential for the bridge inspector.

Force

A force is the action that one body exerts on another body. Force has two components: magnitude and direction (see Figure P.1.8). The basic English unit of force is called pound (abbreviated as lb.). The basic metric unit of force is called Newton (N). A common unit of force used among engineers is a kip (K), which is 1000 pounds. In the metric system, the kilonewton (kN), which is 1000 Newtons, is used. Note: 1 kip = 4.4 kilonewton.




Figure P.1.8 Basic Force Components

Stress

Stress is a basic unit of measure used to denote the intensity of an internal force. When a force is applied to a material, an internal stress is developed. Stress is defined as a force per unit of cross-sectional area.

$$\text{Stress (S)} = \frac{\text{Force (F)}}{\text{Area (A)}}$$

The basic English unit of stress is pounds per square inch (abbreviated as psi). However, stress can also be expressed in kips per square inch (ksi) or in any other units of force per unit area. The basic metric unit of stress is Newton per square meter, or Pascal (Pa). An allowable unit stress is generally established for a given material. Note: 1 ksi = 6.9 Pa.

Example of a stress: If a 30,000 lb. force acts uniformly over an area of 10 square inches, then the stress caused by this force is 3000 psi (or 3 ksi).

Similarly, if a 40,000 Newton force acts uniformly over an area of 20 square meters, then the stress caused by this force is 2000 Pa.

Deformation

Deformation is the local distortion or change in shape of a material due to stress.

Strain

Strain is a basic unit of measure used to describe an amount of deformation. It denotes the ratio of a material's deformed dimension to a material's original dimensions. For example, strain in a longitudinal direction is computed by dividing the change in length by the original length.

$$\text{Strain } (\epsilon) = \frac{\text{Change in Length } (\Delta L)}{\text{Original Length } (L)}$$

Strain is a dimensionless quantity. However, it can also be expressed as a percentage or in units of length per length (e.g., inch/inch).

Example of strain: If a weight acting on a 20 foot long column causes an axial deformation of 0.002 feet, then the resulting axial strain is 0.002 feet divided by 20 feet, or 0.0001 foot/foot. This strain can also be expressed simply as 0.0001 (with no units) or as 0.01%.

Similarly, if a weight acting on a 50 m long column causes an axial deformation of 0.05 m, then the resulting axial strain is 0.001 m/m. This strain can also be expressed simply as 0.001 (with no units) or as 0.1%.

Elastic Deformation

Elastic deformation is the reversible distortion of a material. A member is elastically deformed if it returns to its original shape upon removal of a force. Elastic strain is sometimes termed reversible strain because it disappears after the stress is removed. Bridges are designed to deform elastically and return to their original shape after the live loads are removed.

Example of elastic deformation: A stretched rubber band will return to its original shape after being released from a taut position. Generally, if the strain is elastic, there is a direct proportion between the amount of strain and the applied stress.

Plastic Deformation

Plastic deformation is the irreversible or permanent distortion of a material. A material is plastically deformed if it retains a deformed shape upon removal of a force. Plastic strain is sometimes termed irreversible or permanent strain because it remains after the stress is removed. Plastic strain is not directly proportional to the given applied stress as is the case with the elastic strain.

Example of plastic deformation: If a car crashed into a brick wall, the fenders and bumpers would deform. This deformation would remain even after the car

backed away from the wall. Therefore, the fenders and bumpers have undergone plastic deformation.

Creep

Creep is a form of plastic deformation that occurs gradually at stress levels normally associated with elastic deformation. Creep is defined as the gradual, continuing irreversible change in the dimensions of a member due to the sustained application of load. It is caused by the molecular readjustments in a material under constant load. The creep rate is the change in strain (plastic deformation) over a certain period of time.

Example of creep: If a lump of putty is left untouched on a table for several days, it will gradually settle and change in shape. This deformation is due to the sustained application of its own weight and illustrates the effects of creep.

Thermal Effects

In bridges, thermal effects are most commonly experienced in the longitudinal expansion and contraction of the superstructure. It is possible to disregard deformations caused by thermal effects when members are free to expand and contract. However, there may be members for which expansion and contraction is inhibited or prevented in certain directions. Thermal changes in these members can cause significant frictional stresses and must be considered by the inspector.

Materials expand as temperature increases and contract as temperature decreases. The amount of thermal deformation in a member depends on:

- A coefficient of thermal expansion, unique for each material
- The temperature change
- The member length

Example of thermal effects: Most thermometers operate on the principle that the material within the glass bulb expands as the temperature increases and contracts as the temperature decreases.

Stress-Strain Relationship

For most structural materials, values of stress and strain are directly proportional (see Figure P.1.9). However, this proportionality exists only up to a particular value of stress called the elastic limit. Two other frequently used terms, which closely correspond with the elastic limit, are the proportional limit and the yield point.

When applying stress up to the elastic limit, a material deforms elastically. Beyond the elastic limit, deformation is plastic and strain is not directly proportional to a given applied stress. The material property, which defines its stress-strain relationship, is called the modulus of elasticity, or Young's modulus.

Modulus of Elasticity

Each material has a unique modulus of elasticity, which defines the ratio of a given stress to its corresponding strain. It is the slope of the elastic portion of the stress-strain curve.

$$\text{Modulus of Elasticity (E)} = \frac{\text{Stress (S)}}{\text{Strain (}\epsilon\text{)}}$$

The modulus of elasticity applies only as long as the elastic limit of the material has not been reached. The units for modulus of elasticity are the same as those for stress (i.e., psi or ksi for English, and Pa or kPa for metric).




Figure P.1.9 Stress-Strain Diagram

Example of modulus of elasticity: If a stress of 20 Mpa (2900 psi) is below the elastic limit and causes a strain of 0.0001, then the modulus of elasticity can be computed based on these values of stress and strain.

$$E = \frac{2,900 \text{ psi}}{0.0001} = 29,000,000 \text{ psi} = 29,000 \text{ ksi (200,000 MPa)}$$

This is approximately equal to the modulus of elasticity for steel. The modulus of elasticity for concrete is approximately 3000 to 4500 ksi, and for commonly used grades of timber it is approximately 11,000 Mpa (1600 ksi).

Ductility and Brittleness Ductility is the measure of plastic (permanent) strain that a material can endure. A ductile material will undergo a large amount of plastic deformation before breaking. It will also have a greatly reduced cross-sectional area before breaking.

Example of ductility: A baker working with pizza dough will find that the dough can be stretched a great deal before it will break into two sections. Therefore, pizza dough is a ductile material. When the dough finally does break, it will have a greatly reduced cross-sectional area.

Structural materials for bridges that are generally ductile include:

- Steel
- Aluminum
- Copper
- Wood

Brittle, or non-ductile, materials will not undergo significant plastic deformation before breaking. Failure of a brittle material occurs suddenly, with little or no warning.

Example of brittleness: A glass table may be able to support several magazines and books. However, if more and more weight is piled onto the table, the glass will eventually break with little or no warning. Therefore, glass is a brittle material.

Structural materials for bridges that are generally brittle include:

- Concrete
- Cast iron
- Stone
- Fiber Reinforced Polymer

Fatigue

Fatigue is a material response that describes the tendency of a material to break when subjected to repeated loading. Fatigue failure occurs within the elastic range of a material after a certain number and magnitude of stress cycles have been applied.

Each material has a hypothetical maximum stress value to which it can be loaded and unloaded an infinite number of times. This stress value is referred to as the fatigue limit and is usually lower than the breaking strength for infrequently applied loads.

Ductile materials such as steel and aluminum have high fatigue limits, while brittle materials such as concrete have low fatigue limits. Wood has a high fatigue limit even though it is more like a brittle material than a ductile one.

Example of fatigue: If a steel paper clip is bent and then allowed to return to its original position (elastic deformation), it is unlikely that the paper clip will break into two pieces. However, if this action is repeated many times, the paper clip will eventually break. The paper clip failure is analogous to a fatigue failure.

For a description of fatigue categories for various steel details, refer to Topic 8.1.

Isotropy

A material that has the same mechanical properties regardless of which direction it is loaded is said to be isotropic.

Example of isotropy: Plain, unreinforced concrete, and steel.

For a description of isotropic materials, refer to Topics 2.2 and 2.3.

P.1.4

Mechanics of Materials

Materials respond to loadings in a manner dependent on their mechanical properties. In characterizing materials, certain mechanical properties must be defined.

Yield Strength

The ability of a material to resist plastic (permanent) deformation is called the yield strength. Yield strength corresponds to stress level defined by a material's yield point.

Tensile Strength

The tensile strength of a material is the stress level defined by the maximum tensile load that it can resist without failure. Tensile strength corresponds to the

highest ordinate on the stress-strain curve and is sometimes referred to as the ultimate strength.

Toughness

Toughness is a measure of the energy required to break a material. It is related to ductility. Toughness is not necessarily related to strength. A material might have high strength but little toughness. A ductile material with the same strength as a non-ductile material will require more energy to break and thus exhibit more toughness. For highway bridges, the CVN (Charpy V-notch) toughness is the toughness value usually used. It is an indicator of the ability of the steel to resist crack propagation in the presence of a notch or flaw. The unit for toughness N-m @ degrees C (ft-lbs @ degrees F).

P.1.5

Bridge Response to Loadings

Each member of a bridge is intended to respond to loads in a particular way. The bridge inspector must understand the manner in which loads are applied to each member in order to evaluate if it functions as intended. Once the inspector understands a bridge member's response to loadings, he will be able to determine if a member defect has an adverse effect on the load-carrying capacity of that member.

Bridge members respond to various loadings by resisting four basic types of forces. These are:

- Axial forces (compression and tension)
- Bending forces (flexure)
- Shear forces
- Torsional forces

Equilibrium

In calculating these forces, the analysis is governed by equations of equilibrium. Equilibrium equations represent a balanced force system and may be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum V &= 0 \\ \sum H &= 0 \\ \sum M &= 0\end{aligned}$$

where: \sum = summation of
V = vertical forces
H = horizontal forces
M = moments (bending forces)

Axial Forces

An axial force is a push or pull type of force which acts in the long direction of a member. An axial force causes compression if it is pushing and tension if it is pulling (see Figure P.1.10). Axial forces are generally expressed in English units of pounds or kips, and metric units of Newtons or kilonewtons.




Figure P.1.10 Axial Forces

Example of an axial force: A man sitting on top of a fence post is exerting an axial force that causes compression in the fence post. A group of people playing tug-of-war exerts an axial force that causes tension in the rope.

Truss members are common bridge elements which carry axial loads. They are designed for both compression and tension forces. Cables are designed for axial forces in tension. Columns are vertical bridge elements designed for compressive axial forces.

True axial forces act uniformly over a cross-sectional area. Therefore, axial stress can be calculated by dividing the force by the area on which it acts.

$$f_a = \frac{P}{A}$$

where:

f_a	=	axial stress
P	=	axial force
A	=	cross-sectional area

When bridge members are designed to resist axial forces, the cross-sectional area will vary depending on the magnitude of the force, whether the force is tensile or compressive, and the type of material used.

For tension and compression members, the cross-sectional area must satisfy the previous equation for an acceptable axial stress. However, the acceptable axial compressive stress is generally lower than that for tension because of a phenomenon called buckling.

Bending Forces

Bending forces in bridge members are caused by moment. A moment is commonly developed by a transverse loading which causes a member to bend. The greatest bending moment that a beam can resist is generally the governing factor which determines the size and material of the member. Bending moments

produce both compression and tension forces at different locations in the member and can be positive or negative (see Figure P.1.11). Moments are generally expressed in English units of pound-feet or kip-feet, and metric units of Newton-meters or kilonewton-meters.

Example of bending moment: When a rectangular rubber eraser is bent, a moment is produced in the eraser. If the ends are bent upwards, the top half of the eraser can be seen to shorten, while the bottom half can be seen to lengthen. Therefore, the moment produces compression forces in the top layers of the eraser and tension forces in the bottom layers.




Figure P.1.11 Positive and Negative Moment

Beams and girders are the most common bridge elements used to resist bending moments. The flanges are most critical because they provide the greatest resistance to the compressive and tensile forces developed by the moment (see Figure P.1.12).




Figure P.1.12 Girder Cross Section

Bending members have a neutral axis at which there are no bending stresses. On a cross section of a member, bending stresses vary linearly with respect to the distance from the neutral axis (see Figures P.1.11 and P.1.13).

The formula for maximum bending stress is (see Figure P.1.13):

$$f_b = \frac{Mc}{I}$$

where:

f_b	=	bending stress on extreme fiber (or surface) of beam
M	=	applied moment
c	=	distance from neutral axis to extreme fiber (or surface) of beam
I	=	moment of inertia (a property of the beam cross-sectional area and shape)




Figure P.1.13 Bending Stresses

Shear Forces

Shear is a force, which results from equal but opposite transverse forces, which tend to slide one section of a member past an adjacent section (see Figure P.1.14). Shear forces are generally expressed in English units of pounds or kips, and metric units of Newtons or kilonewtons.

Example of shear: When scissors are used to cut a piece of paper, a shear force has caused one side of the paper to separate from the other. Scissors are often referred to as shears since they exert a shear force.




Figure P.1.14 Shear Forces in a Member Element

Beams and girders are common shear resisting members. In an I- or T-beam, most of the shear is resisted by the web (see Figure P.1.12). The shear stress produced by the transverse forces is manifested in a horizontal shear stress which is accompanied by a vertical shear stress of equal magnitude. Vertical shear strength is generally considered in most design criteria. The formula for vertical shear stress in I- or T-beams is:

$$f_v = \frac{V}{A_w}$$

where:

f_v	=	shear stress
V	=	vertical shear due to external loads
A_w	=	area of web

In a solid rectangular beam, shear is resisted by the entire cross section, and the formula for vertical shear stress is:

$$f_v = \frac{3V}{2A}$$

where: A = cross-sectional area

Torsional Forces

Torsion is a force resulting from externally applied moments which tend to rotate or twist a member about its longitudinal axis. Torsional force is commonly referred to as torque and is generally expressed in English units of pound-feet or kip-feet, and metric units of Newton-meters or Kilonewtons-meters.

Example of torsion: One end of a long rectangular steel bar is clamped horizontally in a vise so that the long side is up and down. Using a large wrench, a moment is applied to the other end, which causes it to rotate so that the long side is now left to right. The steel bar is resisting a torsional force or torque which has twisted it 90° with respect to its original orientation (see Figure P.1.15).

Torsional forces develop in bridge members, which are interconnected and experience unbalanced loadings. Bridge elements are generally not designed as torsional members. However, in some bridge superstructures where elements are framed together, torsional forces can occur in longitudinal members. When these members experience differential deflection, adjoining transverse members apply twisting moments resulting in torsion. In addition, curved bridges are generally subject to torsion (see Figure P.1.16).




Figure P.1.15 Torsion




Figure P.1.16 Torsional Distortion

Reactions

A reaction is a force provided by a support that is equal but opposite to the force transmitted from a member to its support (see Figure P.1.17). Reactions are most commonly vertical forces, but a reaction can also be a horizontal force. The reaction at a support is the measure of force that it must transmit to the ground. A vertical reaction increases as the loads on the member are increased or as the loads are moved closer to that particular support. Reactions are generally expressed in English units of pounds or kips, and metric units of Newtons or kilonewtons.

Example of reactions: Consider a bookshelf consisting of a piece of wood supported at its two ends by bricks. The bricks serve as supports, and the reaction is based on the weight of the shelf and the weight of the books on the shelf. As more books are added, the reaction provided by the bricks will increase. As the books are shifted to one side, the reaction provided by the bricks at that side will increase, while the reaction at the other side will decrease.




Figure P.1.17 Types of Supports

The loads of the entire bridge always equal the reactions provided by the abutments and the piers. However, on a smaller scale, each individual beam and girder also exerts forces, which create reactions provided by its supporting members.

Overloads

Overload damage or serious cracking may occur when members are overstressed. Overload occurs when the stresses applied are greater than the elastic limit for the material.

Buckling

Buckling is the tendency of a member to deform or bend out of plane when subjected to a compressive force. As the length and slenderness of a compression member increases, the likelihood of buckling also increases.

Compression members require additional cross-sectional area or bracing to resist buckling.

Example of buckling: A paper or plastic straw compressed axially at both ends with an increasing force will eventually buckle.

Elongation

Elongation is the tendency of a member to extend or stretch when subjected to a tensile force. Elongation can be either elastic or plastic.

Example of elongation: A piece of taffy pulled will stretch in a plastic manner.

P.1.6

Bridge Movements

Bridges move because of many factors; some are anticipated, but others are not. Unanticipated movements generally result from settlement, sliding, and rotation of

foundations. Anticipated movements include live load deflections, thermal expansions and contractions, shrinkage and creep, earthquakes, rotations, wind drifting, and vibrations. Of these movements, the three major anticipated movements are live load deflections, thermal movements, and rotational movements.

Live Load Deflections Deflection produced by live loading should not be excessive because of aesthetics, user discomfort, and possible damage to the whole structure.

Limitations are generally expressed as a deflection-to-span ratio. AASHTO generally limits live load bridge deflection for steel and concrete bridges to 1/800 (i.e., 25-mm (1 inch) vertical movement per 20.3 m (67 feet) of span length). For bridges that have sidewalks, AASHTO limits live load bridge deflection to 1/1000 (i.e., 25-mm (1-inch) vertical movement per 25 m (83 feet) of span length).

Thermal Movements The longitudinal expansion and contraction of a bridge is dependent on the range of temperature change, length of bridge, and most importantly, materials used in construction. Thermal movements are frequently accommodated using expansion joints and movable bearings. To accommodate thermal movements, AASHTO recommends the designer allow 32-mm (1-1/4 inches) of movement for each 30.5 m (100 feet) of span length for steel bridges and 30-mm (1-3/16 inches) of movement for each 30.5 m (100 feet) of span length for concrete bridges.

Rotational Movements Rotational movement in bridges is a direct result of live load deflection and occurs with the greatest magnitude at the bridge supports. This movement can be accommodated using bearing devices that permit rotation.

P.1.7

Design Methods

Bridge engineers use various design methods that incorporate safety factors to account for uncertainties and random deviations in material strength, fabrication, construction, durability, and loadings.

Allowable Stress Design The Allowable Stress Design (ASD) or Working Stress Design (WSD) is a method in which the maximum stress a particular member may carry is limited to an allowable or working stress. The allowable or working stress is determined by applying an appropriate factor of safety to the limiting stress of the material. For example, the allowable tensile stress for a steel tension member is 0.55 times the steel yield stress. This results in a safety factor of 1.8. The capacity of the member is based on either the inventory rating level or the operating rating level. AASHTO currently has ten possible WSD group loadings.

Load Factor Design Load Factor Design (LFD) is a method in which the ultimate strength of a material is limited to the combined effect of the factored loads. The factored loads are determined from the applied loadings, which are increased by selected multipliers that provide a factor of safety. The load factors for AASHTO Group I are 1.3(DL+1.67(LL+I)). AASHTO currently has ten possible LFD group loadings.

Load and Resistance Factor Design Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) is a design procedure based on the actual strength, rather than on an arbitrary calculated stress. It is an ultimate strength concept where both working loads and resistance are multiplied by factors, and the design performed by assuming the strength exceeds the load. (The load multipliers used in LRFD are not the same multipliers that are used in LFD.)

These design methods are conservative due to safety factors and limit the stress in bridge members to a level well within the material's elastic range, provided that the structural members are in good condition. That is why it is important for inspectors to accurately report any deficiency found in the members.

P.1.8

Bridge Ratings

One of the primary functions of a bridge inspection is to collect information necessary for a bridge load capacity rating. Therefore, the bridge inspector should understand the principles of bridge load ratings. Bridge load rating methods and guidelines are provided by AASHTO in the *Manual for Condition Evaluation of Bridges*.

A bridge load rating is used to determine the usable live load capacity of a bridge. Each member of a bridge has a unique load rating, and the bridge load rating represents the most critical one. Bridge load rating is generally expressed in units of tons, and it is computed based on the following basic formula:

$$\text{Bridge Rating Factor (RF)} = \frac{C - A_1 D}{A_2 L(1 + I)}$$

where:

- RF = the rating factor for the live-load carrying capacity; the rating factor multiplied by the rating vehicle in tons gives the rating of the structure
- C = the capacity of the member
- D = the dead load effect on the member
- L = the live load effect on the member
- I = the impact factor to be used with the live load effect
- A₁ = factor for dead loads
- A₂ = factor for live loads

Inventory Rating

The inventory rating level generally corresponds to the customary design level of stresses but reflects the existing bridge and material conditions with regard to deterioration and loss of section. Load ratings based on the inventory level allow comparisons with the capacity for new structures and, therefore, results in a live load, which can safely utilize an existing structure for an indefinite period of time. For the allowable stress method, the inventory rating for steel used to be based on 55% of the yield stress. Inventory ratings have been refined to reflect the various material and load types. See the *Manual for Condition Evaluation of Bridges* (Section 6.6.2 for Allowable Stress Inventory Ratings and Section 6.6.3 for Load Factor Inventory Ratings).

Operating Rating

Load ratings based on the operating rating level generally describe the maximum permissible live load to which the structure may be subjected. Allowing unlimited numbers of vehicles to use the bridge at operating level may shorten the life of the bridge. For steel, the allowable stress for operating rating used to be 75% of the yield stress. Operating ratings have been refined to reflect the various material and load types. See the *Manual for Condition Evaluation of Bridges* (Section 6.6.2 for Allowable Stress Operating Ratings and Section 6.6.3 for Load Factor Operating Ratings).

Special permits for heavier than normal vehicles may occasionally be issued by a governing agency. The load produced by the permit vehicle must not exceed the structural capacity determined by the operating rating.

Rating Vehicles

Rating vehicles are truck loads applied to the bridge to establish the inventory and operating ratings. These rating vehicles (see Figure P.1.18) include:

- H loading
- HS loading
- Alternate Interstate Loading (Military Loading)
- Type 3 unit
- Type 3-S2 unit
- Type 3-3 unit
- The maximum legal load vehicles of the state









Figure P.1.18 Rating Vehicles

The axle spacing and weights of the Type 3 unit, Type 3-S2 unit, and Type 3-3 unit are based on actual vehicles. However, as mentioned previously, the H and HS loadings do not represent actual vehicles.

These standard rating vehicles were chosen based on load regulations of most states and governing agencies. However, individual states and agencies may also establish their own unique rating vehicles.

Bridge Posting

Bridge loads are posted to warn the public of the load capacity of a bridge, to avoid safety hazards, and to adhere to federal law. Federal law requires bridges to be inspected every two years for lengths greater than 6.1 m (20 feet), and for bridge postings that can't carry standard truck loads. Federal law requires bridges to be posted when the State's legal loads exceed the operating rating for the bridge. It is the inspector's responsibility to gather and provide information that the structural engineer can use to analyze and rate the bridge.

The federal regulations for safe load-carrying capacity are determined by the FHWA and AASHTO under the following criteria:

- Physical condition
- Potential for fatigue damage
- Type of structure/configuration
- Truck traffic data

Bridge postings show the maximum allowable load by law for single vehicles and combinations while still maintaining an adequate safety margin (see Figure P.1.19).



Figure P.1.19 Bridge Weight Limit Posting

Failure to comply with bridge posting may result in fines, tort suits/financial liabilities, accidents, or even death. In addition, bridges may be damaged when postings are ignored (see Figure P.1.20).



Figure P.1.20 Damaged Bridge due to Failure to Comply with Bridge Posting

P.1.9

Span Classifications

Beams and bridges are classified into three span classifications that are based on the nature of the supports and the interrelationship between spans. These classifications are:

- Simple
- Continuous
- Cantilever

Simple

A simple span is a span with only two supports, each of which is at or near the end of the span (see Figure P.1.21).




Figure P.1.21 Simple Span

A simple span bridge can have a single span supported at the ends by two abutments or multiple spans with each span behaving independently of the others. Some characteristics of simple span bridges are:

- When loaded, the span deflects downward and rotates at the supports (i.e., the abutments)
- The sum of the reactions provided by the two supports equals the entire load
- Shear forces are maximum at the supports and zero at or near the middle of the spans
- Bending moment throughout the span is positive and maximum at or near the middle of the span (the same location at which shear is zero); bending moment is zero at the supports
- The part of the superstructure below the neutral axis is in tension while the portion above the neutral axis is in compression

A simple span bridge is easily analyzed using equilibrium equations. However, it does not always provide the most economical design solution.

Continuous

A continuous span is a configuration in which a beam has one or more intermediate supports and the behavior of each individual span is dependent on its adjacent spans (see Figure P.1.22).

A continuous span bridge is one which is supported at the ends by two abutments and which spans uninterrupted over one or more piers. Some characteristics of continuous span bridges are:

- When loaded, the spans deflect downward and rotate at the supports (i.e., the abutments and the piers)
- The reactions provided by the supports depend on the span configuration and the distribution of the loads
- Shear forces are maximum at the supports and zero at or near the middle of the spans
- Positive bending moment is greatest at or near the middle of each span
- Negative bending moment is greatest at the intermediate supports (i.e., the piers); the bending moment is zero at the end supports (i.e., the abutments); there are also two locations per intermediate support at which bending moment is zero, known as inflection points
- For positive bending moments, compression occurs on the top portion of the beam and tension occurs on the bottom portion of the beam
- For negative bending moments, tension occurs on the top portion of the beam and compression occurs on the bottom portion of the beam




Figure P.1.22 Continuous Span

A continuous span bridge allows longer spans and is more economical than a bridge consisting of many simple spans. This is due to its efficient design with members that are more shallow. However, a continuous bridge is more difficult to analyze than a simple span bridge and is more susceptible to overstress conditions if the abutments or piers settle. Simple span bridges and continuous span bridges are both commonly used.

Cantilever

A cantilever span is a span with one end restrained against rotation and deflection and the other end completely free (see Figure P.1.23). The restrained end is also known as a fixed support (see Figure P.1.17).

While a cantilever generally does not form an entire bridge, portions of a bridge can behave as a cantilever (e.g., cantilever bridges and bascule bridges). Some characteristics of cantilevers are:

- When loaded, the span deflects downward, but there is no rotation or deflection at the support
- The fixed support reaction consists of a vertical force and a resisting moment
- The shear is maximum at the fixed support and is zero at the free end
- The bending moment throughout the span is negative and maximum at the fixed support; bending moment is zero at the free end




Figure P.1.23 Cantilever Span

When cantilever spans are incorporated into a bridge, they are generally extensions of a continuous span. Therefore, moment and rotation at the cantilever support will be dependent on the adjacent span (see Figure P.1.24).




Figure P.1.24 Cantilever Bridge

P.1.10

Bridge Roadway Interaction

Bridges also have two classifications that are based on the relationship between the deck and the beams. These classifications are:

- Non-composite
- Composite

Non-composite

A non-composite structure is one in which the beams act independently of the deck. Therefore, the beams alone must resist all of the loads applied to them, including the dead load of the beams, deck, and railing, and all of the live loads.

Composite

A composite structure is one in which the deck acts together with the beams to resist the loads (see Figure P.1.25). The deck material must be strong enough to contribute significantly to the overall strength of the section. The deck material is different than the superstructure material. The most common combinations are concrete on steel and concrete on prestressed concrete. Shear connectors such as studs, spirals, channels, or stirrups that are attached to the beams and are embedded in a concrete deck provide composite action. This ensures that the beams and the deck will act as a unit by preventing slippage between the two when a load is applied.




Figure P.1.25 Composite Concrete Deck on Steel Beams and Prestressed Concrete Beams

Composite action is achieved only after the concrete deck has hardened. Therefore, some of the dead load (Dead Load 1) must be resisted by the non-composite action of the beam alone. These dead loads include the weight of:

- The beam itself
- Any diaphragms and cross-bracing
- The concrete deck
- Any concrete haunch between the beam and the deck
- Any other loads which are applied before the concrete deck has hardened

Other dead loads, known as superimposed dead loads (Dead Load 2), are resisted by the beam and the concrete deck acting compositely. Superimposed dead loads include the weight of:

- Any anticipated future deck pavement
- Parapets
- Railings
- Any other loads which are applied after the concrete deck has hardened

Since live loads are applied to the bridge only after the deck has hardened, they are also resisted by the composite section.

The bridge inspector can identify a simple span, a continuous span, and a cantilever span based on their configuration. However, the bridge inspector can not identify the relationship between the deck and the beams while at the bridge site. Therefore, bridge plans must be reviewed to determine whether a structure is non-composite or composite.

Integral

On an integral bridge deck, the deck portion of the beam is constructed to act integrally with the stem, providing greater stiffness and allowing increased span lengths (see Figure P.1.26).



Figure P.1.26 Integral Bridge Deck

Orthotropic

An orthotropic deck consists of a flat, thin steel plate stiffened by a series of closely spaced longitudinal ribs at right angles to the floor beams. The deck acts integrally with the steel superstructure. An orthotropic deck becomes the top flange of the entire floor system. Orthotropic decks are occasionally used on large bridges (see Figure P.1.27).




Figure P.1.27 Orthotropic Bridge Deck

P.1.11

Redundancy

Redundancy in bridge design is a configuration in which a bridge or bridge member has three or more independent load paths so that failure of one member or member element would not result in total failure.

There are three types of redundancy in bridge design.

Load Path Redundancy

Bridge designs that are load path redundant have three or more main load-carrying members or load paths. If one member were to fail, load would be redistributed to the other members and bridge failure would not occur. Bridge designs that are non-redundant have two or fewer main load carrying members or load paths.

Structural Redundancy

Most bridge designs, which provide continuity of load path from span to span are referred to as structurally redundant. Some continuous span two-girder bridge designs are structurally redundant. In the event of a member failure, loading from that span can be redistributed to the adjacent spans and total bridge failure would not occur.

Internal Redundancy

Internal redundancy is when a bridge member contains several elements which are mechanically fastened together so that multiple load paths are formed. Failure of one member element would not cause total failure of the member.

Redundancy is discussed in greater detail in Topic 8.1.

P.1.12

Foundations

Foundations are critical to the stability of the bridge since the foundation ultimately supports the entire structure. There are two basic types of bridge foundations:

- Spread footings
- Pile foundations

Spread Footings

A spread footing is used when the bedrock layers are close to the ground surface or when the soil is capable of supporting the bridge. A spread footing is typically a rectangular slab made of reinforced concrete. This type of foundation "spreads out" the loads from the bridge to the underlying rock or well-compacted soil. While a spread footing is usually buried, it is generally covered with a minimal amount of soil. In cold regions, the bottom of a spread footing will be just below the recognized maximum frost line depth for that area (see Figure P.1.28).

Pile Foundations

A pile foundation is used when the soil is not suited for supporting the bridge or when the bedrock is not close to the ground surface. A pile is a long, slender support that is typically driven into the ground but can be partially exposed. It is made from steel, concrete, or timber. Various numbers and configurations of piles can be used to support a bridge foundation. This type of foundation transfers load to sound material well below the surface or, in the case of friction piles, to the surrounding soil. The terms "caisson," "drilled caisson," "drilled shaft" and "bored pile" are frequently used by engineers to denote drilled pile construction, sometimes referred to as pier foundations (see Figure P.1.29).




Figure P.1.28 Spread Footing




Figure P.1.29 Pile Foundation

Table of Contents

Basic Concepts Primer

P.2	Bridge Components and Elements.....	P.2.1
P.2.1	Introduction.....	P.2.1
P.2.2	NBIS Structure Length	P.2.1
P.2.3	Major Bridge Components.....	P.2.1
P.2.4	Basic Member Shapes.....	P.2.2
	Timber Shapes.....	P.2.2
	Planks.....	P.2.3
	Beams.....	P.2.3
	Piles.....	P.2.4
	Concrete Shapes	P.2.4
	Cast-in-Place Flexural Shapes	P.2.5
	Precast Flexural Shapes	P.2.6
	Axially-Loaded Compression Members	P.2.8
	Iron Shapes	P.2.9
	Cast Iron.....	P.2.9
	Wrought Iron.....	P.2.10
	Steel Shapes.....	P.2.10
	Rolled Shapes.....	P.2.11
	Built-up Shapes.....	P.2.13
	Cables.....	P.2.16
P.2.5	Connections.....	P.2.17
	Pin Connections.....	P.2.17
	Riveted Connections.....	P.2.18
	Bolted Connections	P.2.19
	Welded Connections.....	P.2.20
	Pin and Hanger Connections	P.2.21
	Splice Connections.....	P.2.22
P.2.6	Decks.....	P.2.23
	Deck Purpose.....	P.2.23

	Deck Function	P.2.24
	Deck Materials	P.2.25
	Timber Decks	P.2.25
	Concrete Decks	P.2.26
	Steel Decks	P.2.27
	Glass and Plastic	P.2.28
	Wearing Surfaces	P.2.28
	Deck Joints, Drainage, Appurtenances, Signing, and Lighting	P.2.29
	Deck Joints	P.2.29
	Open Joints	P.2.29
	Sealed Joints	P.2.30
	Drainage Systems	P.2.32
	Deck Drainage System	P.2.32
	Joint Drainage System	P.2.32
	Substructure Drainage Systems	P.2.32
	Deck Appurtenances	P.2.32
	Bridge Barriers	P.2.32
	Sidewalks and Curbs	P.2.33
	Signing	P.2.33
	Lighting	P.2.34
P.2.7	Superstructure	P.2.35
	Superstructure Purpose	P.2.35
	Superstructure Function	P.2.35
	Primary Elements	P.2.35
	Secondary Elements	P.2.36
	Superstructure Types	P.2.37
	Beams Bridges	P.2.38
	Arch Bridges	P.2.43
	Cable-Supported Bridges	P.2.44
	Movable Bridges	P.2.45
	Floating Bridges	P.2.47
	Culverts	P.2.48
P.2.8	Bearings	P.2.48
	Definition	P.2.48
	Primary Function	P.2.48
	Basic Elements	P.2.48
	Bearing Types	P.2.49
P.2.9	Substructure	P.2.49
	Substructure Purposes	P.2.49
	Substructure Function	P.2.49
	Abutments	P.2.51
	Piers and Bents	P.2.52

Topic P.2 Bridge Components and Elements

P.2.1

Introduction

The bridge inspector should be familiar with the terminology and elementary theory of bridge mechanics and materials. This topic presents the terminology needed by inspectors to properly identify and describe the individual elements that comprise a bridge. First the major components of a bridge are introduced. Then the basic member shapes and connections of the bridge are presented. Finally, the purpose and function of the major bridge components are described in detail.

P.2.2

NBIS Structure Length

According to the Recording and Coding Guide for Structure Inventory and Appraisal of the Nation's Bridges the minimum length for a structure carrying traffic loads is 6.1 meters (20 feet). The structure length is measured as shown on Figure P.2.1




Figure P.2.1 NBIS Structure Length

P.2.3

Major Bridge Components

A thorough and complete bridge inspection is dependent upon the bridge inspector's ability to identify and understand the function of the major bridge components and their elements. Most bridges can be divided into three basic parts

or components (see Figure P.2.1A):

- Deck
- Superstructure
- Substructure




Figure P.2.1A Major Bridge Components

P.2.4

Basic Member Shapes

The ability to recognize and identify basic member shapes requires an understanding of the timber, concrete, and steel shapes used in the construction of bridges.

Every bridge member is designed to carry a unique combination of tension, compression, and shear. These are considered the three basic kinds of member stresses. Bending loads cause a combination of tension and compression in a member. Shear stresses are caused by transverse forces exerted on a member. As such, certain shapes and materials have distinct characteristics in resisting the applied loads. For a review of bridge loadings and member responses, see Topic P.1.

Timber Shapes

Basic shapes, properties, gradings, deteriorations, protective systems, and examination of timber are covered in detail in Topic 2.1.

Timber members are found in a variety of shapes (see Figure P.2.2). The sizes of timber members are generally given in nominal dimensions (such as in Figures P.2.2 through P.2.4). However, timber members are generally seasoned and surfaced from the rough sawn condition, making the actual dimension about 13 to 20 mm (1/2 to 3/4 inches) less than the nominal dimension.

The physical properties of timber enable it to resist both tensile and compressive stresses. Therefore, it can function as an axially-loaded or bending member. Timber bridge members are made into three basic shapes:

- Planks
- Beams
- Piles




Figure P.2.2 Timber Shapes

Planks

Planks are characterized by elongated, rectangular dimensions determined by the intended bridge use. Plank thickness is dependent upon the distance between the supporting points and the magnitude of the vehicle load. A common dimension for timber planks is a 2" x 12" (50 mm x 300 mm), nominal or rough sawn. Dressed lumber dimensions would be 1 ½" x 11' 4" (38 mm x 285 mm) (see Figure P.2.3).




Figure P.2.3 Timber Plank

Planks are most often used for bridge decks on bridges carrying light or infrequent truck traffic. While some shapes and materials are relatively new, the use of timber plank decks has existed for centuries. Timber planks are advantageous in that they are economical, lightweight, readily available, and easy to erect.

Beams

Timber beams have more equal rectangular dimensions than do planks, and they are sometimes square. Common dimensions include 250 mm by 250 mm (10

inches by 10 inches) square timbers, and 150 mm by 350 mm (6 inches by 14 inches) rectangular timbers.

As the differences in the common dimensions of planks and timber beams indicate, beams are larger and heavier than planks and can support heavier loads, as well as span greater distances. As such, timber beams are used in bridge superstructures and substructures to carry bending and axial loads.

Timbers can either be solid sawn or glued-laminated (see Figure P.2.4). Glued-laminated timbers are advantageous in that they can be fabricated from smaller, more readily available pieces. Glued lamination also allows larger rectangular members to be formed without the presence of natural defects such as knots. Glued-laminated timbers are normally manufactured from well-seasoned laminations and display very little shrinkage after they are made.




Figure P.2.4 Timber Beams

Piles

Timber can also be used for piles. Piles are normally round, slender columns that support the substructure footing or partially form the substructure. Piles may be partially above ground but are usually completely buried.

Concrete Shapes

Basic ingredients, properties, reinforcement, deterioration, protective systems, and examination of concrete are covered in detail in Topic 2.2.



Figure P.2.5 Unusual Concrete Shapes

Concrete is a unique material for bridge members because it can be formed into an infinite variety of shapes (see Figure P.2.5). Concrete members are used to carry axial loads and loads in bending. Since bending is really a combination of compressive and tensile stresses, plain concrete is a poor material to resist bending. Concrete bending members are typically reinforced with either reinforcing steel (producing reinforced concrete) or with prestressing steel (producing prestressed concrete) in order to carry the tensile stresses in the member. The cost of prestressing steel is greater than that of reinforcing steel. However, because less steel is used in prestressed concrete, it can be more economical to use.

Cast-in-Place Flexural Shapes

The most common shapes of reinforced concrete members are (see Figure P.2.6):

- Slabs
- Rectangular beams
- Tee beams
- Channel beams

Bridges utilizing these shapes and mild steel reinforcement have been constructed and were typically cast-in-place (CIP). Many of the designs are obsolete, but the structures remain in service. Concrete members of this type are used for short and medium span bridges.




Figure P.2.6 Reinforced Concrete Shapes

Concrete slabs are used for concrete decks and slab bridges. On concrete decks, the concrete spans the distance between superstructure members and is generally 180 to 230 mm (7 to 9 inches thick). On slab bridges, the slab spans the distance between piers or abutments, forming an integral deck and superstructure. Slab bridge elements are usually 300 to 600 mm (12 to 24 inches) thick.

Rectangular beams are used for both superstructure and substructure bridge elements. Concrete pier caps are commonly rectangular beams which support the superstructure.

Bridge use for tee beams is generally limited to superstructure elements. Distinguished by a "T" shape, tee beams combine the functions of a rectangular beam and slab to form an integral deck and superstructure.

Bridge use for channel beams is limited to superstructure elements. This particular shape is precast rather than cast-in-place. Channel beams are formed in the shape of a "C" and placed legs down when erected. They function as both superstructure and deck and are typically used for shorter span bridges. A wearing course is often added to provide the riding surface.

Precast Flexural Shapes

The most common shapes of prestressed concrete members are (see Figure P.2.7):

- I-beams
- Bulb-tees
- Box beams

- Box girders
- Voids slabs

These shapes are used for superstructure members.




Figure P.2.7 Prestressed Concrete Shapes

Prestressed concrete beams can be precast at a fabricator's plant using high strength concrete. Increased material strengths, more efficient shapes, and the prestress forces allow these members to carry greater loads. Therefore, they are capable of spanning greater distances and supporting heavier live loads. Bridges using members of this type and material have been widely used in the United States since World War II.

Prestressed concrete is generally more economical than conventionally reinforced concrete because the prestressing force lowers the neutral axis, putting more of the concrete section into compression. Also, the prestress steel is very high strength, so fewer pounds of steel are needed (see Figure P.2.8).




Figure P.2.8 Mild Steel Reinforced Concrete vs. Precast Prestressed Concrete

I-beams, distinguished by their "I" shape, function as superstructure members and support the deck. This type of beam can be used for spans as long as 46 m (150 feet).

Bulb-tee beams are distinguished by their "T" shapes, with a bulb-shaped section (similar to the bottom flange of an I-beam) at the bottom of the vertical leg of the tee. This type of beam can be used for spans as long as 55 m (180 feet).

Box beams, distinguished by a square or rectangular shape, usually have a beam depth greater than 430 mm (17 inches). Box beams can be adjacent or spread, and they are typically used for short and medium span bridges.

Box girders, distinguished by their trapezoidal box shapes, function as both deck and superstructure. Box girders are used for long span or curved bridges. They can be precast and erected in segments or cast in place.

Voided slabs, distinguished by their rectangular shape and their interior voids, are generally precast units placed parallel with the roadway alignment. The interior voids are used to reduce the dead load. Voided slabs can be used for spans of 30 to 9 to 24 m (80 feet).

Axially-Loaded Compression Members

Concrete axially-loaded compression members are used in bridges in the form of:

- Columns
- Arches
- Piles

Because these members also carry varying bending forces, they contain steel reinforcement.

Columns are straight members which can carry axial load, horizontal load, and

bending and are used as substructure elements. Columns are commonly square, rectangular, or round.

An arch can be thought of as a curved column and is commonly used as a superstructure element. Concrete superstructure arches are generally square or rectangular in cross section.

Piles are slender columns that support the substructure footing or partially form the substructure. Piles may be partially above ground but are usually completely buried (see Figure P.2.9).



Figure P.2.9 Concrete Pile Bent

Iron Shapes

Iron was used predominately as a bridge material between 1850 and 1900. Stronger and more fire resistant than wood, iron was widely used to carry the expanding railroad system during this period.

There are two types of iron members: cast iron and wrought iron. Cast iron is formed by casting, whereas wrought iron is formed by forging or rolling the iron into the desired form.

Cast Iron

Historically, cast iron preceded wrought iron as a bridge material. The method of casting molten iron to form a desired shape was more direct than that of wrought iron.

Casting allowed iron to be formed into almost any shape. However, because of cast iron's brittleness and low tensile strength, bridge members of cast iron were best used to carry axial compression loads. Therefore, cast iron members were usually cylindrical or box-shaped to efficiently resist axial loads.

Wrought Iron

In the late 1800's, wrought iron virtually replaced the use of cast iron. The two primary reasons for this were that wrought iron was better suited to carry tensile loads and advances in rolling technology made wrought iron shapes easier to obtain and more economical to use. Advances in technology made it possible to form a variety of shapes by rolling, including:

- Rods and wire
- Bars
- Plates
- Angles
- Channels
- Beams

Steel Shapes

Steel bridge members began to be used in the United States in the late 1800's and, by 1900, had virtually replaced iron as a bridge material. The replacement of iron by steel was the result of advances in steel making (see Figure P.2.10). These advances yielded a steel material that surpassed iron in both strength and elasticity. Steel could carry heavier loads and better withstand the shock and vibration of ever-increasing live loads. Since the early 1900's, the quality of steel has continued to improve. Stronger and more ductile A36, A572, and A588 steels have replaced early grades of steel, such as A7.




Figure P.2.10 Steel Making Operation

Due to their strength, steel bridge members are used to carry axial forces as well as bending forces. Steel shapes are generally either rolled or built-up.

Rolled Shapes

Rolled steel shapes commonly used on bridges include (see Figure P.2.11):

- Bars and plates
- Angles
- Channels
- S Beams
- W Beams




Figure P.2.11 Common Rolled Steel Shapes

The standard weights and dimensions of these shapes can be found in the American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) *Manual of Steel Construction*.

Bars and plates are formed into flat pieces of steel. Bars are normally considered to be up to 200 mm (8 inches) in width. Common examples of bars include lacing bars on a truss and steel eyebars. Plates are designated as flat plates if they are over 200 mm (8 inches) in width. A common example of a plate is the gusset plate on a truss. Bars and plates are dimensioned as follows: width (in mm or inches) x thickness (in mm or inches) x length (in meters or feet and inches). Examples of bar and plate dimensions include:

- Lacing bar: 50 mm x 10 mm x 1.2 m (2"x3/8"x1'-3")
- Gusset plate: 530 mm x 12 mm x 1.3 m (21"x1/2"x4'-4")

Angles are "L"-shaped members, the sides of which are called "legs." Each angle has two legs, and the width of the legs can either be equal or unequal. When dimensioning angles, the two leg widths are given first, followed by the thickness and the length. Examples of angle dimensions include:

- L 4 x 4 x 1/4 x 3'-2" (L 102 x 102 x 6.4 x 965)

➤ 2L's 5 x 3 x 3/8 x 1'-1" (2L's 127 x 76 x 9.5 x 330)

Angles range in size from 1"x1"x1/4" to 8"x8"x1-1/8". Angles range in weight from less than 14.6 N/m (1 pound per foot) to almost 880 N/m (60 pounds per foot).

Angles, bars, and plates are commonly connected to form bracing members (see Figure P.2.12).




Figure P.2.12 Bracing Members Made from Angles, Bars, and Plates

Channels are squared-off "C"-shaped members and are used as diaphragms, struts, or built-up members. The top and bottom parts of a channel are called the flanges. Channels are dimensioned by the depth (the distance between outside edges of the flanges) in mm or inches, the weight in kg per m or pounds per foot, and the length. Examples of channel dimensions include:

- C 9 x 15 x 9'-6" (C 230 x 22 x 2895)
- C 12 x 20.7 x 11'-2-1/2" (C 310 x 31 x 3416)

When measuring a channel, it is not possible for the inspector to know how much the channel section weighs. In order to determine the weight, the inspector must record the flange width and the web depth. From this information, the inspector can then determine the true channel designation through the use of reference books.

Standard channels range in depth from 75 mm to 380 mm (3 inches to 15 inches), and weights range from less than 5 pounds per foot to 73 n/m to 730 n/m (50 pounds per foot). Nonstandard sections (called miscellaneous channels or MC) are rolled to depths of up to 600 mm (24 inches), weighing up to 845 n/m (60 pounds per foot).

Beams are "I"-shaped sections used as main load-carrying members. The load-carrying capacity generally increases as the member size increases. The early days of the iron and steel industry saw the various manufacturers rolling beams to their own standards. It was not until 1896 that beam weights and dimensions were standardized when the Association of American Steel Manufacturers adopted the American Standard beam. Because of this, I-beams are referred to by many designations, depending on their dimensions and the time period in which the particular shape was rolled. Today all I-beams are dimensioned according to their depth, weight, and length.

Examples of beam dimensions include:

- S15x50 (S380x74)- an American Standard (hence the "S") beam with a depth of 15 inches and a weight of 50 pounds per foot
- W18x76 (W460x113) - a wide (W) flange beam with a depth of 18 inches and a weight of 76 pounds per foot

Some of the more common designations for rolled I-beams are:

- S = American Standard beam
- W = Wide flange beam
- WF = Wide flange beam
- CB = Carnegie beam
- M = Miscellaneous beam
- HP = H-pile

When measuring an I-beam, the inspector needs to measure the depth, the flange width and thickness, and the web thickness (if possible). With this information, the inspector can then determine the beam designation from reference books.

These beams normally range in depth from 75 to 900 mm (3 to 36 inches) and range in weight from 90 to over 4380 n/m (6 to over 300 pounds) per foot. There are some steel mills that can roll beams up to 1120 mm (44 inches) deep.

Built-up Shapes

Built-up shapes offer a great deal of flexibility in designing member shapes. As such, they allow the bridge engineer to customize the members to their use. Built-up shapes are fabricated by either riveting or welding techniques.

The practice of riveting steel shapes began in the 1800's and continued through the 1950's. Typical riveted shapes include girders and boxes.

Riveted girders are large I-beam members fabricated from plates and angles. These girders were fabricated when the largest rolled beams were still not large enough (see Figure P.2.13).

Riveted boxes are large rectangular shapes fabricated from plates, angles, or channels. These boxes are used for cross-girders, truss chord members, and substructure members (see Figure P.2.14).

As technology improved, the need for riveting was replaced by high strength bolts

and welding. Popular since the early 1960's, welded steel shapes also include girders and boxes.

Welded girders are large I-beam members fabricated from plates. They are referred to as welded plate girders and have replaced the riveted girder (see Figure P.2.15).

Welded boxes are large, box-shaped members fabricated from plates. Welded boxes are commonly used for superstructure girders, truss members, and cross girders. Welded box shapes have replaced riveted box shapes (see Figure P.2.16).




Figure P.2.13 Riveted Plate Girder




Figure P.2.14 Riveted Box Shapes




Figure P.2.15 Welded I-Beam




Figure P.2.16 Welded Box Shapes

Cables

Steel cables are tension members and are used in suspension, tied-arch, and cable-stayed bridges. They are used as main cables and hangers of these bridge types (see Figure P.2.17). Refer to Topic 12.1 for a more detailed description of cable-supported bridges.



Figure P.2.17 Cable-Supported Bridge

P.2.5

Connections

Rolled and built-up steel shapes are used to make stringers, floor beams, girders, and truss members. These members require structural joints, or connections, to transfer loads between members. There are several different types of bridge member connections:

- Pin connections
- Riveted connections
- Bolted connections
- Welded connections
- Pin and hanger connections
- Splice connections

Pin Connections

Pins are cylindrical beams produced by either forging, casting, or cold-rolling. The pin sizes and configurations are as follows (see Figure P.2.18):

- A small pin, 32 to 100mm (1-1/4 to 4 inches) in diameter, is usually made with a cotter pin hole at one or both ends
- A medium pin, up to 250 mm (10 inches) in diameter, usually has threaded end projections for recessed retainer nuts
- A large pin, over 250 mm (10 inches) in diameter, is held in place by a recessed cap at each end and is secured by a bolt passing completely through the caps and pin

Pins are often surrounded by a protective sleeve, which may also act as a spacer to separate members. Pin connections are commonly used in eyebar trusses, hinged arches, and bearing supports (see Figure P.2.19).




Figure P.2.18 Sizes of Bridge Pins



Figure P.2.19 Pin-Connected Truss Members

The major advantages of using pin connection details are the design simplicity and the ability for free end rotation. The design simplicity afforded by pin connections reduces the amount and complexity of design calculations. By allowing for free end rotation, pin connections reduce the level of stress in the member.

The major disadvantages of pin connection details are the result of vibration, pin wear, unequal eyebar tension, unseen corrosion, and poor inspectability. Vibrations increase with pin connections because they allow more movement than more rigid types of connections. As a result of increased vibration, moving parts are subject to wear.

Pin connections are used both in trusses and at expansion joints. Both truss and girder suspended spans or cantilever joints that permit expansion are susceptible to freezing or fixity of the pinned joints. This results in changes in the structure and undesirable stresses when axially-loaded members become bending members.

Some pins connect multiple eyebars. Since the eyebars may have different lengths, they may experience different levels of tension. In addition, because parts of the pin surface are hidden from view by the eyebars, links, or connected parts, an alternate method of completely inspecting the pin must be used (e.g., ultrasonic or pin removal).

Riveted Connections

The rivet was the primary fastener used in the early days of iron and steel bridges. The use of high strength bolts replaced rivets by the early 1960's.

The standard head is called a high-button or acorn-head rivet. Flat-head and countersunk-head rivets were also used in areas of limited clearance, such as an eyebar pin connection (see Figure P.2.20).




Figure P.2.20 Types of Rivet Heads

There are two grades of rivets typically found on bridges:

- ASTM A502 Grade 1 (formerly ASTM A141) low carbon steel
- ASTM A502 Grade 2 (formerly ASTM A195) high strength steel

The rivet sizes most often used on bridges were 3/4, 7/8, or 1-inch shank diameters. Rivet holes were generally 1/16-inch larger than the rivet shank. While the hot rivet was being driven, the shank would increase slightly, filling the hole. As the rivet cooled, it would shrink in length, clamping together the connected elements.

When the inspector can feel vibration on one head of the rivet while hitting the other head with a hammer, this generally indicates that the rivet is loose. This method may not work with sheared rivets clamped between several plates.

Bolted Connections

Research into the use of high strength bolts began in 1947. The first specifications for the use of bolts were subsequently published in 1951. The economic and structural advantages of bolts over rivets led to their rapid use by bridge engineers. Bridges constructed in the late 1950's may have a combination of riveted (shop) and bolted (field) connections (see Figure P.2.21).

Structural bolts come in three basic types:

- ASTM A307 low carbon steel
- ASTM A325 (AASHTO M 164) high strength steel
- ASTM A490 (AASHTO M 253) high strength alloy steel




Figure P.2.21 Shop Rivets and Field Bolts

The most commonly used bolts on bridges are 3/4, 7/8, and 1-inch in diameter. Larger bolts are often used to anchor the bearings. Bolt holes are typically 1/16-inch larger than the bolt. However, oversized and slotted holes are also permissible.

The strength of high strength bolts is measured in tension. However, the inspection of high strength bolts on bridges involves many variables. Although the installation inspection of new high strength bolts often requires the use of a torque wrench, this method does not have any merit when inspecting high strength bolts on in-service bridges. The torque is dependent on factors such as bolt diameter, bolt length, connection design (bearing or friction), use of washers, paint and coatings, parallelism of connected parts, dirt, rust, and corrosion.

The inspector must be cautioned that standard tables and formulas relating tension to torque are no longer considered valid.

Simple techniques, such as looking and feeling for loose bolts, are the most common methods used by inspectors when inspecting for loose bolts.

Welded Connections

Pins, rivets, and bolts are examples of mechanical fasteners forming non-rigid joints. A welded connection is not mechanical but rather is rigid one-piece construction. A properly welded joint, in which two pieces are fused together, is as strong as the joined materials.

Similar to mechanical fasteners, welds are used to make structural connections between members and also to connect elements of a built-up member. Welds have also been used in the fabrication and erection of bridges as a way to temporarily hold pieces together prior to field riveting, bolting, or welding. Small temporary erection welds, known as tack welds, can cause serious problems to certain bridge members (see Figure P.2.22). Welding is also used as a means of sealing joints

and seams from moisture.



Figure P.2.22 Close-up of Tack Weld on a Riveted Built-up Truss Member

The first specification for using welds on bridges appeared in 1936. Welding eventually replaced rivets for fabricating built-up members. Welded plate girders, hollow box-like truss members, and shear connectors for composite decks are just a few of the advances attributed to welding technology.

Welds need to be carefully inspected for cracks or signs of cracks (e.g., broken paint or rust stains) in both the welds and the adjoining base metal elements.

Pin and Hanger Connections

A pin and hanger connection is a type of hinge consisting of two pins and a hanger. Pin and hanger connections are used in an articulated (continuous bridge with hinges) or a suspended span configuration. The location of the connection varies depending on the type of bridge. In I-beam bridges, a hanger is located on either side of the webs (see Figure P.2.23). In suspended span truss bridges, each connection has a hanger which is similar in shape to the other truss members (with the exception of the pinned ends).



Figure P.2.23 Pin and Hanger Connection

Pin and hanger connections must be carefully inspected for signs of wear and corrosion. A potential problem can occur if corrosion of the pin and hanger causes the connection to "freeze," inhibiting free rotation. This condition violates the design, resulting in additional stresses in the pin and hanger and adjacent girder. The failure of a pin and hanger connection can cause a partial or complete failure of the bridge.

Splice Connections

A splice connection is the joining of two sections of the same member, either in the fabrication shop or in the field. This type of connection can be made using rivets, bolts, or welds. Bolted splices are common in multi-beam superstructures due to the limited allowable shipping lengths (see Figure P.2.24). Welded flange splices are common in large welded plate girders as a means of fabricating the most economical section.




Figure P.2.24 Bolted Field Splice

P.2.6

Decks

The deck is that component of a bridge to which the live load is directly applied. Refer to Section 5 for a detailed explanation on the inspection and evaluation of decks.

Deck Purpose

The purpose of the deck is to provide a smooth and safe riding surface for the traffic utilizing the bridge (see Figure P.2.25).




Figure P.2.25 Bridge Deck with a Smooth Riding Surface

Deck Function

The function of the deck is to transfer the live load and dead load of the deck to other bridge components. In most bridges, the deck distributes the live load to the superstructure through a floor system. However, on some bridges (e.g., a concrete slab bridge), the deck and superstructure are one unit which distributes the live load directly to the bridge supports (see Figure P.2.26).



Figure P.2.26 Underside View of a Bridge Deck

Decks function in one of two ways:

- Composite decks - act together with their supporting members and increase superstructure strength (see Figures P.2.27 and P.2.28)




Figure P.2.27 Composite Deck and Steel Superstructure



Figure P.2.28 Shear Studs on Top Flange of Girder before Concrete Deck is Poured

- Non-composite decks - are not integral with their supporting members and do not contribute to structural capacity

Deck Materials

There are three common materials used in the construction of bridge decks:

- Timber
- Concrete
- Steel

Timber Decks

Timber decks are normally referred to as decking or timber flooring, and the term is limited to the roadway portion which receives vehicular loads. Refer to Topic 5.1 for a detailed explanation on the inspection and evaluation of timber decks.

Five basic types of timber decks are:

- Plank deck (see Figure P.2.29)
- Nailed laminated deck
- Glued-laminated deck planks
- Stressed-laminated decks
- Structural composite lumber decks



Figure P.2.29 Plank Deck

Concrete Decks

Concrete permits casting in various shapes and sizes and has provided the bridge designer and the bridge builder with a variety of construction methods. Because concrete is weak in tension, it is used together with reinforcement to resist the tensile stresses (see Figure P.2.30). Refer to Topic 5.2 for a detailed explanation on the inspection and evaluation of concrete decks.

There are several common types of concrete decks:

- Reinforced cast-in-place (CIP) - removable or stay-in-place forms
- Precast
- Precast prestressed deck panels with cast-in-place topping



Figure P.2.30 Concrete Deck

Steel Decks

Steel decks are decks composed of either solid steel plate or steel grids (see Figure P.2.31). Refer to Topic 5.3 for a detailed explanation on the inspection and evaluation of steel decks.




Figure P.2.31 Steel Grid Deck

There are four common types of steel decks:

- Corrugated steel flooring
- Orthotropic deck
- Grid Deck - open, filled, or partially filled
- Buckle plate deck (still exist on some older bridges but are no longer used)

Glass and Plastic

With the rise of technological development, innovative material such as glass and carbon-fiber-reinforced plastic bridge decking has begun replacing existing highway bridge decks. Though plastic bridge material is more expensive than conventional bridge materials such as concrete, it has several other advantages. These include lighter weight for efficient transport, better resistance to earthquakes, and easier installation for workers on site. Plastic and glass bridge decking is also not affected by water or de-icing salts, which corrode steel and deteriorate concrete. In addition, plastic and glass bridges will last longer than concrete or steel bridges and will easily meet the new U.S. highway specifications for a 75-year life span.

Wearing Surfaces

Constant exposure to the elements makes weathering a significant cause of deck deterioration. In addition, vehicular traffic produces damaging effects on the deck surface. For these reasons, a wearing surface is often applied to the surface of the deck. The wearing surface is the topmost layer of material applied upon the deck to provide a smooth riding surface and to protect the deck from the effects of traffic and weathering.

A timber deck may have one of the following wearing surfaces:

- Timber planks
- Bituminous

Concrete decks may have wearing surfaces of:

- Concrete
- Latex modified concrete (LMC)
- Low slump dense concrete (LSDC)
- Asphalt (see Figure P.2.32)
- Epoxy overlay with broadcast aggregate



Figure P.2.32 Asphalt Wearing Surface on a Concrete Deck

Steel decks may have wearing or riding surfaces of:

- Serrated steel
- Concrete
- Asphalt

Deck Joints, Drainage, Appurtenances, Signing and Lighting

Deck Joints

The primary function of a deck joint is to accommodate the expansion, contraction, and rotation of the superstructure. The joint must also provide a smooth transition from an approach roadway to a bridge deck, or between adjoining segments of bridge deck.

There are two major categories of deck joints:

- Open joints
- Sealed joints

Open Joints

Open joints allow water and debris to pass through them. There are two types of unsealed joints:

- Formed joints
- Finger plate joints (see Figure P.2.33)




Figure P.2.33 Top View of a Finger Plate Joint

Sealed Joints

Sealed joints are designed so water and debris do not pass through them. There are six types of sealed joints:

- Poured joint seal
- Compression seal (see Figure P.2.34)
- Cellular seal (closed cell foam)
- Sliding plate joint
- Prefabricated elastomeric seal – plank, sheet, or strip seal (see Figure P.2.35)
- Modular elastomeric seal



Figure P.2.34 Top View of an Armored Compression Seal in Place




Figure P.2.35 Strip Seal

Drainage Systems

The primary function of a drainage system is to remove water from the bridge deck, from under unsealed deck joints and from behind abutments and wingwalls.

Deck Drainage System

A deck drainage system has the following components:

- Deck drains
- Outlet pipes - to lead water away from drain
- Downspouts pipes - to transport runoff to storm sewers
- Cleanout plugs - for maintenance

Joint Drainage System

A joint drainage system is typically a separate gutter or trough used to collect water passing through a finger plate or sliding plate joint.

Combining all these drainage components forms a complete deck drainage system.

Substructure Drainage Systems

Substructure drainage allows the fill material behind an abutment or wingwall to drain any accumulated water.

Substructure drainage is accomplished with weep holes or substructure drain pipes.

Deck Appurtenances

The proper and effective use of deck appurtenances minimizes hazards for traffic on the highways as well as waterways beneath the bridge.

Bridge Barriers

Bridge barriers can be broken down into two categories:

- Bridge railing - to guide, contain, and redirect errant vehicles
- Pedestrian railing - to protect pedestrians

Examples of railing include:

- Timber plank rail
- Steel angles and bars
- Pigeon hole parapet
- Combination bridge-pedestrian aluminum or steel railing
- New Jersey barrier - a very common barrier which meets current performance requirements (see Figure P.2.36)



Figure P.2.36 New Jersey Barrier

Sidewalks and Curbs

The function of sidewalks and curbs is to provide access to and maintain safety for pedestrians. Curbs serve to lessen the chance of vehicles crossing onto the sidewalk and endangering pedestrians.

Signing

Signing serves to inform the motorist about bridge or roadway conditions that may be hazardous.

Among the various types of signs likely to be encountered are:

- Regulatory
- Weight limit (see Figure P.2.37)
- Speed traffic marker
- Advisory
- Vertical clearance
- Lateral clearance
- Narrow underpass



Figure P.2.37 Weight Limit Sign

Lighting

Types of lighting that may be encountered on a bridge include the following (see Figure P.2.38):

- Highway lighting
- Traffic control lights
- Aerial obstruction lights
- Navigation lights
- Signing lights



Figure P.2.38 Bridge Lighting

Refer to Topic 5.4 for a more detailed explanation on joints, drainage, signing, and lighting of bridge decks. Refer to Topic 5.5 for a more detailed explanation on safety features and barriers of bridge decks.

P.2.7

Superstructure

Superstructure Purpose The basic purpose of the superstructure is to carry loads from the deck across the span and to the bridge supports. The superstructure is that component of the bridge which supports the deck or riding surface of the bridge, as well as the loads applied to the deck.

Superstructure Function The function of the superstructure is to transmit loads. Bridges are named for their type of superstructure. Superstructures may be characterized with regard to their function (i.e., how they transmit loads to the substructure). Loads may be transmitted through tension, compression, bending, or a combination of these three.

There are three common materials used in the construction of bridge superstructures:

- Timber
- Concrete
- Steel

Primary Elements

Almost all superstructures are made up of two basic elements:

Floor system - Receives traffic loads from the deck and distributes them to the main supporting elements (see Figure P.2.39)



Figure P.2.39 Floor System

Main supporting elements - Transfer all loads to the substructure units (see Figure P.2.40)



Figure P.2.40 Main Supporting Elements of Deck Arch

Secondary Elements

Secondary elements are elements which do not normally carry traffic loads directly. Typical secondary elements are:

- Diaphragms (see Figure P.2.41)
- Cross or X-bracing (see Figure P.2.42)
- Lateral bracing
- Sway-portal bracing (see Figure P.2.43)



Figure P.2.41 Diaphragms




Figure P.2.42 Cross or X-Bracing



Figure P.2.43 Sway Bracing

Superstructure Types

There are three basic types of bridges (see Figure P.2.44):

- Beam
- Arch
- Cable-supported




Figure P.2.44 Three Basic Bridge Types

Beam Bridges

In the case of beam bridges, loads from the superstructure are transmitted vertically to the substructure. Examples of beam bridges include:

- Slabs (concrete) (see Figure P.2.45)
- Beams (timber, concrete, or steel) (see Figures P.2.46 to P.2.50)
- Girders (concrete or steel) (see Figure P.2.51)
- Trusses (timber or steel) (see Figures P.2.52 and P.2.53)



Figure P.2.45 Slab Bridge



Figure P.2.46 Timber Beam Bridge



Figure P.2.47 Prestressed Concrete Multi-beam Bridge



Figure P.2.48 Girder Floorbeam Stringer Bridge



Figure P.2.49 Curved Girder Bridge



Figure P.2.50 Tee Beam Bridge



Figure P.2.51 Adjacent Box Beam Bridge



Figure P.2.52 Steel Box Girder Bridge



Figure P.2.53 Deck Truss Bridge



Figure P.2.54 Through Truss Bridge

Arch Bridges

In the case of arch bridges, the loads from the superstructure are transmitted diagonally to the substructure. True arches are in pure compression. Arch bridges can be constructed from timber, concrete, or steel (see Figures P.2.55 and P.2.6).



Figure P.2.55 Deck Arch Bridge



Figure P.2.56 Through Arch Bridge

Cable-Supported Bridges

In the case of cable-supported bridges, the superstructure loads are resisted by cables which act in tension. The cable forces are then resisted by the substructure anchorages and towers. Cable-supported bridges can be either suspension or cable-stayed (see Figures P.2.57 and P.2.58). Refer to Topic 12.1 for a more detailed explanation on cable-supported bridges.



Figure P.2.57 Steel Suspension Bridge



Figure P.2.58 Cable-stayed Bridge

Movable Bridge

Movable bridges are constructed across designated "Navigable Waters of the United States," in accordance with "Permit Drawings" approved by the U.S. Coast Guard. The purpose of a movable bridge is to provide the appropriate channel width and underclearance for passing water vessels when fully opened. Refer to Topic 12.2 for a more detailed explanation on movable bridges.

Movable bridges can be classified into three general groups:

- Bascule (see Figure P.2.59)
- Swing (see Figure P.2.60)
- Lift (see Figure P.2.61)



Figure P.2.59 Bascule Bridge



Figure P.2.60 Swing Bridge



Figure P.2.61 Lift Bridge

Floating Bridges

Although uncommon, some states have bridges that are not supported by a substructure. Instead, they are supported by water. The elevation of the bridge will change as the water level fluctuates.



Figure P.2.62 Floating Bridge

Culverts

A culvert is primarily a hydraulic structure, and its main purpose is to transport water flow efficiently.

Culverts are often viewed as small bridges, being constructed entirely below and independent of the roadway surface. However, culverts do not have a deck, superstructure, or substructure (see Figure P.2.63). Refer to Topics 12.3 and 12.4 for a more detailed explanation on culverts.



Figure P.2.63 Culvert

P.2.8

Bearings

Definition

A bridge bearing is a superstructure element which provides an interface between the superstructure and the substructure.

Primary Function

There are three primary functions of a bridge bearing:

- Transmit all loads from the superstructure to the substructure
- Permit longitudinal movement of the superstructure due to thermal expansion and contraction
- Allow rotation caused by dead and live load deflection

Bearings that do not allow for translation or movement of the superstructure are referred to as fixed bearings. Bearings that allow for the displacement of the structure are known as expansion bearings. Both fixed and expansion bearings permit rotation.

Basic Elements

A bridge bearing can be broken down into four basic elements (see Figure P.2.64):

- Sole plate

- Masonry plate
- Bearing or bearing surfaces
- Anchorage




Figure P.2.64 Typical Bearing Showing Four Basic Elements

Bearing Types

Various bearing types have evolved out of the need to accommodate superstructure movement:

- Sliding plate bearings
- Roller bearings
- Rocker bearings
- Pin and link bearings
- Elastomeric bearings
- Pot bearings
- Restraining bearings
- Isolation bearings

Refer to Section 9.1 for a more detailed explanation on bridge bearings.

P.2.9

Substructure

The substructure is that component of a bridge which includes all the elements which support the superstructure.

Substructure Purposes

The purpose of the substructure is to transfer the loads from the superstructure to the foundation soil or rock. Typically the substructure includes all elements below the bearings. The loads are then distributed to the earth or to supporting piles through the footing. The footing is the enlarged base of a substructure unit and is most commonly a thick concrete slab.

Substructure Function

Substructure units function as both axially-loaded and bending members. These units resist both vertical and horizontal loads applied from the superstructure.

Substructures are divided into two basic categories:

- Abutments
- Piers and bents

Abutments provide support for the ends of the superstructure and retain the approach embankment (see Figure P.2.65). Piers and bents provide support for the superstructure at intermediate points along the bridge spans with a minimum obstruction to the flow of traffic or water (see Figure P.2.66).



Figure P.2.65 Concrete Abutment



Figure P.2.66 Concrete Pier

Abutments

Basic types of abutments include:

- Cantilever or full height abutment - extends from the grade line of the roadway or waterway below, to that of the road overhead (see Figure P.2.67).
- Stub, semi-stub, or shelf abutment - located within the topmost portion of the end of an embankment or slope. In the case of a stub, less of the breastwall or stem is visible than in the case of the full height abutment. Most new construction uses this type of abutment. These abutments may be required to be supported on piles (see Figure P.2.68).
- Spill-through or open abutment - consists of columns and has no solid wall, but rather is open to the embankment material. The approach embankment material is usually rock (see Figure P.2.69).




Figure P.2.67 Cantilever Abutment (or Full Height Abutment)




Figure P.2.68 Stub Abutment




Figure P.2.69 Open Abutment

Refer to Topic 10.1 for a more detailed explanation on bridge abutments.

Piers and Bents

A pier has only one footing at each substructure unit (the footing may serve as a pile cap). A bent has several footings or no footing, as is the case with a pile bent. Refer to Topic 10.2 for a more detailed explanation on bridge piers and bents.

There are four basic types of piers:

- Solid shaft pier (see Figure P.2.70)
- Column pier (see Figure P.2.71)
- Column pier with web wall (see Figure P.2.72)
- Cantilever or hammerhead pier (see Figure P.2.73)



Figure P.2.70 Solid Shaft Pier




Figure P.2.71 Column Pier



Figure P.2.72 Column Pier with Web Wall



Figure P.2.73 Cantilever or Hammerhead Pier

There are two basic types of bents:

- Column bent (see Figure P.2.74)
- Pile bent (see Figure P.2.75)




Figure P.2.74 Column Bent



Figure P.2.75 Pile Bent

This page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

Basic Concepts Primer

P.3	Culvert Characteristics	P.3.1
P.3.1	Introduction.....	P.3.1
	Purpose of Culvert Inspection	P.3.2
	Safety.....	P.3.3
	Maintenance Needs	P.3.3
	Objectives.....	P.3.3
P.3.2	Differentiation Between Culverts and Bridges	P.3.4
	Hydraulic	P.3.4
	Structural	P.3.4
	Maintenance	P.3.5
	Traffic Safety.....	P.3.5
	Construction	P.3.5
	Durability.....	P.3.5
	Inspection	P.3.5
P.3.3	Structural Characteristics of Culverts	P.3.5
	Loads on Culverts.....	P.3.5
	Categories of Structural Materials.....	P.3.8
	Construction and Installation Requirements.....	P.3.8
P.3.4	Culvert Shapes	P.3.10
	Circular.....	P.3.10
	Pipe Arch and Elliptical Shapes	P.3.11
	Arches.....	P.3.12
	Box Sections.....	P.3.12
	Multiple Barrels.....	P.3.13
	Frame Culverts	P.3.14
P.3.5	Culvert Materials	P.3.14
	Precast Concrete	P.3.14
	Cast-In-Place Concrete.....	P.3.16
	Metal Culverts	P.3.16
	Masonry.....	P.3.16

	Timber	P.3.17
	Other	P.3.18
P.3.6	Culvert End Treatments	P.3.18
P.3.7	Hydraulics of Culverts	P.3.22
	Hydrologic Analysis	P.3.22
	Hydraulic Capacity	P.3.23
	Inlet Control	P.3.23
	Outlet Control	P.3.24
	Special Hydraulic Considerations	P.3.24
P.3.8	Factors Affecting Culvert Performance	P.3.24
P.3.9	Types and Locations of Culvert Distress	P.3.25
P.3.10	Durability	P.3.36
	Corrosion	P.3.36
	Abrasion	P.3.37
P.3.11	Soil and Water Conditions that Affect Culverts	P.3.37
	pH Extremes	P.3.37
	Electrical Resistivity	P.3.38
	Soil Characteristics	P.3.38
P.3.12	Culvert Protective Systems	P.3.38
	Extra Thickness	P.3.38
	Bituminous Coating	P.3.38
	Bituminous Paved Inverts	P.3.39
	Other Coatings	P.3.39

Topic P.3 Culvert Characteristics

P.3.1

Introduction

A culvert is a structure designed hydraulically to take advantage of submergence to increase hydraulic capacity. Culverts, as distinguished from bridges, are usually covered with embankment and are composed of structural material around the entire perimeter, although some are supported on spread footings with the streambed serving as the bottom of the culvert. Culverts may qualify to be considered “bridge” length.

Over the years, culverts have traditionally received less attention than bridges. Since culverts are less visible it is easy to put them out of mind, particularly when they are performing adequately. Additionally, a culvert usually represents a significantly smaller investment than a bridge and in the event of a failure usually represents much less of a safety hazard.

Since 1967 there has been an increased emphasis on bridge safety and on bridge rehabilitation and replacement programs. In many cases small bridges have been replaced with multiple barrel culverts, box culverts, or long span culverts (see Figure P.3.1). There have also been recent advances in culvert design and analysis techniques. Long span corrugated metal culverts with spans in excess of 12.2 m (40 feet) were introduced in the late 1960's.



Figure P.3.1 Culvert Structure

As a result of these developments, the number, size, complexity, and cost of culvert installations have increased. The failure of a culvert may be more than a mere driving inconvenience. Failure of a major culvert may be both costly and hazardous.

Like bridges, culverts should be inspected regularly to identify potential safety problems and maintenance needs or other actions required to preserve the investment in the structure and to minimize property damage due to improper hydraulic functioning.

Purpose of Culvert Inspection

The National Bridge Inspection Program was designed to insure the safe passage of vehicles and other traffic. The inspection program provides a uniform database from which nationwide statistics on the structural and functional safety of bridges and large culvert-type structures are derived. Although these bridge inspections are essentially for safety purposes, the data collected is also used to develop rehabilitation and replacement priorities.

Bridges with spans over 6.1 m (20 ft) in length are inspected on a two-year cycle in accordance with the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS). According to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) the definition of bridges includes culverts with openings measuring more than 6.1 m (20 ft) along the centerline of the road and also includes multiple pipes where the distance between openings is less than half of the pipe opening.

Multiple barrel culvert installations with relatively small pipes can therefore meet the definition of a bridge. Structures included in the NBIS are evaluated by utilizing a standardized inventory appraisal process that is based on rating certain structural and functional features. The data obtained is recorded on standardized inspection forms. The minimum data required for bridge length culverts is shown on the Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (SI&A). Procedures for coding these items are provided in the Recording and Coding Guide for the Structure Inventory and Appraisal of the Nation's Bridges (Coding Guide)

While the importance of the NBIS inspection program cannot be overemphasized, the SI&A data sheets are oriented toward bridges rather than culverts; thus, they do not allow an inspector to collect either detailed condition data or maintenance data. Additionally, the NBIS program does not specifically address structures where the total opening length is less than 6.1 m (20 feet). However, some type of formal inventory and inspection is needed for culverts that are not bridge length. In many cases, the failure of a culvert or other structure with openings less than 6.1 m (20 ft) long can present a life threatening hazard. Although the primary purpose of this, and other sections relating to culverts is to provide inspection guidelines for culverts included in the NBIS program, the guidelines should also be generally applicable to culverts with openings which are less than 6.1 m (20 feet) long. For culverts (and bridges) less than 6.1 m (20 ft) in length, the state in which the structure is located must incorporate it into their "Local Bridge Inventory". In this case, the state defines the minimum structure opening to be included in the "Local Bridge Inventory".

Ideally, all culverts should be inventoried and periodically inspected. Some limitations may be necessary because a considerable effort is required to establish a current and complete culvert inventory. Small culverts may not warrant the same rigorous level of inspection as large culverts. Each agency should define its culvert inspection program in terms of inspection frequency, size, and type of culverts to be inventoried and inspected, and the information to be collected. Culverts larger than 6.1 m (20 ft) must be inspected every two years under the NBIS program. If

possible all culverts should be inventoried and inspected to establish a structural adequacy and to evaluate the potential for roadway overtopping or flooding.

The types and amount of condition information to be collected should be based on the purpose for which the information will be used. For example, if small pipes are not repaired but are replaced after failures occur, then the periodic collection of detailed condition data may not be warranted. Documentation of failures as well as the causes of failures, may be all the condition data that is needed. However, the inventory should be updated whenever a replacement is accomplished.

Safety

Safety is the most important reason why culverts should be inspected. To insure that a culvert is functioning safely, the inspector should evaluate structural integrity, hydraulic performance, and roadside compatibility.

- Structural Integrity - The failure of major culverts can present a life threatening safety hazard. The identification of potential structural and material problems requires a careful evaluation of indirect evidence of structural distress as well as actual deterioration and distress in the culvert material.
- Hydraulic Performance - When a culvert's hydraulic performance is inadequate, potential safety hazards may result. The flooding of adjacent properties from unexpected headwater depth may occur. Downstream areas may be flooded by failure of the embankment. The roadway embankment or culvert may be damaged because of erosion.
- Roadside Compatibility - Many culverts, like older bridges, present roadside hazards. Headwalls and wingwalls higher than the road or embankment surface may constitute a fixed obstacle hazard. Abrupt drop-offs over the end of a culvert or steep embankments may represent rollover hazards to vehicles that leave the roadway.
- Hazards of Culvert Inspection – Discussed in Topic 3.2, Safety Practices.

Maintenance Needs

Lack of maintenance is a prime cause of improper functioning in culverts and other drainage structures. Regular periodic inspections allow minor problems to be spotted and corrected before they become serious.

Objectives

The primary objective of this topic as well as Topics 3.1, 3.2, 4.2, 4.3, 7.5, 7.12, 11.2, 12.3, and 12.4 is to provide information that will enable bridge inspectors to do the following tasks:

- Properly inspect an existing culvert.
- Evaluate structural adequacy.
- Evaluate hydraulic adequacy and recognize potential flood hazards.
- Rate the condition of the culvert.
- Correctly document and rate the findings of a culvert inspection using the appropriate coding items.
- Recognize and document traffic safety conditions.
- Recommend corrective actions/maintenance needs.

To meet the primary objective, the sections provide general procedures for conducting, reporting, and documenting a culvert inspection, and guidelines for inspecting and rating specific hydraulic and structural culvert components.

A second objective of these sections is to provide users with the information necessary to understand and evaluate the significance of defects found during an inspection of an existing culvert. To meet this objective, a review of how culverts should function structurally and hydraulically is provided briefly in this section, and covered in more detail in Topics 7.12, 12.3, and 12.4. Durability concepts are also reviewed.

P.3.2

Differentiation Between Culverts and Bridges

Traditional definitions of culverts are based on the span length rather than function or structure type. For example, part of the culvert definition included in the Bridge Inspector's Training Manual 70 states:

"...structures over 20 feet in span parallel to the roadway are usually called bridges; and structures less than 20 feet in span are called culverts even though they support traffic loads directly."

Many structures that measure more than 6.1 m (20 feet) along the centerline of the roadway have been designed hydraulically and structurally as culverts. The structural and hydraulic design of culverts is substantially different from bridges, as are construction methods, maintenance requirements, and inspection procedures. A few of the more significant differences between bridges and culverts are:

Hydraulic

Culverts are usually designed to operate at peak flows with a submerged inlet to improve hydraulic efficiency. The culvert constricts the flow of the stream to cause ponding at the upstream or inlet end. The resulting rise in elevation of the water surface produces a head at the inlet that increases the hydraulic capacity of the culvert. Bridges may constrict flow to increase hydraulic efficiency or be designed to permit water to flow over the bridge or approach roadways during peak flows. However, bridges are generally not designed to take advantage of inlet submergence to the degree that is commonly used for culverts. The effects of localized flooding on appurtenant structures, embankments, and abutting properties are important considerations in the design and inspection of culverts.

Structural

Culverts are usually covered by embankment material. Culverts must be designed to support the dead load of the soil over the culvert as well as live loads of traffic. Either live loads or dead loads may be the most significant load element depending on the type of culvert, type and depth of cover, and amount of live load. However, live loads on culverts are generally not as significant as the dead load unless the cover is shallow. Box culverts with shallow cover are examples of the type of installation where live loads may be significant.

In most culvert designs the soil or embankment material surrounding the culvert plays an important structural role. Lateral soil pressures enhance the culverts ability to support vertical loads. The stability of the surrounding soil is important to the structural performance of most culverts.

Maintenance	Because culverts usually constrict flow there is an increased potential for waterway blockage by debris and sediment, especially for culverts subject to seasonal flow. Multiple barrel culverts may also be particularly susceptible to debris accumulation. Scour caused by high outlet velocity and turbulence at inlet end is a concern. As a result of these factors, routine maintenance for culverts primarily involves the removal of obstructions and the repair of erosion and scour. Prevention of joint leakage may be critical in culverts bedded in pipeable soils to prevent undermining and loss of support.
Traffic Safety	A significant safety advantage of many culverts is the elimination of bridge parapets and railings. Culverts can usually be extended so that the standard roadway cross section can be carried over the culvert to provide a vehicle recovery area. However, when ends are located near traffic lanes or adjacent to shoulders, guardrails may be used to protect the traffic. Another safety advantage of culverts is that less differential icing occurs. Differential icing is the tendency of water on the bridge deck to freeze prior to water on the approaching roadway. Since culverts are under fill material and do not have a bridge deck, the temperature of the roadway over the culvert is at or near the temperature of the roadway approaching the culvert.
Construction	Careful attention to construction details such as bedding, compaction, and trench width during installation is important to the structural integrity of the culvert. Poor compaction or poor quality backfill around culverts may result in uneven settlement over the culvert and possibly structural distress of the culvert.
Durability	Durability of material is a significant problem in culverts and other drainage structures. In very hostile environments such as acid mine drainage and chemical discharge, corrosion and abrasion can cause deterioration of all commonly available culvert materials.
Inspection	The inspection and assessment of the structural condition of culverts requires an evaluation of not only actual distress but circumstantial evidence such as roadway settlement, pavement patches, and embankment condition.

P.3.3

Structural Characteristics of Culverts

Loads on Culverts	In addition to their hydraulic functions, culverts must also support the weight of the embankment or fill covering the culvert and any load on the embankment. There are two general types of loads that must be carried by culverts: dead loads and live loads. Dead Loads Dead loads include the earth load or weight of the soil over the culvert and any added surcharge loads such as buildings or additional earth fill placed over an existing culvert. If the actual weight of earth is not known, 1922 kilograms per cubic meter (120 pounds per cubic foot) is generally assumed.
--------------------------	---

Live Loads

The live loads on a culvert include the loads and forces, which act upon the culvert due to vehicular or pedestrian traffic. The highway wheel loads generally used for analysis are shown in Figure P.3.2. The effect of live loads decreases as the height of cover over the culvert increases. When the cover is more than two feet, concentrated loads may be considered as being spread uniformly over a square with sides 1.75 times the depth of cover. This concept is illustrated in Figure P.3.3 and P.3.4. In fact, for single spans, if the height of earth fill is more than 2.4 meters (8 feet) and exceeds the span length, the effects of live loads can be ignored all together. (see AASHTO)






Figure P.3.2 AASHTO Live Load Spacing for Highway Structures



Source: Concrete Pipe Handbook
American Concrete Pipe Association

Figure P.3.3 Surface Contact Area for Single Dual Wheel



Source: Concrete Pipe Handbook
American Concrete Pipe Association

Figure P.3.4 Distribution of Live Load (Single Dual Wheel) for Depth of Cover

Categories of Structural Materials

Based upon material type, culverts can be divided into two broad structural categories: rigid and flexible.

➤ Rigid Culverts

Culverts made from materials such as reinforced concrete and stone masonry are very stiff and do not deflect appreciably. The culvert material itself provides the needed stiffness to resist loads. In doing this, zones of tension and compression are created. The culvert material is designed to resist the corresponding stresses.

Rigid Culverts are discussed in detail in Sections 7.5, 7.12, and 12.3.

➤ Flexible culverts

Flexible culverts are commonly made from steel or aluminum. In some states composite materials are used. As stated earlier, flexible culverts rely on the surrounding backfill material to maintain their structural shape. Since they are flexible, they can be deformed significantly with no cracks occurring.

As vertical loads are applied, a flexible culvert will deflect if the surrounding fill material is loose. The vertical diameter decreases while the horizontal diameter increases. Soil pressures resist the increase in horizontal diameter.

For flexible culverts with large openings, sometimes longitudinal and/or circumferential stiffeners are used to prevent excessive deflection. Circumferential stiffeners are usually metal ribs bolted around the circumference of the culvert. Longitudinal stiffeners may be metal or reinforced concrete. This type of stiffener is sometimes called a thrust beam.

Construction and Installation Requirements

The structural behavior of flexible and rigid culverts is often dependent on construction practices during installation (see Figure P.3.5). Items, which require particular attention during construction, are discussed briefly in the following text. This information is provided so that the bridge inspector may gain insight on why certain structural defects are found when inspecting a culvert.

- **Compaction and Side Support** - Good backfill material and adequate compaction are of critical importance to flexible culverts. A well-compacted soil envelope is needed to develop the lateral pressures required to maintain the shape of flexible culverts. Well-compacted backfill is also important to the performance of rigid culverts. Poorly compacted soils do not provide the intended lateral support.
- **Trench Width** - Trench width can significantly affect the earth loads on rigid culverts. It is therefore important that trench widths be specified on the plans and that the specified width not be exceeded without authorization from the design engineer.
- **Foundations and Bedding** - A foundation capable of providing uniform and stable support is important for both flexible and rigid culverts. The foundation must be able to support the structure at the proposed grade and elevation without concentration of foundation pressures. Foundations

should be relatively yielding when compared to side fill. Establishing a suitable foundation requires removal and replacement of any hard spots or soft spots. Bedding is needed to level out any irregularities in the foundation and to insure uniform support. When using flexible culverts, bedding should be shaped to a sufficient width to permit compaction of the remainder of the backfill, and enough loose material should be placed on top of the bedding to fill the corrugations. When using rigid culverts, the bedding should conform to the bedding conditions specified in the plans and should be shaped to allow compaction and to provide clearance for the bell ends on bell and spigot type rigid pipes. Adequate support is critical in rigid pipe installations, or shear stress may become a problem.

- Construction Loads - Culverts are generally designed for the loads they must carry after construction is completed. Construction loads may exceed design loads. These heavy loads can cause damage if construction equipment crosses over the culvert installation before adequate fill has been placed or moves too close to the walls, creating unbalanced loading. Additional protective fill may be needed for equipment crossing points.
- Camber - In high fills the center of the embankment tends to settle more than the areas under the embankment side slopes. In such cases it may be necessary to camber the foundation slightly. This should be accomplished by using a flat grade on the upstream half of the culvert and a steeper grade on the downstream half of the culvert. The initial grades should not cause water to pond or pocket.




Figure P.3.5 Culvert Construction and Installation Requirements

P.3.4

Culvert Shapes

A wide variety of standard shapes and sizes are available for most culvert materials. Since equivalent openings can be provided by a number of standard shapes, the selection of shape may not be critical in terms of hydraulic performance. Shape selection is often governed by factors such as depth of cover or limited headwater elevation. In such cases a low profile shape may be needed. Other factors such as the potential for clogging by debris, the need for a natural stream bottom, or structural and hydraulic requirements may influence the selection of culvert shape. Each of the common culvert shapes are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Circular

The circular shape is the most common shape manufactured for pipe culverts (see Figure P.3.6). It is hydraulically and structurally efficient under most conditions. Possible hydraulic drawbacks are that circular pipe generally causes some reduction in stream width during low flows. It may also be more prone to clogging than some other shapes due to the diminishing free surface as the pipe fills beyond the midpoint. With very large diameter corrugated metal pipes, the flexibility of the sidewalls dictates that special care be taken during backfill construction to maintain uniform curvature.



Figure P.3.6 Circular Culvert Structure

Pipe Arch and Elliptical Shapes

Pipe arch and elliptical shapes are often used instead of circular pipe when the distance from channel invert to pavement surface is limited or when a wider section is desirable for low flow levels (see Figure P.3.7). These shapes may also be prone to clogging as the depth of flow increases and the free surface diminishes. Pipe arch and elliptical shapes are not as structurally efficient as a circular shape.



Figure P.3.7 Pipe Arch Culvert

Arches

Arch culverts offer less of an obstruction to the waterway than pipe arches and can be used to provide a natural stream bottom where the stream bottom is naturally erosion resistant (see Figure P.3.8). Foundation conditions must be adequate to support the footings. Riprap is frequently used for scour protection.



Figure P.3.8 Arch Culvert

Box Sections

Rectangular cross-section culverts are easily adaptable to a wide range of site conditions including sites that require low profile structures. Due to the flat sides and top, rectangular shapes are not as structurally efficient as other culvert shapes (see Figure P.3.9). In addition, box sections have an integral floor.



Figure P.3.9 Concrete Box Culvert

Multiple Barrels

Multiple barrels are used to obtain adequate hydraulic capacity under low embankments or for wide waterways (see Figure P.3.10). In some locations they may be prone to clogging as the area between the barrels tends to catch debris and sediment. When a channel is artificially widened, multiple barrels placed beyond the dominant channel are subject to excessive sedimentation. The span or opening length of multiple barrel culverts includes the distance between barrels as long as that distance is less than half the opening length of the adjacent barrels.



Figure P.3.10 Multiple Cell Concrete Culvert

Frame Culverts

Frame culverts are constructed of cast-in-place (see Figure P.3.11) or precast reinforced concrete. This type of culvert has no floor (concrete bottom) and fill material is placed over the structure.



Figure P.3.11 Frame Culvert

P.3.5

Culvert Materials

Precast Concrete

Precast concrete culverts are manufactured in six standard shapes:

- Circular
- Pipe arch
- Horizontal elliptical
- Vertical elliptical
- Rectangular
- Arch

With the exception of box culverts, concrete culvert pipe is manufactured in up to five standard strength classifications. The higher the classification number, the higher the strength. Box culverts are designed for various depths of cover and live loads. All of the standard shapes are manufactured in a wide range of sizes. Circular and elliptical pipes are available with standard sizes as large as 3.7 m (144 inches) in diameter, with larger sizes available as special designs. Standard box sections are also available with spans as large as 3.7 m (144 inches). Precast concrete arches on cast-in-place footings are available with spans up to 12.8 m (42 feet). A listing of standard sizes is provided at the end of Topics 7.12, 12.3, and 12.4.







SHAPE	RANGE OF SIZES	COMMON USES
<p>CIRCULAR</p> 	<p>12 to 180 inches reinforced</p> <p>4 to 36 inches non-reinforced</p>	<p>Culverts, storm drains, and sewers.</p>
<p>PIPE ARCH</p> 	<p>15 to 132 inches equivalent diameter</p>	<p>Culverts, storm drains, and sewers. Used where head is limited.</p>
<p>HORIZONTAL ELLIPSE</p> 	<p>Span x Rise</p> <p>18 to 144 inches equivalent diameter</p>	<p>Culverts, storm drains, and sewers. Used where head is limited.</p>
<p>VERTICAL ELLIPSE</p> 	<p>Span x Rise</p> <p>36 to 144 inches equivalent diameter</p>	<p>Culverts, storm drains, and sewers. Used where lateral clearance is limited.</p>
<p>RECTANGULAR (box sections)</p> 	<p>Span</p> <p>3ft to 12ft</p>	<p>Culverts, storm drains, and sewers. Used for wide openings with limited head.</p>
<p>ARCH</p> 	<p>Span</p> <p>24 ft to 41 ft</p>	<p>Culvert and storm drains. For low, wide waterway enclosures.</p>

Figure P.3.12 Standard Concrete Pipe Shapes

Cast-in-Place Concrete Culverts that are reinforced cast-in-place concrete are typically either rectangular or arch-shaped. The rectangular shape is more common and is usually constructed with multiple cells (barrels) to accommodate longer spans. One advantage of cast-in-place construction is that the culvert can be designed to meet the specific requirements of a site. Due to the long construction time of cast-in-place culverts, precast concrete or corrugated metal culverts are sometimes selected. However, in many areas cast-in-place culverts are more practical and represent a significant number of installations.

Metal Culverts Flexible culverts are typically either steel or aluminum and are constructed from factory-made corrugated metal pipe or field assembled from structural plates. Structural plate products are available as plate pipes, box culverts, or long span structures (see Figure 12.4.2). Several factors such as span length, vertical and horizontal clearance, peak stream flow and terrain determine which flexible culvert shape is used.

Masonry Stone and brick are durable, low maintenance materials. Prior to the 1920's, both stone and brick were used frequently in railroad and road construction projects because they were readily available from rock cuts or local brickyards. Currently stone and brick are seldom used for constructing culvert barrels. Stone is used occasionally for this purpose in locations which have very acidic runoff, but the most common use of stone is for headwalls where a rustic or scenic appearance is desired. A stone masonry arch culvert is shown in Figure P.3.13. Refer to Topic 2.4 for a detailed discussion of stone masonry.



Figure P.3.13 Typical Stone Masonry Arch Culvert

Timber

There are a limited amount of timber culverts throughout the nation.

Timber culverts are generally box culverts and are constructed from individual timbers similar to railroad ties. Timber culverts are also analagous to a short span timber bridge on timber abutments (see Figure P.3.14).

An inspection of a timber culvert should be conducted in the same manner as a timber bridge, including sounding and drilling to determine the extent of decay. The inspector should accurately describe the construction of the timber culvert and make note of the following timber defects and their location and extent:

- Defects from Checks, Splits, and Shakes
- Decay by Fungi
- Damage by Parasites
- Damage from chemical attack
- Damage from fire
- Damage from Impact/Collisions
- Damage from Abrasion/Wear
- Damage from Overstress
- Damage from Weathering/Warping

Refer to Topic 2.1.5 for a more detailed presentation of the types and causes of timber deterioration.

Bulging of the walls and any shape deformations may indicate unstable soil conditions. These problems and their location and extent should be recorded.

The vast majority of these culverts do not have floors. The inspector should check carefully at the footings for any scour or undermining. A probing rod should be used since scour holes can and do fill up with sediment.



Figure P.3.14 Timber Box

Other Materials

Aluminum, steel, concrete, and stone masonry are the most commonly found materials for existing culverts. There are several other materials which may be encountered during culvert inspections, including cast iron, stainless steel, terra cotta, asbestos cement, and plastic. These materials are not commonly found because they are either relatively new (plastic), labor intensive (terra cotta), or used for specialized situations (stainless steel and cast iron).

P.3.6

Culvert End Treatments

Culverts may have end treatments or end structures. End structures are used to control scour, support backfill, retain the embankment, improve hydraulic efficiency, protect the culvert barrel, and provide additional stability to the culvert ends.

The most common types of end treatments are:

- Projecting - The barrel simply extends beyond the embankment. No additional support is used (see Figure P.3.15).
- Mitered - The end of the culvert is cut to match the slope of the embankment. This type of treatment is also referred to as beveling and is commonly used when the embankment has some sort of slope paving (see Figure P.3.16).
- Skewed - Culverts, which are not perpendicular to the roadway, may have their ends cut parallel to the roadway (see Figure P.3.17).
- Pipe end section - A section of pipe is added to the ends of the culvert barrel. These are typically used on relatively smaller culverts.
- Headwalls - Used along with wingwalls to retain the fill, resist scour, and

improve the hydraulic capacity of the culvert. Headwalls are usually reinforced concrete (see Figure P.3.18), but can be constructed of timber or masonry. Metal headwalls are usually found on metal box culverts.



Figure P.3.15 Culvert End Projection



Figure P.3.16 Culvert Mitered End



Figure P.3.17 Culvert Skewed End



Figure P.3.18 Culvert Headwall

Miscellaneous Appurtenance Structures may also be used with end treatments to improve hydraulic efficiency and reduce scour. Typical appurtenances are:

- Aprons - Used to reduce streambed scour at the inlets and outlets of culverts (see Figure P.3.19). Aprons are typically concrete slabs, but they may also be riprap. Most aprons include an upstream cutoff wall to protect against undermining.
- Energy Dissipators - Used when outlet velocities are likely to cause

streambed scour downstream from the culvert. Stilling basins, riprap or other devices that reduce flow velocity can be considered energy dissipators (see Figure P.3.20).



Figure P.3.19 Apron



Figure P.3.20 Energy Dissipator

P.3.7

Hydraulics of Culverts

Culverts are primarily constructed to convey water under a highway, railroad, or other embankment. A culvert which does not perform this function properly may jeopardize the thoroughway, cause excessive property damage, or even loss of life. The hydraulic requirements of a culvert usually determine the size, shape, slope, and inlet and outlet treatments. Culvert hydraulics can be divided into two general design elements:

- Hydrologic Analysis
- Hydraulic Analysis

A hydrologic analysis is the evaluation of the watershed area for a stream and is used to determine the design discharge or the amount of runoff the culvert should be designed to convey.

A hydraulic analysis is used to select a culvert, or evaluate whether an existing culvert is capable of adequately conveying the design discharge. To recognize whether a culvert is performing adequately the inspector should understand the factors that influence the amount of runoff to be handled by the culvert as well as the factors which influence the culvert's hydraulic capacity.

Hydrologic Analysis

Most culverts are designed to carry the surface runoff from a specific drainage area. While the selection and use of appropriate methods of estimating runoff requires a person experienced in hydrologic analysis and would usually not be performed by the inspector, the inspector should understand how changes in the topography of the drainage area can cause major changes in runoff. Climatic and topographic factors are briefly discussed in the following sections.

Climatic Factors

Climatic factors that may influence the amount of runoff include:

- Rainfall intensity
- Storm duration
- Rainfall distribution within the drainage area
- Soil moisture
- Snow melt
- Rain-on-snow
- Rain-hail
- Other factors

Topographic Factors

Topographic factors that may influence runoff include:

- The land use within the drainage area
- The size, shape, and slope of the drainage area
- Other factors such as the type of soil, elevation, and orientation of the area

Land use is the most likely characteristic to change significantly during the service

life of a culvert. Changes in land use may have a considerable effect on the amount and type of runoff. Some surface types will permit more infiltration than other surface types. Practically all of the rain falling on paved surfaces will drain off while much less runoff will result from undeveloped land. If changes in land use were not planned during the design of a culvert, increased runoff may exceed the capacity of an existing culvert when the land use does change.

The size, shape, and slope of a culvert's drainage area influence the amount of runoff that may be collected and the speed with which it will reach the culvert. The amount of time required for water to flow to the culvert from the most remote part of a drainage area is referred to as the time of concentration. Changes within the drainage area may influence the time of concentration.

Straightening or enclosing streams and eliminating temporary storage by replacing undersized upstream pipes are examples of changes which may decrease time of concentration. Land use changes may also decrease time of concentration since water will flow more quickly over paved surfaces. Since higher rainfall intensities occur for shorter storm durations, changes in time of concentration can have a significant impact on runoff. Drainage areas are sometimes altered and flow diverted from one watershed to another.

Hydraulic Capacity

The factors affecting capacity may include headwater depth, tailwater depth, inlet geometry, the slope of the culvert barrel, and the roughness of the culvert barrel. The various combinations of the factors affecting flow can be grouped into two types of conditions in culverts:

- Inlet control
- Outlet control

Inlet Control

Under inlet control the discharge from the culvert is controlled at the entrance of the culvert by headwater depth and inlet geometry (see Figure P.3.21). Inlet geometry includes the cross-sectional area, shape, and type of inlet edge. Inlet control governs the discharge as long as water can flow out of the culvert faster than it can enter the culvert.




Figure P.3.21 Factors Affecting Culvert Discharge (Source: Adapted from Concrete Pipe Handbook, American Concrete Pipe Association)

Most culverts, except those in flat terrain, are designed to operate under inlet

control during peak flows. Since the entrance characteristics govern, minor modifications at the culvert inlet can significantly effect hydraulic capacity. For example, change in the approach alignment of the stream may reduce capacity, while the improvement of the inlet edge condition, or addition of properly designed headwalls and wingwalls, may increase the capacity.

Outlet Control

Under outlet control water can enter the culvert faster than water can flow through the culvert. The discharge is influenced by the same factors as inlet control plus the tailwater depth and barrel characteristics (slope, length, and roughness). Culverts operating with outlet control usually lie on flat slopes or have high tailwater.

When culverts are operating with outlet control, changes in barrel characteristics or tailwater depth may effect capacity. For example, increased tailwater depth or debris in the culvert barrel may reduce the capacity.

Special Hydraulic Considerations

Inlet and Outlet Protection

The inlets and outlets of culverts may require protection to withstand the hydraulic forces exerted during peak flows. Inlet ends of flexible pipe culverts, which are not adequately protected or anchored, may be subject to entrance failures due to buoyant forces. The outlet may require energy dissipators to control erosion and scour and to protect downstream properties. High outlet velocities may cause scour which undermines the endwall, wingwalls, and culvert barrel. This erosion can cause end-section drop-off in rigid sectional pipe culverts.

Protection Against Piping

Seepage along the outside of the culvert barrel may remove supporting material. This process is referred to as “piping”, since a hollow cavity similar to a pipe is often formed. Piping can also occur through open joints. Piping is controlled by reducing the amount and velocity of water seeping along the outside of the culvert barrel. This may require watertight joints and in some cases anti-seep collars. Good backfill material and adequate compaction of that material are also important.

P.3.8

Factors Affecting Culvert Performance

Some of the common factors that can affect the performance of a culvert include the following:

- Construction Techniques - Specifically, how well the foundation was prepared, the bedding placed, and the backfill compacted.
- The characteristics of the stream flow - water depth, velocity, turbulence.
- Structural Integrity - how well the structure can withstand the loads to which it is subjected, especially after experiencing substantial deterioration and section loss.
- Suitability of the Foundation - Can the foundation material provide

adequate support?

- Stability of the embankment in relationship to other structures on the upstream or downstream side.
- Hydraulic capacity - if the culvert cross section is insufficient for flow, upstream ponding could result and damage the embankment.
- The presence of vegetation - can greatly affect the means and efficiency of the flow through the culvert.
- The possibility of abrasion and corrosion caused by substances in the water, the surrounding soil or atmosphere.

P.3.9

Types and Locations of Culvert Distress

Types of Distress

The combination of high earth loads, long pipe-like structures and running water tends to produce the following types of distress:

- Shear or bending failure - High embankments may impose very high loads on all sides of a culvert and can cause shear or bending failure (see Figure P.3.22).
- Foundation failure - Either a smooth sag or differential vertical displacement at construction or expansion joints (settlement). Tipping of wingwalls. Lateral movement of precast or cast-in-place box sections (see Figure P.3.23).
- Hydraulic failure - Full flow design conditions result in accelerated scour and undermining at culvert ends as well as at any irregularities within the culvert due to foundation problems (see Figure P.3.24).
- Debris accumulation - Branches, sediment and trash can often be trapped at the culvert entrance restricting the channel flow and causing scour and embankment erosion (see Figure P.3.25).




Figure P.3.22 Bending or Shear Failure



Figure P.3.23 Cracking of Culvert Due to Foundation Settlement



Figure P.3.24 Scour and Undermining at Culvert Inlet




Figure P.3.25 Debris and Sediment Buildup

Inspection Locations

A logical sequence for inspecting culverts helps ensure that a thorough and complete inspection will be conducted. In addition to the culvert components, the inspector should also look for highwater marks, changes in the drainage area, and other indications of potential problems. In this regard, the inspection of culverts is similar to the inspection of bridges.

For typical installations, it is usually convenient to begin the field inspection with general observations of the overall condition of the structure and inspection of the approach roadway. The inspector should select one end of the culvert and inspect the embankment, waterway, headwalls, wingwalls, and culvert barrel. The inspector should then move to the other end of the culvert. The following sequence is applicable to all culvert inspections:

- Overall condition
- Approach roadway and embankment settlement
- Waterway (see in Topic 11.2)
- End treatments
- Appurtenance structures
- Culvert barrel

Overall Condition

General observations of the condition of the culvert should be made while approaching the culvert area. The purpose of these initial observations is to familiarize the inspector with the structure. They may also point out a need to modify the inspection sequence or indicate areas requiring special attention. The inspector should also be alert for changes in the drainage area that might affect runoff characteristics.

Approach Roadway and Embankment

Inspection of the approach roadway and embankment includes an evaluation of the functional adequacy (see Figure P.3.26).

The approach roadway and embankment should also be inspected for the following functional requirements:

- Signing
- Alignment
- Clearances
- Adequate shoulder profile
- Safety features



Figure P.3.26 Approach Roadway at a Culvert Site

Defects in the approach roadway and embankment may be indicators of possible structural or hydraulic problems in the culvert. The approach roadway and embankment should be inspected for the following conditions:

- Sag in roadway or guardrail
- Cracks in pavement
- Pavement patches or evidence that roadway has settled
- Erosion or failure of side slopes

Approach roadways should be examined for sudden dips, cracks, and sags in the pavement (see Figure P.3.27). These usually indicate excessive deflection of the culvert or inadequate compaction of the backfill material.




Figure P.3.27 Roadway Over a Culvert

New pavement can temporarily hide approach problems. It is advisable for the inspector to have previous inspection reports that may indicate the age of the present overlay.

It is important to note that not all defects in the approach roadways have an adverse affect on the culvert. Deterioration of the pavement may be due to excessive traffic and no other reason.

Embankment

The embankment around the culvert entrance and exit should be inspected for slide failures in the fill around the box (see Figure P.3.28). Check for debris at the inlet and outlet and within the culvert. Also note if vegetation is obstructing the ends.



Figure P.3.28 Slide Failure

End Treatments

The SI&A Inspection Sheet does not specifically address end treatments in terms of inventory data or condition. The condition rating of end treatments is part of SI&A Item 62, Culvert Condition, and can have an impact on SI&A Item 67, Structural Evaluation.

Inspections of end treatments primarily involve visual inspection, although hand tools should be used such as a plumb bob to check for misalignment, a hammer to sound for defects, and a probing rod to check for scour and undermining. In general, headwalls should be inspected for movement or settlement, cracks, deterioration, and traffic hazards. Culvert ends should be checked for undermining, scour, and evidence of piping.



Figure P.3.29 Headwall and Wingwall End Treatment on Box Culvert

The most common types of box culvert end treatments are:

- Skewed Ends
- Headwalls

Both end treatment types use wingwalls to retain the embankment around the opening.

Wingwalls should be inspected to ensure they are in proper vertical alignment (see Figure P.3.30). Wingwalls may be tilted due to settlement, slides or scour. See Topic 10.1 for a detailed description of defects and inspection procedures of wingwalls.



Figure P.3.30 Potential for Tilted Wingwalls

Skewed Ends - Skewing the end of a culvert has nearly the same effect on structural capacity as does mitering (see Figure P.3.31). Stresses increase because a full box shape is not present at the end.



Figure P.3.31 Skewed End

Headwalls – Headwalls and wingwalls should be inspected for undermining and settlement. Cracking, tipping or separation of culvert barrel from the headwall and

wingwalls is usually good evidence of undermining. (see Figure P.3.32 and P.3.33).



Figure P.3.32 Culvert Headwall

Appurtenance Structures

Typical appurtenance structures are:

- Aprons
- Energy Dissipators

Aprons – should be checked for any undermining or settlement. The joints between the apron and headwalls should be inspected to see if it is watertight. (see Figure P.3.33)




Figure P.3.33 Apron

Energy Dissipaters – are used when outlet velocities are likely to cause streambed scour downstream from the culvert (see Figure P.3.34). Energy dissipaters may include stilling basins, riprap or other devices. Energy dissipaters should be inspected for material defects and overall effectiveness.



Figure P.3.34 Energy Dissipater

Culvert Barrel

The full length of the culvert should be inspected from the inside. All components of the culvert barrel should be visually examined, including walls, floor, top slab, and joints. The concrete should be sounded by tapping with a hammer particularly around cracks and other defects. It is important to time the inspection so that water levels are low. Culverts with small diameters can be inspected by looking through the culvert from both ends or by using a small movable camera. The condition of the culvert barrel is rated under SI&A Item 62, which covers all structural components of a culvert.

For concrete box culverts, the culvert barrels should be inspected primarily for defects such as misalignment, joint defects, cracking, spalling, and other material defects.

P.3.10

Durability

Although the structural condition is a very important element in the performance of culverts, durability problems are probably the most frequent cause of replacement. Culverts are more likely to "wear away" than fail structurally. Durability is affected by two mechanisms: corrosion and abrasion.

Corrosion

Corrosion affects all metals and alloys, although the rates can vary widely depending both upon the chemical and physical properties of the metal and upon the environmental condition to which it is exposed. When a metal corrodes a very low voltage electrical current is established between two parts of a metal surface that have different voltage potential. The difference in voltage potential may be caused by slight variations in the material, changes in surface condition, or the presence of foreign materials. The current removes metallic ions from one location

and deposits them at another location, causing corrosion. The chemicals present in the water greatly influence its effectiveness as an electrolyte.

Corrosion is the deterioration of culvert materials by chemical or electrochemical reaction to the environment. Culvert corrosion may occur in many different soils and waters. These soils and waters may contain acids, alkalis, dissolved salts, organics, industrial wastes or other chemicals, mine drainage, sanitary effluents, and dissolved or free gases. However, culvert corrosion is generally related to water and the chemicals that have reacted to, become dissolved in, or been transported by the water.

Corrosion can attack the inside or outside of the culvert barrel. The chemicals in drainage water can attack the material on the interior of the culvert. Culverts subject to continuous flows or standing water with aggressive chemicals are more likely to be damaged than those with intermittent flows. The exterior of culverts can be attacked by chemicals in the ground water which can originate in the soil, be introduced through contaminants in the backfill soil, or be transported by subsurface flow.

Although less common than with metal pipe, corrosion can occur in concrete culverts. Metallic corrosion can take place in the reinforcing steel when it is exposed by cracking or spalling, when the concrete cover is inadequate or when the concrete is porous enough to allow water to contact the reinforcing steel.

If the steel corrodes, the corrosion products expand and may cause spalling of the concrete. Corrosion can also take place in the concrete itself. It is not, however, the same type of electrochemical reaction that occurs in metal. Other reactions between the concrete materials and the chemicals present in the stream flow or ground water are involved and can result in deterioration of the concrete.

Abrasion

Abrasion is the process of wearing down or grinding away surface material as water laden with sand, gravel, or stones flows through a culvert. Abrasive forces increase as the velocity of the water flowing through a culvert increases; for example, doubling the velocity of a stream flow can cause the abrasive power to become approximately four-fold.

Often corrosion and abrasion operate together to produce far greater deterioration than would result from either alone. Abrasion can accelerate corrosion by removing protective coatings and allowing water-borne chemicals to come into contact with corrodible culvert materials.

P.3.11

Soil and Water Conditions that Affect Culverts

Certain soil and water conditions have been found to have a strong relationship to accelerated culvert deterioration. These conditions are referred to as "aggressive" or "hostile." The most significant conditions of this type are:

pH Extremes

pH is a measure of the relative acidity or alkalinity of water. A pH of 7.0 is neutral; values of less than 7.0 are acid, and values of more than 7.0 are alkaline. For culvert purposes, soils or water having a pH of 5.5 or less are strongly acid and

those of 8.5 or more are strongly alkaline.

Acid water stems from two sources, mineral and organic. Mineral acidity comes from sulfurous wells and springs, and drainage from coal mines. These sources contain dissolved sulfur and iron sulfide which may form sulfurous and sulfuric acids. Mineral acidity as strong as pH 2.3 has been encountered. Organic acidity usually found in swampy land and barnyards rarely produces a pH of less than 4.0. Alkalinity in water is caused by strong alkali-forming minerals and from limed and fertilized fields. Acid water (low pH) is more common to wet climates and alkaline water (high pH) is more common to dry climates. As the pH of water in contact with culvert materials, either internally or externally, deviates from neutral, 7.0, it generally becomes more hostile.

Electrical Resistivity

This measurement depends largely on the nature and amount of dissolved salts in the soil. The greater the resistance the less the flow of electrical current associated with corrosion. High moisture content and temperature lower the resistivity and increase the potential for corrosion. Soil resistivity generally decreases as the depth increases. The use of granular backfill around the entire pipe will increase electrical resistivity and will reduce the potential for galvanic corrosion.

Several states rely on soil and water resistivity measurements as an important index of corrosion potential. Some states and the FHWA have published guidelines that use a combination of the pH and electrical resistivity of soil and water to indicate the corrosion potential at proposed culvert sites. The collection of pH and electrical resistivity data during culvert inspections can provide valuable information for developing local guidelines.

Soil Characteristics

The chemical and physical characteristics of the soil, which will come into contact with a culvert, can be analyzed to determine the potential for corrosion. The presence of base-forming and acid-forming chemicals is important. Chlorides and other dissolved salts increase electrical conductivity and promote the flow of corrosion currents. Sulfate soils and water can be erosive to metals and harmful to concrete. The permeability of soil to water and to oxygen is another variable in the corrosion process.

P.3.12

Culvert Protective Systems

There are several protective measures that can be taken to increase the durability of culverts. The more commonly used measures are:

Extra Thickness

For some aggressive environments, it may be economical to provide extra thickness of concrete or metal.

Bituminous Coating

This is the most common protective measure used on corrugated steel pipe. This procedure can increase the resistance of metal pipe to acidic conditions if the coating is properly applied and remains in place. Careful handling during transportation, storage, and placement is required to avoid damage to the coating. Bituminous coatings can also be damaged by abrasion. Field repairs should be made when bare metal has been exposed. Fiber binding is sometimes used to improve the adherence of bituminous material to the metallic-coated pipe.

**Bituminous Paved
Inverts**

Paving the inverts of corrugated metal culverts to provide a smooth flow and to protect the metal has sometimes been an effective protection from particularly abrasive and corrosive environments. Bituminous paving is usually at least 3 mm (1/8-inch) thick over the inner crest of the corrugations. Generally only the lower quadrant of the pipe interior is paved. Fiber binding is sometimes used to improve the adherence of bituminous material to the metallic-coated pipe.

Other Coatings

There are several other coating materials that are being used to some degree throughout the country. Polymeric, epoxy, fiberglass, clay, and concrete field paving, have all been used as protection against corrosion. Galvanizing is the most common of the metallic coatings used for steel. It involves the application of a thin layer of zinc on the metal culvert. Other metallic coatings used to protect steel culverts are aluminum and aluminum-zinc.

This page intentionally left blank